

# **Paper & Paperboard Testing Program**

# Summary Report #3022 G - October 2019

Introduction to the Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Program Explanation of Tables and Definitions of Terms

### Analysis Analysis Name

- 350 Color & Color Difference Near White Papers C/2deg obs
- 351 Color & Color Difference Near White Papers D65/10deg obs
- 360 Thickness (Caliper), Printing papers
- 361 Thickness (Caliper), Packaging papers
- 364 Coefficient of Static Friction Horizontal Plane Method Printing Papers
- 365 Coefficient of Kinetic Friction Horizontal Plane Method Printing Papers
- 370 Air Resistance Gurley Oil Type
- 372 Porosity Sheffield Type Sheffield Units for 3/4 inch Diameter Orifice
- 376 Roughness Print Surf Method 0.5 to 4.0 Microns
- 377 Roughness Print Surf Method 2.5 to 6.0 Microns
- 378 Roughness Sheffield Type
- 382 Moisture in Paper
- 384 Opacity (89% Reflectance Backing) Fine Papers
- 386 Opacity (Paper Backing) Fine Papers and Newsprint
- 390 Directional Brightness
- 391 Directional Brightness of Fluorescent Samples
- 392 Diffuse Brightness
- 394 Fluorescent Component of Directional Brightness
- 395 Specular Gloss at 75 Degrees High Range
- 396 Specular Gloss at 75 Degrees Low Range
- 398 Grammage (Mass per Unit Area)
- 399 Sizing Test (Hercules Type)

### The CTS Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Program

In 1969, the National Bureau of Standards (now designated the National Institute for Standards and Technology) and the Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry (TAPPI) developed an interlaboratory program for paper and paperboard testing. Since 1971, Collaborative Testing Services has operated the Collaborative Reference Program for Paper and Paperboard. With hundreds of organizations from around the world participating in these tests, this program has become one of the largest of its kind. The program allows laboratories to compare the performance of their testing with that of other participating laboratories, and provides a realistic picture of the state of paper testing.

### About CTS

Founded in 1971, Collaborative Testing Services, Inc. (CTS) is a privately - owned company that specializes in interlaboratory tests for a variety of industrial sectors: rubber, plastics, fasteners and metals, CKPG, paper, color and wine, as well as proficiency tests for forensic laboratories. All of the tests are designed to assist organizations in achieving and maintaining quality assurance objectives. Labs from the U.S., as well as more than 80 countries, currently participate in CTS programs.

If there are any questions on the report or testing program, please contact:

Collaborative Testing Services, Inc. 21331 Gentry Drive Sterling, Virginia 20166 USA +1-571-434-1925 FAX #: +1-571-434-1937 paper@cts-interlab.com

Office Hours: 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m. ET

	Key for Web Summary Reports (Page 1 of 2)
WebCode	Assigned laboratory identification number (temporary) used to ensure lab confidentiality while permitting a lab to locate its data in the Paper Report published on the CTS Web site. The WebCode for each analysis can be found on the datasheets and in the Performance Analysis Report mailed to each participant.
Lab Mean	The average of the values obtained for each sample by the participant.
Grand Mean	The average of the LAB MEANS for all included participants. Laboratories flagged with an X or an M (see DATA FLAG column) are excluded from the GRAND MEAN.
ΔE	The calculated total color difference between the two samples. For the Hunter L,a,b analyses it is calculated in Hunter units ( $\Delta E$ ). For the L*,a*,b* analyses it is calculated in CIELAB units ( $\Delta E$ *).
Difference from Grand Mean	The difference of the LAB MEAN from the GRAND MEAN.
Between-Lab Standard Deviation	An indication of the precision of measurement between the laboratories. The greater the spread of the LAB MEANS about the GRAND MEAN, the larger the BETWEEN-LAB STANDARD DEVIATION (and vice versa).
Comparative Performance Value	An indication of how well a laboratory's results agree with the other participants. The CPV is a ratio indicating the number of standard deviations from the GRAND MEAN. The closer a laboratory's COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE VALUE is to zero, the more consistent its results are with the other participants' data (and vice versa). The critical value for each CPV will vary depending on the number of labs participating in a test.
Inst Code	A code indicating the manufacturer of the instrument used to perform the test (see separate INSTRUMENT CODE LIST for each test section), if instruments are tracked.
Data Flag	DATA FLAGS are assigned based on the simultaneous analysis of both samples tested. Refer to the following chart for an explanation of each symbol:

DATA <u>FLAG</u>	STATISTICALLY INCLUDED/EXCLUDED	ACTION REQUIRED
*	INCLUDED	<b>CAUTION</b> - review testing procedure and monitor future results. Results fall outside 95% ellipse but within a 99% ellipse that is calculated but not drawn.
Х	EXCLUDED	<b>STOP</b> - immediate review of data and/or testing procedure is required. Results fall outside the 99% ellipse. See specific notes following each table for more information on why the data is excluded.
М	EXCLUDED	<b>PROCEED</b> - lab was unable to report data for at least one sample.

## Key for Web Summary Reports (Page 2 of 2)

**Graph** - For each laboratory, the LAB MEAN for the first sample (x-axis) is plotted against the LAB MEAN for the second sample (y-axis) with each point representing a laboratory. The horizontal and vertical cross-hairs are the GRAND MEANS for each sample. When 20 or more laboratories are in the statistics, an ellipse is also drawn so that 95% of the time a randomly selected laboratory will be included inside the ellipse. Plotted data flags are explained on the previous page.

### **Common Problems Highlighted in Footnotes**

1. *Extreme data* - The laboratory's results for one or both samples are so inconsistent with those of the other participants that the lab mean(s) fall outside the plot. The participant is advised to immediately review his data and/or testing procedure.

2. **Systematic bias** - The laboratory's results are either consistently high or low for both samples when compared to the other participants (the plotted point falls near the top or bottom of the ellipse). This indicates that the participant is performing the test with a constant bias. Causes of systematic errors include improper calibration, the particular make/model of equipment or a modification to the testing procedure.

3. *Inconsistency in testing between samples/sample sets* - The laboratory's results indicate that there are differences in the way the two samples tested (the plotted point falls to the side of the ellipse). This type of error may be attributed to the analyst deviating from the procedure when testing one of the samples or a material interaction occurrence with the instrument or room conditions. The inconsistency is reflected in the CPVs for the two samples, such as a +1.5 CPV for sample A and a -2.2 CPV for sample B. CTS also will specify if the laboratory's data for one sample are high/low compared to the other participants. If this inconsistency is slight, the lab's plotted point will be an \* that falls on the edge of the ellipse.

4. *Inconsistency in testing within a sample* - The laboratory's within-lab standard deviation for a specified sample is high when compared to the other participants, often causing the lab's plotted point to fall outside of the ellipse.

Labs flagged with an \* are not typically included in the footnotes of a data table. These labs may locate their position in the control ellipse and use the definitions above to help identify the type of testing error. An \* should serve as a caution flag, a "yellow light", to a lab. If this error is repeated in future rounds, a lab may need to stop and review its testing procedures. The initial data flag is not cause for alarm. Interlaboratory tests conducted at regular intervals permit a lab to recognize trends in testing.



Color & Color Difference - Near White Papers - C/2deg obs Hunter L,a,b - Illuminant C - 2 Degree Observer

			Hunter L, a, b Color Values			С	Instr Code			
Web Code	Data Flag	Samples	L	a	b	ΔL	∆a	∆b	ΔE	
2ZVZGU		GA71 GA72	94.00 93.59	-0.79 -0.76	3.98 3.99	-0.41	0.03	0.01	0.41	TS
6ZYUPP		GA71 GA72	94.49 94.10	-0.72 -0.74	4.12 4.23	-0.40	-0.02	0.11	0.41	HE
8AGZT8		GA71 GA72	94.03 93.59	-0.51 -0.52	3.79 3.88	-0.45	-0.01	0.09	0.46	LA
AQFRRD		GA71 GA72	93.26 92.85	-0.31 -0.28	3.51 3.59	-0.41	0.03	0.08	0.42	TS
AYDQZE		GA71 GA72	95.37 95.09	-0.76 -0.78	3.81 3.99	-0.28	-0.02	0.19	0.34	тс
FLNTKC		GA71 GA72	93.34 93.01	-0.25 -0.28	3.71 3.81	-0.34	-0.03	0.10	0.35	TS
GFU3T7	x	GA71 GA72	112.56 111.90	-5.41 -5.41	10.80 10.96	-0.66	0.00	0.15	0.68 <mark>X</mark>	TS
KMZ78U		GA71 GA72	95.49 95.17	-0.78 -0.75	3.29 3.40	-0.32	0.03	0.11	0.34	XS
NGAQD8		GA71 GA72	95.07 94.51	-0.80 -0.82	3.77 3.89	-0.56	-0.02	0.12	0.57	HE
QAU49T		GA71 GA72	95.36 95.03	-0.85 -0.87	3.93 4.07	-0.32	-0.02	0.14	0.35	LS
RPQH82		GA71 GA72	95.35 94.98	-0.82 -0.80	3.90 3.92	-0.37	0.01	0.02	0.37	EH
TMV8VY		GA71 GA72	90.81 91.01	-0.75 -0.78	3.07 3.58	0.20	-0.02	0.51	0.55	VM
VGJATW		GA71 GA72	94.05 93.62	-0.88 -0.87	4.11 4.18	-0.43	0.01	0.07	0.43	XX
WU7UXQ	2	GA71 GA72	94.17 94.01	-1.13 -1.04	3.86 4.08	-0.16	0.09	0.22	0.29	HG
YBND3W	7	GA71 GA72	94.84 94.46	-0.59 -0.62	3.72 3.79	-0.38	-0.03	0.07	0.38	HE
ZUAN6L		GA71 GA72	94.12 93.69	-0.84 -0.85	3.93 4.02	-0.43	-0.01	0.10	0.44	тс



