

Paper & Paperboard Testing Program

Summary Report #3042 G - February 2020

Introduction to the Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Program Explanation of Tables and Definitions of Terms

Analysis Analysis Name

- 350 Color & Color Difference Near White Papers C/2deg obs
- 351 Color & Color Difference Near White Papers D65/10deg obs
- 360 Thickness (Caliper), Printing papers
- 361 Thickness (Caliper), Packaging papers
- 364 Coefficient of Static Friction Horizontal Plane Method Printing Papers
- 365 Coefficient of Kinetic Friction Horizontal Plane Method Printing Papers
- 370 Air Resistance Gurley Oil Type
- 372 Porosity Sheffield Type Sheffield Units for 3/4 inch Diameter Orifice
- 376 Roughness Print Surf Method 0.5 to 4.0 Microns
- 377 Roughness Print Surf Method 2.5 to 6.0 Microns
- 378 Roughness Sheffield Type
- 382 Moisture in Paper
- 384 Opacity (89% Reflectance Backing) Fine Papers
- 386 Opacity (Paper Backing) Fine Papers and Newsprint
- 390 Directional Brightness
- 391 Directional Brightness of Fluorescent Samples
- 392 Diffuse Brightness
- 394 Fluorescent Component of Directional Brightness
- 395 Specular Gloss at 75 Degrees High Range
- 396 Specular Gloss at 75 Degrees Low Range
- 398 Grammage (Mass per Unit Area)
- 399 Sizing Test (Hercules Type)

The CTS Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Program

In 1969, the National Bureau of Standards (now designated the National Institute for Standards and Technology) and the Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry (TAPPI) developed an interlaboratory program for paper and paperboard testing. Since 1971, Collaborative Testing Services has operated the Collaborative Reference Program for Paper and Paperboard. With hundreds of organizations from around the world participating in these tests, this program has become one of the largest of its kind. The program allows laboratories to compare the performance of their testing with that of other participating laboratories, and provides a realistic picture of the state of paper testing.

About CTS

Founded in 1971, Collaborative Testing Services, Inc. (CTS) is a privately - owned company that specializes in interlaboratory tests for a variety of industrial sectors: rubber, plastics, fasteners and metals, CKPG, paper, color and wine, as well as proficiency tests for forensic laboratories. All of the tests are designed to assist organizations in achieving and maintaining quality assurance objectives. Labs from the U.S., as well as more than 80 countries, currently participate in CTS programs.

If there are any questions on the report or testing program, please contact:

Collaborative Testing Services, Inc. 21331 Gentry Drive Sterling, Virginia 20166 USA +1-571-434-1925 FAX #: +1-571-434-1937 paper@cts-interlab.com

Office Hours: 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m. ET

	Key for Web Summary Reports (Page 1 of 2)
WebCode	Assigned laboratory identification number (temporary) used to ensure lab confidentiality while permitting a lab to locate its data in the Paper Report published on the CTS Web site. The WebCode for each analysis can be found on the datasheets and in the Performance Analysis Report mailed to each participant.
Lab Mean	The average of the values obtained for each sample by the participant.
Grand Mean	The average of the LAB MEANS for all included participants. Laboratories flagged with an X or an M (see DATA FLAG column) are excluded from the GRAND MEAN.
ΔE	The calculated total color difference between the two samples. For the Hunter L,a,b analyses it is calculated in Hunter units (ΔE). For the L*,a*,b* analyses it is calculated in CIELAB units (ΔE *).
Difference from Grand Mean	The difference of the LAB MEAN from the GRAND MEAN.
Between-Lab Standard Deviation	An indication of the precision of measurement between the laboratories. The greater the spread of the LAB MEANS about the GRAND MEAN, the larger the BETWEEN-LAB STANDARD DEVIATION (and vice versa).
Comparative Performance Value	An indication of how well a laboratory's results agree with the other participants. The CPV is a ratio indicating the number of standard deviations from the GRAND MEAN. The closer a laboratory's COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE VALUE is to zero, the more consistent its results are with the other participants' data (and vice versa). The critical value for each CPV will vary depending on the number of labs participating in a test.
Inst Code	A code indicating the manufacturer of the instrument used to perform the test (see separate INSTRUMENT CODE LIST for each test section), if instruments are tracked.
Data Flag	DATA FLAGS are assigned based on the simultaneous analysis of both samples tested. Refer to the following chart for an explanation of each symbol:

DATA <u>FLAG</u>	STATISTICALLY INCLUDED/EXCLUDED	ACTION REQUIRED
*	INCLUDED	CAUTION - review testing procedure and monitor future results. Results fall outside 95% ellipse but within a 99% ellipse that is calculated but not drawn.
Х	EXCLUDED	STOP - immediate review of data and/or testing procedure is required. Results fall outside the 99% ellipse. See specific notes following each table for more information on why the data is excluded.
М	EXCLUDED	PROCEED - lab was unable to report data for at least one sample.

Key for Web Summary Reports (Page 2 of 2)

Graph - For each laboratory, the LAB MEAN for the first sample (x-axis) is plotted against the LAB MEAN for the second sample (y-axis) with each point representing a laboratory. The horizontal and vertical cross-hairs are the GRAND MEANS for each sample. When 20 or more laboratories are in the statistics, an ellipse is also drawn so that 95% of the time a randomly selected laboratory will be included inside the ellipse. Plotted data flags are explained on the previous page.

Common Problems Highlighted in Footnotes

1. *Extreme data* - The laboratory's results for one or both samples are so inconsistent with those of the other participants that the lab mean(s) fall outside the plot. The participant is advised to immediately review his data and/or testing procedure.

2. **Systematic bias** - The laboratory's results are either consistently high or low for both samples when compared to the other participants (the plotted point falls near the top or bottom of the ellipse). This indicates that the participant is performing the test with a constant bias. Causes of systematic errors include improper calibration, the particular make/model of equipment or a modification to the testing procedure.

3. *Inconsistency in testing between samples/sample sets* - The laboratory's results indicate that there are differences in the way the two samples tested (the plotted point falls to the side of the ellipse). This type of error may be attributed to the analyst deviating from the procedure when testing one of the samples or a material interaction occurrence with the instrument or room conditions. The inconsistency is reflected in the CPVs for the two samples, such as a +1.5 CPV for sample A and a -2.2 CPV for sample B. CTS also will specify if the laboratory's data for one sample are high/low compared to the other participants. If this inconsistency is slight, the lab's plotted point will be an * that falls on the edge of the ellipse.

4. *Inconsistency in testing within a sample* - The laboratory's within-lab standard deviation for a specified sample is high when compared to the other participants, often causing the lab's plotted point to fall outside of the ellipse.

Labs flagged with an * are not typically included in the footnotes of a data table. These labs may locate their position in the control ellipse and use the definitions above to help identify the type of testing error. An * should serve as a caution flag, a "yellow light", to a lab. If this error is repeated in future rounds, a lab may need to stop and review its testing procedures. The initial data flag is not cause for alarm. Interlaboratory tests conducted at regular intervals permit a lab to recognize trends in testing.



Report #3042 G, February 2020

Color & Color Difference - Near White Papers - C/2deg obs Hunter L,a,b - Illuminant C - 2 Degree Observer