# Color & Color Difference - Near White Papers - C/2deg obs Hunter L,a,b - Illuminant C - 2 Degree Observer

			Hunter L, a, b Color Values			Color Difference Values				Instr Code
Web Code	Data Flag	Samples	L	a	b	ΔL	∆a	∆b	ΔE	
ZZHWNC		GA71 GA72	95.53 95.07	-0.49 -0.51	3.90 4.04	-0.46	-0.02	0.14	0.48	ND

Grand Means			Summary Stati	stics					
GA71	94.330	-0.705	3.775	0 344	0.000	0 120	0.412		
GA72	93.986	-0.705	3.904	-0.344	0.000	0.129	0.412		
Stnd Dev Btwn Lak	<u>os</u>								
GA71	1.202	0.224	0.278	0 170	0.022	0 115	0.077		
GA72	1.097	0.211	0.226	0.170	0.035	0.115	0.077		
Statistics based on 16 of 17 reporting participants									

## **Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #350**

GFU3T7 (X) - Extremely high data for both "L" and "b" values. Extremely low data for "a" values. High delta "E" value.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants								
EH	Datacolor Elrepho SF450	HE	Hunter LabScan						
HG	Hunter ColorQUEST	LA	L & W Elrepho AL300						
LS	L & W Elrepho SE 070	ND	Minolta CM-2500d Spectrophotometer						
TC	Technidyne Color Touch Series	TS	Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S-5						
VM	Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani/Robotest)	XS	X-Rite 938 Spectrodensitometer						
xx	Instrument make/model not specified by lab								



Plot of L values GA72 vs L values GA71



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Plot of a values GA72 vs a values GA71



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Plot of b values GA72 vs b values GA71



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Report #3022 G, October 2019

Color & Color Difference - Near White Papers - D65/10deg obs Hunter L,a,b - Illuminant D65 - 10 Degree Observer

			CIE L*	a* b* Color V	alues	Color Difference Values				
Web Code	Data Flag	Samples	L*	a*	b*	<b>∆L</b> *	∆ <b>a</b> *	∆b*	∆ <b>E</b> *	InstrCode
38UPYX		GA71	95.05	-0.59	3.98	0.08	-0.02	0.11	0.14	HT
		GA72	95.12	-0.62	4.09					
4AUDOT		GA71	95.56	-0.53	3.96	-0.28	-0.02	0 12	0.31	
mobqi		GA72	95.28	-0.55	4.08	0.20	0.02	0112	0.01	INI
		0471	04 63	0.76	3 20					
638U7P		GA71 GA72	94.03 94.73	-0.76	3.78	0.10	-0.01	0.49	0.50	NG
7BJBAJ		GA71	95.44	-0.60	3.98	-0.33	-0.02	0.12	0.36	LS
		GA72	95.11	-0.62	4.10					
700701		GA71	95.45	-0.61	4.21	0.01	0.00	0 11	0.00	
/QCZ9M		GA72	95.14	-0.64	4.32	-0.31	-0.03	0.11	0.33	NG
8WFJPQ		GA71	94.49	-0.79	3.22	0.08	0.00	0.50	0.51	EH
		GA72	94.50	-0.79	5.75					
9GF4XV		GA71	95.21	-0.65	3.84	-0 24	0.03	0 02	0 25	тс
Joi mu		GA72	94.97	-0.63	3.86	0.24	0.00	0102	0.20	10
		<b></b>	05 74	0 50	0.04					
GRQ6DM	[	GA71 GA72	95.74 95.42	-0.58	3.84	-0.32	-0.04	0.10	0.34	HE
JN3EY3		GA71	94.82	-0.54	3.65	-0.54	-0.03	0.10	0.55	HE
		GA72	94.27	-0.58	3.75					
		GA71	95 13	-0.81	3 56					
K2N2R2		GA72	95.08	-0.79	3.79	-0.05	0.02	0.23	0.23	XC
NGAQD8		GA71	94.69	-0.73	3.85	-0.36	-0.01	0.03	0.37	HE
		GA72	94.33	-0.74	3.88					
	7	GA71	94.64	-0.91	3.56	0.28	0.00	0.34	0.45	UE
I WA2DW		GA72	94.36	-0.82	3.90	-0.28	0.09	0.54	0.45	пс
Q88HHR		GA71 GA72	94.08 93.71	-0.47	3.42	-0.37	-0.02	0.17	0.41	XA
				0110	0100					
RNFO3R		GA71	95.40	-0.70	3.88	-0.29	-0.01	0.18	0.35	FF
		GA72	95.11	-0.70	4.07					<u> </u>
		CA71	06 97	-0.47	3 55					
TFVY7Y		GA72	96.44	-0.47	3.72	-0.42	0.00	0.17	0.46	XP
WMLJZP		GA71	95.64	-0.71	3.98	-0.27	-0.03	0.11	0.30	HT
		GA72	95.37	-0.74	4.09					



# Color & Color Difference - Near White Papers - D65/10deg obs Hunter L,a,b - Illuminant D65 - 10 Degree Observer

XYAX.	JH GA71 GA72	93.88 93.52	-0.56 -0.56	3.69 3.82	-0.35	0.00	0.12	0.38	тс
	Grand Means			Summary Stat	istics				
	GA71	95.101	-0.647	3.733	0.040	0.000	0.470	0.005	
	GA72	94.854	-0.654	3.911	-0.246	-0.006	0.178	0.365	
	Stnd Dev Btwn Lo	abs							
	GA71	0.706	0.125	0.271	0.490	0.020	0.440	0.400	
	GA72	0.692	0.108	0.188	0.180	0.030	0.140	0.108	
					Statistic	s based on 1	7 of 17 repo	orting participa	ints

### Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

- EF Datacolor Elrepho 3000
- HE Hunter LabScan
- LS L & W Elrepho SE 070
- NG Minolta CM-3700d Spectrophotometer
- XA X-Rite (model not specified)
- XP X-Rite Spectrophotometer DTP

- EH Datacolor Elrepho SF450
- HT Hunter UltraScan Vis
- NF Minolta CM-3600d Spectrophotometer
- TC Technidyne Color Touch Series
- XC X-Rite eXact Series



Plot of L values GA72 vs L values GA71



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Plot of a values GA72 vs a values GA71



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Plot of b values GA72 vs b values GA71



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



## Analysis 360 Thickness (Caliper), Printing papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

			<u>Sample GV71</u>			<u>Sample GV72</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2F6WTF		4.644	0.045	0.51	4.630	0.024	0.27	ТМ
2ZVZGU		4.482	-0.117	-1.33	4.502	-0.104	-1.19	LA
38TQZJ		4.596	-0.003	-0.04	4.658	0.052	0.59	LW
38UPYX		4.658	0.059	0.67	4.654	0.048	0.55	EM
4AUDQT		4.715	0.116	1.31	4.724	0.118	1.35	ТМ
4FG9PC		4.497	-0.102	-1.16	4.511	-0.095	-1.09	PP
638U7P		4.608	0.009	0.10	4.611	0.005	0.06	EM
7QCZ9M		4.567	-0.032	-0.37	4.577	-0.029	-0.33	PP
8AGZT8		4.655	0.055	0.63	4.617	0.010	0.12	EM
8DG7CJ		4.638	0.039	0.44	4.654	0.048	0.55	LA
8WFJPQ		4.746	0.147	1.66	4.742	0.136	1.56	XX
9GF4XV		4.756	0.157	1.77	4.752	0.146	1.67	PP
ARBF73		4.611	0.012	0.13	4.589	-0.017	-0.19	LW
AYDQZE		4.580	-0.019	-0.22	4.583	-0.023	-0.26	LA
B474JH		4.566	-0.033	-0.38	4.585	-0.021	-0.24	ТМ
B9EC38		4.634	0.035	0.39	4.614	0.008	0.09	MS
BCEHLJ	X	0.120	-4.480	-50.74	0.121	-4.485	-51.37	МТ
CBYXUK		4.582	-0.017	-0.20	4.594	-0.012	-0.14	LA
CG77DB		4.504	-0.095	-1.08	4.513	-0.093	-1.06	FR
DVH4VF		4.522	-0.077	-0.88	4.494	-0.112	-1.28	PP
EAXKLJ		4.653	0.054	0.61	4.659	0.053	0.61	PP
EVYFDL		4.554	-0.045	-0.51	4.548	-0.058	-0.67	TA
EZRK66		4.400	-0.199	-2.26	4.440	-0.166	-1.90	ТМ
FGA3CV		4.512	-0.087	-0.99	4.528	-0.078	-0.89	PP
FLNTKC		4.589	-0.010	-0.12	4.622	0.016	0.18	EM
G42GMB	X	4.346	-0.253	-2.87	4.410	-0.196	-2.25	TA
G48UB8	*	4.617	0.018	0.20	4.683	0.077	0.88	EM
GRQ6DM		4.703	0.104	1.18	4.696	0.090	1.03	ТМ
H7Q9CM		4.581	-0.018	-0.21	4.584	-0.022	-0.25	ТА
HRNR97		4.629	0.030	0.34	4.617	0.011	0.12	XX
JAJKT6		4.393	-0.207	-2.34	4.413	-0.194	-2.22	ТМ
JFA23A		4.541	-0.058	-0.66	4.537	-0.069	-0.79	LA
JM8QLY		4.517	-0.082	-0.93	4.511	-0.095	-1.09	PP
JN3EY3		4.730	0.131	1.48	4.710	0.104	1.19	EM
JTUG79		4.673	0.074	0.84	4.677	0.071	0.81	EM
K2N2R2		4.508	-0.091	-1.04	4.476	-0.130	-1.49	LW
KBQLR9		4.440	-0.159	-1.80	4.450	-0.156	-1.79	LW
KMZ78U		4.560	-0.039	-0.44	4.560	-0.046	-0.53	ТМ
KU4AWT		4.646	0.046	0.53	4.689	0.083	0.95	XX
L9GV36		4.645	0.046	0.52	4.620	0.014	0.16	TA
LAUANT		4.639	0.039	0.45	4.649	0.043	0.49	ТМ