		Hunter L, a, b Color Values			Color Difference Values				Instr Code
Web Code	Data Flag Samples	L	a	b	ΔL	Δa	∆b	∆E	
33ZGAP	GA75 GA76	93.19 93.18	-0.76 -0.92	4.09 4.09	-0.01	-0.16	0.00	0.16	TS
3PAMZG	GA75 GA76	95.06 95.05	-0.80 -0.80	4.08 4.03	-0.01	0.00	-0.05	0.05	тс
7GPQKK	GA75 GA76	92.30 92.42	-0.04 -0.04	3.40 3.44	0.12	0.00	0.04	0.13	TS
84UUYD	GA75 GA76	95.06 95.07	-0.87 -0.87	4.06 4.04	0.01	0.00	-0.02	0.03	LS
8AJDZ8	GA75 GA76	94.12 94.04	-1.07 -0.81	4.17 4.04	-0.08	0.25	-0.13	0.29	HG
9UMEPK	GA75 GA76	94.11 94.10	-0.78 -0.77	4.18 4.18	-0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	HE
ACXQVF	GA75 GA76	92.91 92.84	-0.16 -0.20	3.81 3.79	-0.06	-0.04	-0.01	0.08	TS
CRLMAF	GA75 GA76	93.71 93.61	-0.16 -0.53	3.55 3.48	-0.10	-0.37	-0.07	0.39 <mark>X</mark>	TS
GEN6LC	GA75 GA76	94.42 94.42	-0.63 -0.63	3.90 3.90	-0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	HE
HN8VLA	GA75 GA76	95.29 95.29	-0.69 -0.68	3.82 3.79	0.00	0.00	-0.02	0.02	XS
HQCNF9	GA75 GA76	93.69 93.67	-0.75 -0.76	4.21 4.21	-0.02	-0.01	0.00	0.02	VM
KBTK94	GA75 GA76	93.44 93.44	-0.82 -0.83	3.71 3.77	0.00	-0.02	0.06	0.06	тс
KX8674	GA75 GA76	93.75 93.76	-0.85 -0.84	4.04 4.00	0.01	0.01	-0.04	0.05	XX
LCXRN2	GA75 GA76	94.93 94.93	-0.74 -0.75	4.32 4.31	0.00	-0.01	-0.01	0.01	LS
PDYWRZ	GA75 GA76	94.61 94.59	-0.84 -0.84	3.94 3.86	-0.02	0.00	-0.07	0.08	HE
T82E4Y	GA75 GA76	93.72 93.69	-0.52 -0.52	3.92 3.90	-0.03	0.00	-0.02	0.03	LA



Color & Color Difference - Near White Papers - C/2deg obs Hunter L,a,b - Illuminant C - 2 Degree Observer

		Hunter	L, a, b Color \	/alues	Color Difference Values				Instr Code
Web Data Code Flag	ata ag Samples	L	a	b	ΔL	∆a	∆b	∆E	-
THLPDQ	GA75 GA76	93.75 93.75	-0.81 -0.79	4.04 3.99	0.00	0.02	-0.05	0.05	тс
TNCZNN	GA75 GA76	92.73 92.87	-0.24 -0.24	4.00 3.96	0.14	0.00	-0.04	0.15	TS
TWMGYV	GA75 GA76	95.01 94.91	-0.77 -0.78	4.06 4.03	-0.10	0.00	-0.03	0.10	EH
VEFY2V	GA75 GA76	93.06 93.06	-0.59 -0.56	4.01 3.92	0.00	0.03	-0.10	0.10	TS

Grand Means			Summary Stati	stics					
GA75	93.941	-0.644	3.966	0.007	0.015	0.020	0.002		
GA76	93.934	-0.659	3.936	-0.007	-0.015	-0.029	0.092		
Stnd Dev Btwn Lak	<u>os</u>								
GA75	0.866	0.279	0.223	0.050	0.400	0.044	0.008		
GA76	0.843	0.243	0.215	0.059	0.109	0.044	0.098		
Statistics based on 20 of 20 reporting participants									

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

- EH Datacolor Elrepho SF450
- HG Hunter ColorQUEST
- LS L & W Elrepho SE 070
- TS Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S-5
- XS X-Rite 938 Spectrodensitometer

- HE Hunter LabScan
- LA L & W Elrepho AL300
- TC Technidyne Color Touch Series
- VM Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani/Robotest)
- XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab



Plot of L values GA76 vs L values GA75





Plot of a values GA76 vs a values GA75





Plot of b values GA76 vs b values GA75





Report #3042 G, February 2020

Color & Color Difference - Near White Papers - D65/10deg obs Hunter L,a,b - Illuminant D65 - 10 Degree Observer

			CIE L*	a* b* Color V	alues	С	Color Difference Values			
Web Code	Data Flag	Samples	L*	a*	b*	ΔL*	∆ a *	∆b*	∆E *	InstrCode
	-									
32NK6L		GA75	94.36	-0.56	3.71	0.10	0.01	-0.01	0.10	HF
		GA76	94.46	-0.55	3.70					
74024G		GA75	95.10	-0.62	4.34	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.03	NC
/AQ240		GA76	95.13	-0.62	4.33	0.05	0.00	-0.01	0.03	NG
0 C 2C0D		GA75	95.07	-0.66	4.17	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.05	
80308B		GA76	95.04	-0.67	4.12	-0.02	0.00	-0.05	0.05	EF
		GA75	95 18	-0.76	4 00					
94AF9E		GA76	95.17	-0.77	4.07	-0.02	-0.01	0.07	0.07	HI
			04.00	0.07	4 01					
B2VPVF		GA75 GA76	94.98 94.97	-0.87	4.14	-0.01	0.00	-0.07	0.07	XX
FJ4DAC		GA75	95.14	-0.62	4.09	0.00	-0.01	0.00	0.01	HT
		GA70	95.14	-0.02	4.09					
НЛЛ.96		GA75	96.70	-0.37	3.37	-0.22	0.02	-0.05	0.23	ХÞ
		GA76	96.48	-0.35	3.32					
IIRTW7		GA75	94.99	-0.56	4.21	0.01	-0.07	-0.05	0 08	19
33D1 WZ		GA76	95.00	-0.62	4.17	0.01	-0.07	-0.05	0.00	LO
KDTK04		GA75	94.33	-0.82	3.83	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.10	
KB1K94		GA76	94.38	-0.83	3.91	0.06	-0.01	0.08	0.10	HE
LCXRN2		GA75	94.94	-0.75	4.33	-0.11	-0.01	0.13	0.17	LS
		GA70	94.03	-0.70	4.40					
NTIW6U		GA75	95.17	-0.78	3.79	-0.08	-0.03	0.12	0.14	XC
		GA76	95.10	-0.81	3.90					
PK 7867		GA75	93.61	-0.58	3.80	0 00	0 00	0.07	0.07	тс
11,002		GA76	93.61	-0.58	3.87	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	10
TIDDDW		GA75	93.70	-0.53	3.62	0.00	0.04	0.00		VD
ISPBDW		GA76	93.74	-0.54	3.60	0.03	-0.01	-0.02	0.04	ХB
				_						
TWMGYV	V	GA75	94.94	-0.65	4.21	-0.08	0.00	-0.07	0.10	XX
		GA76	94.86	-0.65	4.15					
YQYMPO)	GA75	94.51	-0.81	3.79	0.01	-0.01	-0.03	0.03	EH
		GA76	94.52	-0.82	3.76					



Color & Color Difference - Near White Papers - D65/10deg obs Hunter L,a,b - Illuminant D65 - 10 Degree Observer

Grand Means			Summary Stati	stics							
GA75	94.847	-0.663	3.966	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.096				
GA76	94.828	-0.671	3.973	-0.020	-0.009	0.007	0.086				
Stnd Dev Btwn Lal	<u>os</u>										
GA75	0.725	0.135	0.288	0.079	0.010	0.066	0.059				
GA76	0.670	0.139	0.293	0.076	0.019	0.000	0.056				
	Statistics based on 15 of 15 reporting participants										

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

- EF Datacolor Elrepho 3000
- HE Hunter LabScan
- LS L & W Elrepho SE 070
- TC Technidyne Color Touch Series
- XC X-Rite eXact Series
- XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab
- EH Datacolor Elrepho SF450
- HT Hunter UltraScan Vis
- NG Minolta CM-3700d Spectrophotometer
- XB X-Rite Ci7
- **XP** X-Rite Spectrophotometer DTP



Plot of L values GA76 vs L values GA75



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Plot of a values GA76 vs a values GA75



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Report #3042 G, February 2020

Plot of b values GA76 vs b values GA75



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 360 Thickness (Caliper), Printing papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