### Analysis 360 Thickness (Caliper), Printing papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

			Sample GV7	<u>71</u>		<u>Sample GV</u>	<u>72</u>	
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mea	n CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mee	an CPV	Instr Code
LEQ2U4		4.502	-0.097	-1.10	4.485	-0.121	-1.38	LW
MEZJBE		4.579	-0.021	-0.24	4.601	-0.005	-0.06	LW
NK7J3W		4.644	0.045	0.51	4.683	0.077	0.88	LW
PHFREW		4.717	0.118	1.34	4.732	0.126	1.44	LW
PWFCA3		4.573	-0.026	-0.30	4.623	0.017	0.19	ХХ
Q6KXGU		4.622	0.022	0.25	4.637	0.031	0.35	LW
Q88HHR	*	4.828	0.229	2.59	4.832	0.226	2.59	LW
QAU49T		4.693	0.094	1.06	4.664	0.058	0.66	LW
QYDCPQ		4.482	-0.117	-1.33	4.484	-0.122	-1.40	EM
RPQH82		4.627	0.028	0.31	4.633	0.027	0.31	EM
TFVY7Y	X	4,488.000	4,483.401	50,785.80	4,500.000	4,495.394	51,486.04	ТМ
TVQCNZ		4.545	-0.054	-0.61	4.576	-0.030	-0.34	PP
UNMTYA		4.577	-0.023	-0.25	4.594	-0.012	-0.14	LW
VGJATW		4.640	0.041	0.46	4.650	0.044	0.50	XX
VWDP4N		4.681	0.082	0.93	4.701	0.095	1.09	TA
W3GWG7		4.545	-0.054	-0.62	4.547	-0.059	-0.68	ТМ
WMLJZP		4.506	-0.093	-1.06	4.524	-0.082	-0.94	EM
WNWEN3		4.719	0.120	1.36	4.744	0.138	1.58	XX
XYAXJH		4.525	-0.074	-0.84	4.532	-0.074	-0.85	TA
ZLWGKC		4.663	0.064	0.73	4.639	0.033	0.38	LW
Summa	ry Sto	atistics		Sample GV	71	Sample G	/72	
Gran	d Me	ans		4.60 mils		4.61 mil	5	
Stnd	Dev	Btwn Labs		0.09 mils	s 0.09 mils			

### Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #360

G42GMB (X) - Data for sample GV71 are low.

TFVY7Y (X) - Extreme Data.

BCEHLJ (X) - Extreme Data.

#### Analysis Notes:

BCEHLJ - Data appear to be reported as micrometers, not mils as indicated on data entry form.

TFVY7Y - Data appear to be reported as mils, not inches as indicated on data entry form.

Statistics based on 58 of 61 reporting participants.



## Analysis 360 Thickness (Caliper), Printing papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants									
EM	Emveco	FR	Frank Instruments							
LA	L & W Autoline	LW	L & W							
MS	Messmer	MT	Mitutoyo							
PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus	TA	Thwing-Albert							
TM	ТМІ	XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab							







## Analysis 361 Thickness (Caliper), Packaging papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

			Sample GY71	-		<u>Sample GY72</u>			
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code	
2HBEVH		14.28	0.14	0.80	14.36	0.21	1.24	LA	
<b>3YZPDE</b>		13.96	-0.18	-1.04	14.02	-0.13	-0.76	ТА	
4FG9PC		14.13	-0.02	-0.10	14.22	0.07	0.42	LW	
6UG9ZR	*	14.14	0.00	-0.01	13.95	-0.20	-1.19	LW	
6ZYUPP		14.01	-0.13	-0.75	14.09	-0.06	-0.33	EM	
7BJBAJ		14.13	-0.01	-0.07	14.11	-0.04	-0.23	LW	
7FAVYK		14.28	0.14	0.80	14.33	0.18	1.06	LW	
8AGZT8		14.22	0.08	0.43	14.26	0.11	0.63	EM	
8WFJPQ	*	14.60	0.46	2.61	14.58	0.43	2.52	EM	
92LGR4		14.06	-0.08	-0.46	14.15	0.00	0.03	ТМ	
ARBF73		14.05	-0.09	-0.54	14.04	-0.11	-0.66	LW	
EZRK66		13.81	-0.33	-1.90	13.78	-0.37	-2.18	ТМ	
F446AG		13.90	-0.24	-1.38	13.96	-0.19	-1.12	ТМ	
FAVA4K		14.02	-0.13	-0.73	14.00	-0.15	-0.91	ТА	
G3PZ8C		14.08	-0.06	-0.36	13.99	-0.16	-0.94	ТМ	
G42GMB		13.88	-0.26	-1.50	14.00	-0.15	-0.87	ТА	
G6UMQ9		14.24	0.10	0.58	14.39	0.24	1.40	ТМ	
H7Q9CM		14.26	0.11	0.65	14.17	0.02	0.14	ТА	
JGGGC2		14.04	-0.10	-0.58	14.04	-0.11	-0.62	LA	
KPUK3Z		14.15	0.01	0.06	14.15	0.00	-0.01	LW	
L9GV36		14.24	0.10	0.57	14.15	0.00	-0.01	ТА	
LZ4PHU		14.05	-0.09	-0.52	14.03	-0.12	-0.68	ТМ	
NGAQD8		14.04	-0.10	-0.59	14.07	-0.08	-0.47	EM	
PWA2DW		14.19	0.05	0.27	14.13	-0.02	-0.11	EM	
Q3ZYFR		14.09	-0.05	-0.28	14.23	0.08	0.47	LW	
RGBWXX		14.31	0.16	0.94	14.31	0.16	0.98	LA	
TBGGNG		14.30	0.15	0.87	14.28	0.13	0.79	ТМ	
TMV8VY		14.20	0.06	0.34	14.09	-0.06	-0.38	VP	
UQQKT9		14.32	0.18	1.01	14.27	0.12	0.70	ТМ	
V2MVVN		14.14	-0.01	-0.04	14.17	0.02	0.15	ТМ	
VQMFR6		13.89	-0.26	-1.47	13.98	-0.17	-1.00	ТА	
WNWEN3		14.40	0.26	1.49	14.35	0.20	1.17	LA	
YBND3W		14.48	0.34	1.93	14.46	0.31	1.83	EM	
YMF4JU		13.96	-0.18	-1.04	13.97	-0.18	-1.05	LW	
Summary Statistics				Sample GY71		Sample GY72			
Grand Means				14.14 mils		14.15 mils			
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs				0.18 mils	0.17 mils				
					Statisti	cs based on 34 of	34 reporting	participants.	



## Analysis 361 Thickness (Caliper), Packaging papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants									
EM	Emveco	LA L & W Autoline								
LW	L & W	TA Thwing-Albert								
ТМ	TMI	VP Valmet Paper Lab								







### Analysis 364 Coefficient of Static Friction - Horizontal Plane Method - Printing Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T549

			<u>Sample GD71</u>		Sample GD72				
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab M	ean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
7FAVYK		0.6248	0.0305	0.37	0.63	394	0.0473	0.88	TL
8X7KTT		0.6824	0.0881	1.08	0.57	772	-0.0149	-0.28	ТА
FLNTKC		0.6032	0.0089	0.11	0.6	166	0.0245	0.46	ТА
G48UB8		0.6940	0.0997	1.22	0.67	700	0.0779	1.45	ТА
JN3EY3		0.4980	-0.0963	-1.18	0.52	220	-0.0701	-1.31	ТА
KMZ78U		0.4880	-0.1063	-1.30	0.50	362	-0.0559	-1.04	XX
KT8PAU		0.5694	-0.0249	-0.30	0.58	832	-0.0089	-0.17	IT
Summo	iry Stat	tistics		Sample GD71			Sample GD72		
Grai	nd Mec	ins		0.59 COF	0.59 COF				
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs				0.08 COF	0.05 COF				
						Sta	tistics based on 7 of	7 reporting	g participants.

### Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ΤA

XX

IT IMASS SP-2100

Thwing-Albert Friction Tester

TL TMI 32-90 Lab Master/Slip and Friction

Instrument make/model not specified by lab



# Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Testing Program Analysis 364 Coefficient of Static Friction - Horizontal Plane Method - Printing Papers

### TAPPI Official Test Method T549



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



### Analysis 365 Coefficient of Kinetic Friction - Horizontal Plane Method - Printing Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T549

	Sample GD71						Sample GD72			
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV		Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code	
8X7KTT		0.4694	0.0035	0.08		0.4566	-0.0179	-0.41	TA	
AQFRRD		0.5212	0.0553	1.31		0.5228	0.0483	1.10	ТА	
JM8QLY		0.4674	0.0015	0.03		0.4792	0.0047	0.11	TA	
KMZ78U		0.4690	0.0031	0.07		0.4866	0.0121	0.28	ХХ	
KT8PAU		0.3906	-0.0753	-1.79		0.3978	-0.0767	-1.75	IR	
QYDCPQ		0.4780	0.0121	0.29		0.5040	0.0295	0.67	ТА	
Summa	iry Stat	istics		Sample GD71			Sample GD72			
Grand Means				0.47 COF		0.47 COF				
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs				0.04 COF	0.04 COF					
						Sta	tistics based on 6 of	6 reporting	participants.	

## Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

IR IMASS SP-2000

TA Thwing-Albert Friction Tester

XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab



#### TAPPI Official Test Method T549



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



## Analysis 370 Air Resistance - Gurley Oil Type TAPPI Official Test Method T460

			<u>Sample GE71</u>			<u>Sample GE72</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
28BQYE		28.42	2.31	1.87	31.21	2.51	1.83	xx
2F6WTF		25.59	-0.52	-0.42	28.48	-0.22	-0.16	HG
2HBEVH		27.29	1.18	0.95	29.66	0.96	0.70	LA
2ZVZGU		25.22	-0.89	-0.72	28.76	0.06	0.04	LA
38UPYX		26.15	0.04	0.03	28.31	-0.40	-0.29	PP
4AUDQT		24.91	-1.20	-0.97	27.93	-0.77	-0.56	PR
4FG9PC		26.29	0.18	0.15	28.85	0.15	0.11	PP
4GRACB		26.71	0.60	0.49	30.25	1.55	1.13	GL
6UG9ZR		24.95	-1.16	-0.93	26.60	-2.10	-1.53	LP
8X7KTT		27.72	1.61	1.30	29.62	0.92	0.67	WG
99LY7F		25.68	-0.43	-0.34	29.30	0.60	0.44	LP
9GF4XV		27.24	1.13	0.91	28.96	0.26	0.19	PP
ARBE4H		27.59	1.48	1.20	30.78	2.08	1.52	PP
CBYXUK		28.29	2.18	1.76	31.53	2.83	2.07	LA
DVH4VF		26.30	0.19	0.16	28.08	-0.62	-0.45	HG
F446AG		26.53	0.42	0.34	28.90	0.20	0.15	TL
FGA3CV		25.96	-0.14	-0.12	26.88	-1.82	-1.33	HG
G48UB8		26.38	0.27	0.22	28.65	-0.05	-0.04	PP
H7Q9CM	X	29.43	3.32	2.68	28.80	0.10	0.07	PP
JN3EY3		25.47	-0.64	-0.52	29.22	0.52	0.38	PP
JTUG79		27.53	1.42	1.15	28.52	-0.19	-0.14	PP
K2N2R2		25.20	-0.91	-0.73	29.90	1.20	0.87	LW
KMZ78U		24.70	-1.41	-1.14	26.90	-1.80	-1.31	GS
KPUK3Z		26.43	0.32	0.26	28.97	0.27	0.20	LW
L9GV36		27.15	1.04	0.84	30.36	1.66	1.21	GA
NK7J3W		26.03	-0.08	-0.06	27.46	-1.24	-0.90	LP
PWA2DW		26.39	0.29	0.23	29.17	0.47	0.34	PP
Q88HHR		25.74	-0.37	-0.30	29.08	0.38	0.28	PP
QAU49T		25.13	-0.98	-0.79	26.53	-2.17	-1.58	LP
RNFQ3R		27.99	1.88	1.52	29.77	1.07	0.78	LP
RPQH82		24.62	-1.49	-1.20	27.49	-1.21	-0.88	PP
TMV8VY		23.50	-2.61	-2.10	27.89	-0.81	-0.59	VM
TTKRWU		26.32	0.21	0.17	28.00	-0.70	-0.51	XX
TVQCNZ		27.87	1.76	1.42	30.06	1.36	0.99	PP
VEHZ8V		23.83	-2.28	-1.84	26.55	-2.15	-1.57	LP
VGJATW		24.33	-1.78	-1.43	26.56	-2.14	-1.56	XX
WMLJZP		26.87	0.76	0.62	29.88	1.18	0.86	HG
XDQX8W		25.96	-0.15	-0.12	30.35	1.65	1.20	XX
XUDDBT		26.46	0.35	0.28	27.38	-1.32	-0.96	PP
XYAXJH		25.70	-0.41	-0.33	29.00	0.30	0.22	PP
YMF4JU		23.86	-2.25	-1.82	26.27	-2.44	-1.78	TL



### Analysis 370 Air Resistance - Gurley Oil Type TAPPI Official Test Method T460

Summary Statistics	Sample GE71	Sample GE72
Grand Means	26.11 sec/100 cc	28.70 sec/100 cc
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	1.24 sec/100 cc	1.37 sec/100 cc
		Statistics based on 40 of 41 reporting participants.

### Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #370

H7Q9CM (X) - Inconsistent in testing between samples.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants											
GA	Gurley Precision #4340 Automatic Densometer	GL	Gurley #4110									
GS	Gurley-Hill S-P-S Tester #4190	HG	Technidyne - Hagerty Model #1									
LA	L & W Autoline	LP	L & W Densometer, Air Permeance									
LW	L & W Type Gurley Densometer, Oil Flotation	PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus									
PR	Parker Print-Surf (PPS) Model M590	TL	Gurley Densometer #4110, Oil Flotation									
VM	Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani/Robotest)	WG	W & LE Gurley Tester									
XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab											







### Analysis 372 Porosity - Sheffield Type - Sheffield Units for 3/4 inch Diameter Orifice TAPPI Official Test Method T547

			Sample GE71			<u>Sample C</u>	<u> </u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Me	Diff fro an Grand N	om CPV Nean	Instr Code	
78WNJG		93.4	-16.6	-1.73	86	.8 -15.9	9 -2.30	GA	
HRNR97		119.9	9.9	1.04	103	.9 1.3	2 0.17	LA	
KMZ78U		117.7	7.7	0.81	106	.6 3.9	9 0.57	SH	
L9GV36		99.3	-10.6	-1.11	97	.0 -5.	7 -0.83	GA	
LAUANT		110.5	0.5	0.06	109	.0 6.3	3 0.91	TT	
MCFEXB		123.4	13.4	1.40	107	.4 4.	7 0.68	LP	
TFVY7Y		105.3	-4.7	-0.49	102	.4 -0.3	3 -0.04	TT	
TMV8VY		116.8	6.8	0.71	110	.4 7.	7 1.11	PP	
VWDP4N		104.4	-5.6	-0.58	100	.1 -2.0	6 -0.38	HM	
XYAXJH		109.0	-1.0	-0.10	103	.4 0.	7 0.10	PP	
Summa	iry Stat	tistics		Sample GE71		<u>Sample</u>	<u>GE72</u>		
Grand Means				109.97 Sheffield Units		102.69 Sheffield Units			
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			9.	9.58 Sheffield Units		6.91 Sheffield Units			
					Statistics based on 10 of 10 reporting participants.				

### Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

GA	Gurlev	Precision	#4340	Automatic	Densometer
UA	Concy	riecision	// TOTO	Noiomune	Demotificier

- HM Technidyne Hagerty Model #538
- LA L & W Roughness Sheffield Autoline
- LP L & W Densometer, Air Permeance

- PP Technidyne Profile/Plus
- TT TMI Monitor/Smoothness II, Model 58-24
- SH Sheffield





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



### Analysis 376 Roughness - Print Surf Method - 0.5 to 4.0 Microns TAPPI Official Test Method T555

			<u>Sample GJ7</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>Sample GJ7</u>	<u>2</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mear	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mear	n CPV	Instr Code	
6ZYUPP		0.8090	-0.0364	-0.46	0.8110	-0.0456	-0.56	ZZ	
7BJBAJ		0.8950	0.0496	0.63	0.8850	0.0284	0.35	ZZ	
8DG7CJ		0.7780	-0.0674	-0.85	0.7870	-0.0696	-0.85	ZZ	
8WFJPQ		0.8530	0.0076	0.10	0.8700	0.0134	0.16	ZZ	
8X7KTT		0.7650	-0.0804	-1.02	0.7690	-0.0876	-1.08	ZZ	
AQFRRD		0.8210	-0.0244	-0.31	0.7840	-0.0726	-0.89	ZZ	
ARBF73		0.8030	-0.0424	-0.54	0.8380	-0.0186	-0.23	ZZ	
AVCHXP	X	1.3780	0.5326	6.73	1.3680	0.5114	6.28	ZZ	
AYDQZE		0.9060	0.0606	0.77	0.8760	0.0194	0.24	ZZ	
DVH4VF		0.8180	-0.0274	-0.35	0.8480	-0.0086	-0.11	ZZ	
EAXKLJ		0.8150	-0.0304	-0.38	0.7790	-0.0776	-0.95	ZZ	
H7Q9CM		1.0010	0.1556	1.97	1.0230	0.1664	2.04	ZZ	
JN3EY3		0.8340	-0.0114	-0.14	0.8460	-0.0106	-0.13	ZZ	
JTUG79		0.8720	0.0266	0.34	0.9000	0.0434	0.53	ZZ	
K2MBGC	X	119.8000	118.9546	1,503.17	124.8000	123.9434	1,521.07	ZZ	
LXDMD7		0.8710	0.0256	0.32	0.9650	0.1084	1.33	ZZ	
NGAQD8		0.8050	-0.0404	-0.51	0.8220	-0.0346	-0.43	ZZ	
PHFREW		0.8140	-0.0314	-0.40	0.8590	0.0024	0.03	ZZ	
RGBWXX		0.9560	0.1106	1.40	1.0040	0.1474	1.81	ZZ	
RPQH82		0.8110	-0.0344	-0.44	0.7480	-0.1086	-1.33	ZZ	
TFVY7Y	*	1.0550	0.2096	2.65	0.9260	0.0694	0.85	ZZ	
TMV8VY		0.7830	-0.0624	-0.79	0.7820	-0.0746	-0.92	ZZ	
WDHJ93		0.7510	-0.0944	-1.19	0.6960	-0.1606	-1.97	ZZ	
WNWEN3		0.9640	0.1186	1.50	0.9490	0.0924	1.13	ZZ	
XYAXJH		0.8270	-0.0184	-0.23	0.8160	-0.0406	-0.50	ZZ	
YBND3W		0.7940	-0.0514	-0.65	0.8850	0.0284	0.35	ZZ	
ZUAN6L	*	0.7350	-0.1104	-1.40	0.9480	0.0914	1.12	ZZ	
Summa	ry Sta	tistics		Sample GJ71		Sample GJ7	72		
Gran	nd Mea	ans		0.85 Microns		0.86 Micron	IS		
Stnd	Dev B	8twn Labs		0.08 Microns	0.08 Microns				
					Statist	ics based on 25 d	of 27 reporting	g participants.	

### **Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #376**

AVCHXP (X) - Extreme Data.

K2MBGC (X) - Extreme Data.



Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked





## Analysis 377 Roughness - Print Surf Method - 2.5 to 6.0 Microns TAPPI Official Test Method T555

			<u>Sample GK71</u>	-		<u>Sample GK72</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2ZVZGU		3.362	-0.335	-1.35	3.723	-0.350	-1.52	ZZ
4FG9PC		3.611	-0.086	-0.34	3.935	-0.138	-0.60	ZZ
7FAVYK		3.648	-0.049	-0.20	3.998	-0.075	-0.33	ZZ
8WFJPQ		4.068	0.371	1.49	4.334	0.261	1.13	ZZ
8X7KTT		3.425	-0.272	-1.09	3.841	-0.232	-1.00	ZZ
FLNTKC		3.624	-0.073	-0.29	4.132	0.059	0.25	ZZ
G48UB8		3.687	-0.010	-0.04	4.076	0.003	0.01	ZZ
PWA2DW		4.087	0.390	1.57	4.455	0.382	1.65	ZZ
WNWEN3		3.759	0.062	0.25	4.164	0.091	0.39	ZZ
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GK71		Sample GK72		
Grand Means			3.70 Microns	4.07 Microns				
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs				0.25 Microns		0.23 Microns		
					Stat	istics based on 9 of	<sup>9</sup> reporting	g participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



## Analysis 378 Roughness - Sheffield Type TAPPI Official Test Method T538

			<u>Sample GL71</u>			<u>Sample GL72</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2F6WTF		119.1	1.5	0.21	116.8	-1.7	-0.24	TS
2ZVZGU		119.9	2.3	0.33	122.4	3.9	0.55	LA
38UPYX		110.0	-7.6	-1.05	108.5	-10.0	-1.41	SH
4FG9PC		115.2	-2.3	-0.32	122.3	3.8	0.54	PP
4J3UFD		111.7	-5.8	-0.81	110.0	-8.5	-1.21	MP
638U7P		116.8	-0.7	-0.10	120.3	1.8	0.26	PP
6ZYUPP		117.4	-0.2	-0.02	123.4	4.9	0.69	PP
78WNJG		122.5	4.9	0.69	124.1	5.6	0.79	GA
7BJBAJ		120.1	2.5	0.35	121.1	2.6	0.37	PP
7QCZ9M		113.0	-4.6	-0.63	115.0	-3.5	-0.50	PP
8WFJPQ		120.4	2.8	0.39	121.1	2.6	0.37	LW
8X7KTT		126.2	8.6	1.20	131.2	12.7	1.79	ХХ
9GF4XV		115.3	-2.2	-0.31	115.7	-2.8	-0.40	PP
AQFRRD		122.8	5.2	0.73	124.9	6.4	0.90	HM
ARBE4H		119.5	1.9	0.27	116.0	-2.6	-0.36	PP
CBYXUK		100.9	-16.7	-2.31	105.0	-13.5	-1.91	LA
D2NV9K		114.8	-2.8	-0.38	119.8	1.3	0.18	LA
DVH4VF		110.4	-7.2	-0.99	116.9	-1.6	-0.23	HM
EZRK66	*	136.0	18.4	2.56	132.5	14.0	1.98	GL
FAVA4K		106.1	-11.5	-1.59	108.4	-10.1	-1.43	PP
FGA3CV		115.2	-2.4	-0.33	109.6	-8.9	-1.26	НМ
FLNTKC		110.0	-7.6	-1.05	115.4	-3.1	-0.44	PP
G48UB8		110.8	-6.7	-0.93	116.1	-2.4	-0.35	PP
HRNR97		117.6	0.0	0.01	113.6	-4.9	-0.69	LA
JFA23A		124.5	6.9	0.96	125.2	6.7	0.95	LA
JN3EY3		110.7	-6.9	-0.95	111.8	-6.7	-0.95	PP
JPUDC2		114.9	-2.7	-0.37	117.3	-1.2	-0.17	GA
JTUG79		109.8	-7.7	-1.07	113.6	-4.9	-0.70	PP
K2MBGC		119.8	2.2	0.31	124.8	6.3	0.89	LW
K2N2R2		122.8	5.2	0.73	124.5	6.0	0.85	TS
KMZ78U		114.4	-3.2	-0.44	114.6	-3.9	-0.55	XX
L9GV36		115.7	-1.9	-0.26	117.9	-0.6	-0.09	PP
LAUANT	*	137.5	19.9	2.77	137.0	18.5	2.61	TT
MCFEXB	*	122.8	5.2	0.73	112.9	-5.6	-0.79	LW
NGAQD8		109.9	-7.6	-1.06	110.7	-7.8	-1.10	PP
PWA2DW		121.4	3.8	0.53	120.5	2.0	0.28	LW
PWFCA3		125.5	7.9	1.10	129.5	11.0	1.55	XX
Q88HHR		106.1	-11.4	-1.58	105.6	-12.9	-1.83	PP
QZTGGW		110.3	-7.3	-1.01	112.6	-5.9	-0.84	TT
RGBWXX		120.1	2.5	0.35	126.2	7.7	1.09	LA
RJGCF3		124.2	6.6	0.92	122.7	4.2	0.59	XX



Report #3022G, October 2019

## Analysis 378 Roughness - Sheffield Type TAPPI Official Test Method T538

			<u>Sample GL71</u>					
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
RNFQ3R		111.2	-6.4	-0.88	109.5	-9.0	-1.27	LW
TFVY7Y		121.0	3.4	0.48	120.7	2.2	0.31	TT
TMV8VY		114.9	-2.7	-0.37	111.8	-6.7	-0.95	VM
TVQCNZ		120.1	2.5	0.35	122.8	4.3	0.61	PP
UQQKT9	*	137.7	20.2	2.80	132.6	14.0	1.99	GA
VGJATW		116.5	-1.1	-0.15	114.4	-4.1	-0.58	XX
VQMFR6		114.1	-3.5	-0.48	114.7	-3.8	-0.53	PP
WMLJZP		115.7	-1.9	-0.26	112.7	-5.8	-0.82	HM
WNWEN3		121.6	4.0	0.56	123.1	4.6	0.65	LA
XUDDBT		118.3	0.7	0.10	119.6	1.1	0.15	PP
XYAXJH		117.9	0.3	0.05	119.3	0.8	0.11	PP
YBND3W		119.1	1.6	0.22	122.5	4.0	0.56	PP
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GL71		Sample GL72		
Grand Means			1	17.55 Sheffield	ield 118.51 Sheffield			
Stnd	Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			7.21 Sheffield	7.08 Sheffield			
					Statistic	cs based on 53 of	53 reporting	participants.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants									
GA	Gurley Precision #4340 Automatic Densometer	GL	Giddings and Lewis Sheffield							
нм	Technidyne - Hagerty Model #538	LA	L & W Roughness Sheffield - Autoline							
LW	L & W Roughness Tester	MP	Metso Paperlab							
PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus	SH	Sheffield (Bendix Precisionaire)							
TS	TMI Monitor/Smoothness, Model 58-02	TT	TMI Monitor/Smoothness II, Model 58-24							
VM	Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani\Robotest)	XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab							







### Analysis 382 Moisture in Paper TAPPI Official Test Method T412

		:	Sample GM7	<u>L</u>	Sample GM72			
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
8GGH8J		4.207	-0.285	-0.93	4.157	-0.205	-0.66	ZZ
B9EC38		4.295	-0.197	-0.64	4.240	-0.122	-0.39	ZZ
CWHKWT		4.728	0.236	0.77	4.503	0.141	0.45	ZZ
G48UB8		4.881	0.390	1.27	4.874	0.512	1.64	ZZ
GRTW74		4.281	-0.211	-0.69	4.053	-0.309	-0.99	ZZ
KB9GAA		4.927	0.435	1.42	4.746	0.383	1.23	ZZ
KU4AWT		4.520	0.029	0.09	4.410	0.047	0.15	ZZ
QAU49T		4.106	-0.386	-1.26	4.018	-0.344	-1.10	ZZ
QGD349		4.900	0.408	1.34	4.870	0.508	1.63	ZZ
R6J976		4.431	-0.061	-0.20	4.377	0.014	0.05	ZZ
V2MVVN		4.074	-0.418	-1.37	3.939	-0.423	-1.36	ZZ
ZLWGKC		4.341	-0.150	-0.49	4.195	-0.167	-0.54	ZZ
ZZHWNC		4.700	0.208	0.68	4.330	-0.032	-0.10	ZZ
Summa	ry Stat	istics		Sample GM71	-	Sample GM72		
Grand Means				4.49 Percent		4.36 Percent		
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs				0.31 Percent		0.31 Percent		
					Statisti	cs based on 13 of	13 reporting	participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



## Analysis 384 Opacity (89% Reflectance Backing) - Fine Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T425

			<u>Sample GN71</u>			Sample GN72				
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code		
2F6WTF	X	95.51	-0.71	-1.87	96.06	-0.17	-0.47	ZZ		
2ZVZGU		95.86	-0.36	-0.95	96.14	-0.09	-0.26	ZZ		
38UPYX		95.99	-0.23	-0.61	96.05	-0.18	-0.50	ZZ		
4AUDQT		96.19	-0.04	-0.10	96.39	0.16	0.43	ZZ		
4FG9PC		95.92	-0.31	-0.81	95.82	-0.41	-1.12	ZZ		
638U7P		96.34	0.12	0.31	96.35	0.12	0.33	ZZ		
7QCZ9M		96.14	-0.08	-0.22	96.19	-0.04	-0.12	ZZ		
8DG7CJ		96.16	-0.06	-0.17	96.02	-0.21	-0.58	ZZ		
9GF4XV		96.04	-0.19	-0.49	95.96	-0.27	-0.73	ZZ		
AQFRRD		96.42	0.20	0.51	96.47	0.24	0.64	ZZ		
AVCHXP	*	97.41	1.18	3.09	97.29	1.06	2.87	ZZ		
AYDQZE		96.19	-0.03	-0.08	96.23	0.00	-0.01	ZZ		
CBYXUK	X	92.12	-4.10	-10.73	92.05	-4.18	-11.34	ZZ		
DVH4VF		96.19	-0.03	-0.09	96.12	-0.11	-0.31	ZZ		
ECNLPL		96.61	0.39	1.01	96.48	0.24	0.66	ZZ		
EZRK66	X	99.87	3.65	9.54	99.75	3.52	9.53	ZZ		
FLNTKC		96.02	-0.21	-0.54	96.04	-0.19	-0.52	ZZ		
G48UB8		96.63	0.40	1.06	96.68	0.45	1.22	ZZ		
G6UMQ9		95.82	-0.41	-1.06	95.73	-0.50	-1.35	ZZ		
GRQ6DM		96.30	0.08	0.20	96.30	0.07	0.18	ZZ		
H7Q9CM	*	96.22	-0.01	-0.02	96.58	0.35	0.95	ZZ		
HRNR97		95.93	-0.29	-0.77	95.98	-0.25	-0.69	ZZ		
JN3EY3		96.68	0.46	1.19	96.63	0.39	1.06	ZZ		
K2N2R2		96.25	0.03	0.07	96.16	-0.07	-0.20	ZZ		
KMZ78U	X	96.32	0.10	0.25	95.18	-1.05	-2.86	ZZ		
L9GV36		95.87	-0.35	-0.93	95.97	-0.26	-0.71	ZZ		
LAUANT		95.78	-0.44	-1.16	95.75	-0.48	-1.31	ZZ		
PWFCA3		96.24	0.01	0.03	96.22	-0.01	-0.03	ZZ		
Q88HHR		96.44	0.22	0.57	96.37	0.14	0.37	ZZ		
RPQH82		96.00	-0.22	-0.59	96.19	-0.04	-0.12	ZZ		
TFVY7Y		96.23	0.01	0.02	96.26	0.03	0.07	ZZ		
TVQCNZ		95.93	-0.29	-0.77	95.79	-0.44	-1.20	ZZ		
VGJATW	*	97.35	1.13	2.96	97.27	1.03	2.80	ZZ		
WMLJZP		95.95	-0.27	-0.72	95.91	-0.32	-0.88	ZZ		
XYAXJH		96.12	-0.10	-0.27	96.09	-0.14	-0.39	ZZ		
ZUAN6L		95.97	-0.25	-0.66	96.03	-0.21	-0.56	ZZ		



### Analysis 384 Opacity (89% Reflectance Backing) - Fine Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T425

Summary Statistics	Sample GN71	Sample GN72
Grand Means	96.22 Percent	96.23 Percent
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	0.38 Percent	0.37 Percent
		Statistics based on 32 of 36 reporting participants.

### Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #384

CBYXUK (X) - Extreme Data.

KMZ78U (X) - Data for sample GN72 are low.

EZRK66 (X) - Extreme Data.

2F6WTF (X) - Inconsistent in testing between samples.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked







### Analysis 386 Opacity (Paper Backing) - Fine Papers and Newsprint TAPPI Official Test Method T519

			Sample GP71			<u>Sample GP72</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
38TQZJ		93.49	0.11	1.07	93.36	0.02	0.34	ZZ
6UG9ZR		93.49	0.11	1.04	93.38	0.04	0.69	ZZ
8AGZT8		93.21	-0.17	-1.58	93.25	-0.08	-1.37	ZZ
99LY7F		93.31	-0.07	-0.65	93.35	0.02	0.30	ZZ
ARBF73		93.47	0.09	0.83	93.27	-0.06	-0.99	ZZ
B474JH		93.50	0.12	1.14	93.45	0.12	1.93	ZZ
F446AG		93.39	0.01	0.11	93.33	0.00	-0.06	ZZ
KPUK3Z		93.37	0.00	-0.05	93.39	0.05	0.87	ZZ
KU4AWT		93.44	0.06	0.54	93.36	0.03	0.49	ZZ
Q6KXGU		93.30	-0.08	-0.74	93.27	-0.06	-0.98	ZZ
QAU49T		93.35	-0.03	-0.27	93.35	0.02	0.33	ZZ
UNMTYA		93.28	-0.10	-0.90	93.36	0.02	0.39	ZZ
V8FVC6		93.20	-0.18	-1.69	93.33	0.00	-0.05	ZZ
XDQX8W		93.50	0.12	1.14	93.22	-0.11	-1.88	ZZ
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GP71		Sample GP72		
Grand Means				93.38 Percent		93.33 Percent		
Stnd	Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			0.11 Percent		0.06 Percent		
					Statist	tics based on 14 of	14 reporting	g participants.

### Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



### Analysis 390 Directional Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T452

			Sample GR71	-		<u>Sample GR72</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2F6WTF		83.40	-0.77	-0.66	82.49	-0.92	-0.76	TS
2ZVZGU		83.90	-0.28	-0.24	82.89	-0.52	-0.43	TS
638U7P		83.15	-1.02	-0.88	82.39	-1.02	-0.84	TS
6ZYUPP		84.11	-0.06	-0.05	83.40	-0.01	-0.01	HG
7BJBAJ		83.55	-0.62	-0.54	82.54	-0.87	-0.72	PP
7QCZ9M		83.39	-0.79	-0.67	82.35	-1.06	-0.87	XX
8DG7CJ		85.22	1.04	0.90	84.42	1.02	0.84	TS
8WFJPQ		82.00	-2.17	-1.87	81.54	-1.87	-1.55	TT
AQFRRD		83.21	-0.96	-0.83	82.35	-1.06	-0.87	TS
AVCHXP		84.77	0.60	0.52	84.03	0.62	0.51	VM
B9EC38		84.25	0.08	0.07	83.65	0.24	0.20	ХХ
C6U27E		85.23	1.06	0.91	84.35	0.94	0.78	TS
FAVA4K		83.46	-0.71	-0.61	82.53	-0.88	-0.72	TS
G6UMQ9		85.11	0.94	0.81	84.17	0.77	0.64	TS
JAJKT6		84.50	0.33	0.28	83.76	0.36	0.29	HG
JN3EY3		83.38	-0.80	-0.69	82.80	-0.61	-0.50	TT
K2MBGC	X	86.53	2.35	2.02	86.89	3.49	2.88	HZ
KMZ78U	*	87.36	3.18	2.74	86.70	3.29	2.72	PE
L9GV36		84.62	0.44	0.38	84.08	0.67	0.55	XC
LAUANT		85.66	1.49	1.28	85.35	1.94	1.61	TS
NGAQD8		85.24	1.06	0.91	84.43	1.02	0.84	TT
PWA2DW		85.03	0.86	0.74	84.18	0.77	0.64	HG
PWFCA3		84.78	0.61	0.52	84.24	0.84	0.69	XX
Q88HHR		82.94	-1.24	-1.06	82.16	-1.24	-1.03	TT
RPQH82		83.10	-1.07	-0.92	81.96	-1.44	-1.19	TT
TVQCNZ		82.69	-1.49	-1.28	82.19	-1.22	-1.01	TT
VGJATW	X	69.53	-14.64	-12.58	68.12	-15.29	-12.65	XX
VQMFR6		83.22	-0.95	-0.82	82.37	-1.03	-0.86	TS
YBND3W		85.42	1.24	1.07	84.65	1.24	1.03	HG
Summary Statistics				Sample GR71	Sample GR72			
Gran	nd Mec	ans		84.17 Percent		83.41 Percent	t	
Stnd	Dev B	stwn Labs		1.16 Percent	nt 1.21 Percent			
					Statisti	cs based on 27 of	29 reporting p	articipants.

### Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #390

VGJATW (X) - Extreme Data.

K2MBGC (X) - Data for sample GR72 are high.



VM

### **Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Testing Program** Analysis 390

# **Directional Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T452**

# Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

- Hunter Labscan / XE HG
- Photovolt 577 PΕ
- Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S-5 TS Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani/Robotest)
- Hunter Lab ColorFlex EZ Series ΗZ
- Technidyne Profile/Plus PP
- Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S4-M TT
- X-Rite Color i5 XC
- Instrument make/model not specified by lab XX







### Analysis 391 Directional Brightness of Fluorescent Samples TAPPI Official Test Method T452

			<u>Sample GZ71</u>	<b>.</b>		<u>Sample GZ72</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2F6WTF		95.18	-0.20	-0.29	95.17	-0.22	-0.34	TS
38UPYX		93.70	-1.69	-2.44	93.76	-1.64	-2.48	HT
4AUDQT		96.45	1.06	1.53	96.45	1.05	1.60	TS
4FG9PC		95.74	0.35	0.51	95.82	0.43	0.65	TS
AYDQZE		95.42	0.03	0.04	95.42	0.03	0.04	TS
CBYXUK		95.12	-0.27	-0.39	95.20	-0.20	-0.30	TT
DVH4VF		95.75	0.36	0.52	96.24	0.84	1.28	TT
G48UB8		95.07	-0.32	-0.46	95.22	-0.18	-0.27	TS
H7Q9CM		95.21	-0.18	-0.25	94.99	-0.40	-0.61	PP
K2N2R2		95.20	-0.19	-0.27	95.24	-0.16	-0.24	TS
PWFCA3		96.14	0.75	1.09	96.13	0.74	1.12	XX
TFVY7Y	*	96.56	1.17	1.69	95.94	0.54	0.83	ТТ
V8FVC6		95.15	-0.23	-0.34	95.17	-0.22	-0.34	TS
WMLJZP		95.08	-0.31	-0.44	95.08	-0.32	-0.48	HT
ZUAN6L		95.04	-0.35	-0.50	95.09	-0.31	-0.47	PP
Summa	ry Stat	istics		Sample GZ71	-	Sample GZ72	2	

Sommary Statistics	Sample GZ/1	Sample GZ72
Grand Means	95.39 Percent	95.40 Percent
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	0.69 Percent	0.66 Percent
		Statistics based on 15 of 15 reporting participants.

## Analysis Notes:

DVH4VF - One determination removed from the Lab Mean of Sample GZ71 per Grubb's Test at 1% risk (TAPPI 1205).

## Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

HT Hunter UltraScan Vis

- PP Technidyne Profile/Plus
- TS Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S-5
- TT Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S4-M
- XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



### Analysis 392 Diffuse Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T525

			Sample GR71	-		<u>Sample GR72</u>			
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code	
2ZVZGU		83.68	0.12	0.31	82.87	0.06	0.28	TC	
7BJBAJ		83.95	0.39	1.06	83.17	0.37	1.64	LT	
8AGZT8		83.51	-0.05	-0.15	82.60	-0.21	-0.93	LA	
8WFJPQ		82.90	-0.66	-1.80	82.68	-0.13	-0.57	EG	
99LY7F		83.77	0.21	0.56	82.94	0.13	0.59	тс	
AQFRRD		83.38	-0.19	-0.51	82.63	-0.17	-0.77	LT	
ARBF73		83.74	0.17	0.47	83.10	0.30	1.33	AC	
B474JH		83.73	0.17	0.46	82.79	-0.02	-0.09	тс	
B7RPC6		83.04	-0.52	-1.43	82.71	-0.09	-0.41	ΤZ	
B9EC38		83.75	0.19	0.52	82.87	0.07	0.29	EE	
C6U27E		83.61	0.05	0.12	82.65	-0.15	-0.69	TC	
EAXKLJ		83.62	0.06	0.16	82.67	-0.13	-0.59	тс	
F446AG		84.23	0.66	1.81	83.36	0.56	2.51	ТМ	
KBQLR9		82.66	-0.90	-2.46	82.44	-0.37	-1.65	тс	
KU4AWT		83.39	-0.17	-0.48	82.57	-0.24	-1.07	EG	
LEQ2U4		83.52	-0.04	-0.12	82.68	-0.13	-0.57	TC	
NGAQD8		83.94	0.38	1.02	83.10	0.30	1.33	TL	
PHFREW		83.94	0.38	1.03	82.88	0.07	0.33	тс	
PWA2DW		82.83	-0.74	-2.01	82.53	-0.27	-1.23	тс	
QAU49T		83.57	0.01	0.02	82.75	-0.05	-0.25	LE	
QYDCPQ		83.59	0.03	0.09	82.80	-0.01	-0.04	TC	
RNFQ3R		83.89	0.33	0.90	83.09	0.29	1.29	EF	
RUG3W4		83.57	0.00	0.01	82.77	-0.04	-0.16	тс	
UNMTYA		83.73	0.17	0.46	82.88	0.07	0.32	LE	
V8FVC6		83.53	-0.03	-0.09	82.63	-0.17	-0.78	TC	
XUDDBT		83.88	0.31	0.85	83.01	0.21	0.93	TC	
ХҮАХЈН		83.26	-0.30	-0.83	82.58	-0.23	-1.03	TC	
Summo	iry Stat	tistics		Sample GR71		Sample GR72			
Gran	Grand Means					82.80 Percent			
Stnd	Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			0.37 Percent	0.22 Percent				
					Statisti	cs based on 27 of	27 reporting p	articipants.	



### Analysis 392 Diffuse Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T525

## Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

EE

- AC ACS Spectro-Sensor II
- EF Datacolor Elrepho 3000
- LA L & W Elrepho Autoline
- LT L & W Elrepho SE 071
- TL Technidyne Technibrite TB-1

- EG Datacolor Elrepho 450X LE L & W Elrepho
- TC Technidyne Color Touch Series

Datacolor Elrepho 2000

TM Technidyne Technibrite Micro TB-1C

TZ Technibrite Model TB-1

Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Testing Program Analysis 392 Diffuse Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T525





## Analysis 394 Fluorescent Component of Directional Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T452

			<u>Sample GZ71</u>			<u>Sample GZ72</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2F6WTF		8.188	0.256	0.78	8.090	0.156	0.50	TS
4AUDQT		7.880	-0.052	-0.16	7.920	-0.014	-0.05	TS
4FG9PC		8.442	0.510	1.54	8.448	0.514	1.66	TS
AYDQZE		8.076	0.144	0.44	8.070	0.136	0.44	TS
CBYXUK		7.560	-0.372	-1.13	7.600	-0.334	-1.08	TT
DVH4VF		8.140	0.208	0.63	8.160	0.226	0.73	тт
G48UB8		8.104	0.172	0.52	8.080	0.146	0.47	TS
H7Q9CM		7.894	-0.038	-0.12	7.894	-0.040	-0.13	PP
PWFCA3		7.256	-0.676	-2.05	7.308	-0.626	-2.02	XX
V8FVC6		7.682	-0.250	-0.76	7.682	-0.252	-0.82	TS
ZUAN6L		8.030	0.098	0.30	8.022	0.088	0.28	PP
Summa	ry Stat	istics		Sample GZ71		Sample GZ72		
Gran	Grand Means					7.93 Percent		
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs				0.33 Percent		0.31 Percent		
					Statist	ics based on 11 of	11 reportin	g participants.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants								
PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus	TS	Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S-5						
TT	Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S4-M	XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab						





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



### Analysis 395 Specular Gloss at 75 Degrees - High Range TAPPI Official Test Method T480

			<u>Sample GT7</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>Sample GT72</u>			
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code	
6ZYUPP		82.44	4.08	2.12	83.61	5.39	2.04	ТН	
7BJBAJ		77.23	-1.13	-0.59	77.30	-0.92	-0.35	GA	
8DG7CJ		75.72	-2.64	-1.37	76.04	-2.18	-0.83	LA	
8WFJPQ		79.32	0.96	0.50	78.69	0.47	0.18	TH	
ARBF73		77.66	-0.70	-0.37	77.79	-0.43	-0.16	LB	
AVCHXP		79.23	0.87	0.45	79.21	0.99	0.38	VM	
AYDQZE		78.23	-0.13	-0.07	78.29	0.07	0.03	LA	
DVH4VF		75.07	-3.29	-1.71	74.97	-3.25	-1.23	PP	
H7Q9CM		79.14	0.78	0.40	79.60	1.38	0.52	PP	
NGAQD8		77.55	-0.81	-0.42	77.86	-0.36	-0.14	GM	
RGBWXX	*	77.38	-0.98	-0.51	72.51	-5.71	-2.16	LF	
RPQH82	X	66.08	-12.28	-6.38	66.07	-12.15	-4.61	TH	
TMV8VY		79.51	1.15	0.59	79.78	1.56	0.59	GM	
V8FVC6		76.58	-1.78	-0.93	76.38	-1.84	-0.70	LA	
WNWEN3		81.33	2.97	1.54	81.82	3.60	1.37	LA	
YBND3W		79.70	1.34	0.69	80.17	1.95	0.74	ТН	
ZUAN6L		77.74	-0.62	-0.32	77.48	-0.74	-0.28	PP	
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GT7	<u>l</u>	Sample GT72	2		
Grand Means				78.36 Gloss Un	iits 7	78.22 Gloss Units			
Stnd	Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			1.93 Gloss Uni	ts	2.64 Gloss Units			
					Statist	tics based on 16 of	17 reporting	participants.	

### Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #395

RPQH82 (X) - Extreme Data.

### Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

- **GA** BYK-Gardner (model not specified)
- LA L & W Gloss Autoline 300
- LF L & W Autoline 400
- TH Technidyne T480A

- GM BYK-Gardner micro-glossLB L & W Gloss Tester Code 224
- **PP** Technidyne Profile/Plus
- VM Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani/Robotest)





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



## Analysis 396 Specular Gloss at 75 Degrees - Low Range TAPPI Official Test Method T480

		Sample GU71			Sample GU72			
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mear	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
ARBF73		33.36	0.29	0.25	34.18	0.52	0.42	LA
FLNTKC		33.00	-0.07	-0.06	34.02	0.36	0.29	PP
H7Q9CM		33.85	0.78	0.67	34.98	1.32	1.06	PP
K2MBGC		33.65	0.58	0.50	33.94	0.28	0.22	GS
L9GV36		32.70	-0.37	-0.31	34.50	0.84	0.67	ТН
PWA2DW		34.45	1.38	1.18	34.18	0.52	0.42	PP
Q88HHR		32.16	-0.91	-0.78	34.17	0.51	0.41	ТН
V8FVC6		31.16	-1.91	-1.63	31.38	-2.28	-1.82	LA
XYAXJH		34.70	1.63	1.40	33.90	0.24	0.19	ТН
ZLWGKC		31.63	-1.44	-1.23	31.35	-2.31	-1.85	ZT
Summary Statistics			Sample GU71		Sample GU72	)		
Grand Means			33.07 Gloss Units	. 3	33.66 Gloss Units			
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			1.17 Gloss Units		1.25 Gloss Units			
					Statist	ics based on 10 of	10 reportin	g participants.

## Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

GS BYK-Gardner Glossgard II

**PP** Technidyne Profile/Plus

LA L & W Gloss - Autoline 300

TH Technidyne T480A

ZT Zehntner ZLR 1020





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



## Analysis 398 Grammage (Mass per Unit Area) TAPPI Official Test Method T410

			Sample GW7	<u>l</u>	Sample GW72			
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
38UPYX		89.32	-0.09	-0.17	102.8	-0.7	-1.29	ZZ
4AUDQT		89.90	0.49	0.95	104.6	1.0	1.81	ZZ
638U7P	X	88.75	-0.66	-1.28	1.0	-102.5	-183.81	ZZ
6UG9ZR		89.29	-0.12	-0.23	103.4	-0.2	-0.33	ZZ
7QCZ9M		88.81	-0.60	-1.17	103.6	0.1	0.13	ZZ
ARBF73		88.89	-0.52	-1.01	103.5	-0.1	-0.10	ZZ
B9EC38		89.44	0.03	0.05	103.9	0.4	0.70	ZZ
CG77DB		89.91	0.50	0.96	103.9	0.4	0.66	ZZ
CWHKWT		88.65	-0.76	-1.48	103.6	0.1	0.11	ZZ
GRQ6DM		88.86	-0.55	-1.07	102.6	-0.9	-1.69	ZZ
HRNR97		90.23	0.82	1.59	104.5	1.0	1.76	ZZ
JAJKT6	*	89.44	0.03	0.06	102.4	-1.1	-2.01	ZZ
JFA23A		90.20	0.79	1.54	103.8	0.2	0.38	ZZ
JGGGC2		88.93	-0.48	-0.93	103.2	-0.3	-0.54	ZZ
K2N2R2		88.96	-0.45	-0.87	103.2	-0.4	-0.68	ZZ
KB9GAA		90.65	1.24	2.41	104.3	0.8	1.38	ZZ
KPUK3Z		89.35	-0.06	-0.12	103.3	-0.3	-0.52	ZZ
KU4AWT		89.19	-0.22	-0.43	103.7	0.2	0.36	ZZ
L9GV36		89.80	0.39	0.77	103.4	-0.2	-0.32	ZZ
LZ4PHU		89.39	-0.02	-0.05	103.4	-0.1	-0.24	ZZ
N4UXJ7		89.66	0.25	0.49	104.1	0.6	1.02	ZZ
Q3ZYFR		89.52	0.11	0.22	103.5	-0.1	-0.11	ZZ
Q6KXGU		89.57	0.16	0.31	103.9	0.3	0.59	ZZ
Q88HHR		89.76	0.35	0.68	103.9	0.3	0.58	ZZ
QAU49T		89.75	0.34	0.66	104.1	0.5	0.95	ZZ
RMEZR3		90.03	0.62	1.20	104.2	0.7	1.24	ZZ
V2MVVN		89.09	-0.32	-0.62	102.8	-0.8	-1.40	ZZ
WMLJZP		88.72	-0.69	-1.34	103.7	0.1	0.22	ZZ
XYAXJH		89.04	-0.37	-0.72	102.8	-0.8	-1.38	ZZ
XZ7H4W		88.54	-0.87	-1.69	102.8	-0.7	-1.25	ZZ
ZLWGKC		89.41	0.00	0.00	103.5	0.0	-0.03	ZZ
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GW71	<u> </u>	Sample GW7	<u>'2</u>	
Grand Means				89.41 g/sq m		103.54 g/sq r	n	
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs				0.51 g/sq m		0.56 g/sq m		
	Statistics based on 30 of 31 reporting participant					articipants.		

## Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #398

638U7P (X) - Extreme Data for Sample GW72.



Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked







Report #3022G, October 2019

## Analysis 399 Sizing Test (Hercules Type) TAPPI Official Test Method T530

			Sample GX71			<u>Sample GX72</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2F6WTF		11.17	0.66	0.25	11.030	1.209	0.42	HE
2HBEVH		8.93	-1.58	-0.59	8.350	-1.471	-0.51	HE
2ZVZGU	X	15.80	5.29	1.98	9.710	-0.111	-0.04	XX
4FG9PC		8.59	-1.92	-0.72	8.410	-1.411	-0.49	HE
638U7P		11.30	0.79	0.30	11.100	1.279	0.44	HE
7FAVYK		9.78	-0.73	-0.27	9.150	-0.671	-0.23	HE
7QCZ9M	X	29.78	19.27	7.22	26.360	16.539	5.70	XX
9GF4XV		9.29	-1.22	-0.46	8.330	-1.491	-0.51	HE
AQFRRD		8.78	-1.73	-0.65	6.910	-2.911	-1.00	HE
AYDQZE		9.74	-0.77	-0.29	9.700	-0.121	-0.04	HE
EZRK66		13.25	2.74	1.03	13.660	3.839	1.32	HE
FAVA4K		7.37	-3.14	-1.17	6.610	-3.211	-1.11	HE
FLNTKC		16.60	6.09	2.28	15.820	5.999	2.07	HE
G42GMB	*	11.01	0.50	0.19	8.130	-1.691	-0.58	HE
G48UB8		8.94	-1.57	-0.59	8.360	-1.461	-0.50	HE
GFU3T7		9.45	-1.06	-0.40	9.750	-0.071	-0.02	HE
H7Q9CM		6.69	-3.82	-1.43	6.450	-3.371	-1.16	HE
HRNR97		14.09	3.58	1.34	12.910	3.089	1.06	HE
JN3EY3		12.10	1.59	0.60	9.810	-0.011	0.00	HE
K2N2R2		11.40	0.89	0.33	10.900	1.079	0.37	HE
KMZ78U	X	19.15	8.64	3.24	11.910	2.089	0.72	HE
LAUANT		10.50	-0.01	0.00	9.500	-0.321	-0.11	HE
MCFEXB		9.19	-1.32	-0.49	7.800	-2.021	-0.70	HE
QF3MA8		10.09	-0.42	-0.16	8.710	-1.111	-0.38	HE
TFVY7Y		10.96	0.45	0.17	10.260	0.439	0.15	HE
TMV8VY		10.07	-0.44	-0.16	10.360	0.539	0.19	HE
TVQCNZ		17.30	6.79	2.54	17.330	7.509	2.59	HE
VGJATW		6.03	-4.48	-1.68	4.620	-5.201	-1.79	XX
VQMFR6		7.80	-2.71	-1.01	7.300	-2.521	-0.87	HE
ХҮАХЈН		13.30	2.79	1.05	13.900	4.079	1.41	HE
Summary Statistics Sample GX71 Sample GX72								

		-	-
Grand Means	10.51 Second	s 9.82 Seconds	
Stnd Dev Btwn Lab	s 2.67 Seconds	2.90 Seconds	
		Statistics based on 27 of	30 reporting participants.



### Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #399

2ZVZGU (X) - Inconsistent in testing between samples.

KMZ78U (X) - Data for sample GX71 are high. Inconsistent within the determinations of sample GX71.

7QCZ9M (X) - Extreme Data.

**HE** Hercules Sizing Tester

XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab







-End of Report-