			<u>Sample GV75</u>			<u>Sample GV76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
32NK6L		4.727	-0.215	-2.12	3.812	-0.044	-0.62	PP
33ZGAP		4.958	0.016	0.16	3.818	-0.038	-0.54	LA
3PAMZG		4.910	-0.032	-0.32	3.799	-0.057	-0.81	PP
3VXBUM		5.151	0.209	2.06	3.987	0.131	1.86	ТМ
4DVU6F		5.040	0.098	0.96	3.902	0.046	0.65	LW
682D9F		4.950	0.008	0.08	3.830	-0.026	-0.37	ТМ
6R9LMF	X	4.880	-0.063	-0.62	37.516	33.660	477.13	LW
7AQ24G		4.944	0.002	0.02	3.884	0.028	0.40	PP
7FA6BJ		5.161	0.219	2.15	4.001	0.145	2.05	XX
84UUYD		4.918	-0.024	-0.24	3.917	0.061	0.86	LW
8YHQ9B		4.780	-0.162	-1.60	3.690	-0.166	-2.35	LW
94AF9E		5.026	0.084	0.83	3.898	0.042	0.59	EM
ACXQVF		4.703	-0.239	-2.36	3.712	-0.144	-2.04	ТМ
AGRRU9		4.973	0.031	0.30	3.876	0.020	0.28	LW
BAC7NQ		4.715	-0.227	-2.24	3.701	-0.155	-2.20	XX
BUJD3P		5.032	0.090	0.88	3.846	-0.010	-0.14	TA
C8FC27		5.080	0.138	1.36	3.852	-0.004	-0.06	ТМ
CRLMAF		4.900	-0.042	-0.42	3.877	0.021	0.30	ТМ
CWFG8G		4.974	0.032	0.31	3.832	-0.024	-0.34	ТМ
DPFG8E		5.020	0.078	0.76	3.898	0.042	0.59	LW
EG2VYB		4.917	-0.025	-0.25	3.864	0.008	0.11	LA
FE8NT6		4.874	-0.068	-0.67	3.817	-0.039	-0.55	FR
FJ4DAC		4.952	0.010	0.10	3.879	0.023	0.33	EM
FYVAC8		4.868	-0.074	-0.73	3.788	-0.068	-0.96	XX
GBNUQC		5.041	0.099	0.98	3.928	0.072	1.03	LW
GJEEWB		4.920	-0.022	-0.22	3.900	0.044	0.62	ТА
H7N6MA		5.004	0.061	0.60	3.920	0.064	0.90	LW
HGMZGY		4.992	0.050	0.49	3.948	0.092	1.30	ТА
HN8VLA		5.060	0.118	1.16	3.810	-0.046	-0.65	ТМ
HUUL96		4.840	-0.102	-1.01	3.900	0.044	0.62	TM
JG3JZW		4.950	0.008	0.08	3.861	0.005	0.07	ТМ
K9NUZ3		4.967	0.025	0.24	3.761	-0.095	-1.35	EM
KX8674		5.050	0.108	1.06	3.880	0.024	0.34	XX
LLNDUY		4.914	-0.028	-0.28	3.949	0.093	1.32	ТМ
LYCCL3	*	4.650	-0.292	-2.88	3.730	-0.126	-1.79	PP
N6E7T3		4.953	0.011	0.11	3.884	0.028	0.40	XX
NC9BL4		5.021	0.079	0.78	3.868	0.012	0.17	ТМ
NPGUKY		4.856	-0.087	-0.85	3.877	0.021	0.30	МТ
NTJW6U		4.862	-0.080	-0.79	3.854	-0.002	-0.02	LW
NUDH27		5.060	0.118	1.16	3.895	0.039	0.55	LW
PDYU3V		4.839	-0.103	-1.02	3.792	-0.064	-0.91	PP



Report #3042G, February 2020

Analysis 360 Thickness (Caliper), Printing papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

			<u>Sample GV75</u>			<u>Sample GV76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
PK786Z		4.942	0.000	0.00	3.816	-0.040	-0.57	ТА
QGFKMW		4.949	0.007	0.07	3.899	0.043	0.61	EM
RYFTLV		4.938	-0.004	-0.04	3.875	0.019	0.27	EM
T38GE8		4.862	-0.080	-0.79	3.764	-0.092	-1.31	ТМ
T3PBDW		5.015	0.073	0.72	3.871	0.015	0.21	ТМ
T7T2MW		4.958	0.016	0.16	3.822	-0.034	-0.48	PP
T82E4Y		5.026	0.084	0.83	3.882	0.026	0.37	EM
TWMGYV		4.933	-0.009	-0.09	3.904	0.048	0.68	EM
VA22WT	*	4.852	-0.090	-0.89	3.686	-0.170	-2.41	TA
VEFY2V		4.959	0.017	0.17	3.889	0.033	0.47	EM
VXM93R		4.912	-0.030	-0.30	3.827	-0.029	-0.41	PP
X3UEU4		4.900	-0.042	-0.42	3.842	-0.014	-0.20	TA
XQZX8N		5.007	0.065	0.64	3.949	0.093	1.32	LW
Y7REAQ		4.960	0.018	0.18	3.851	-0.005	-0.07	PP
YCXV4L		4.960	0.018	0.18	3.843	-0.014	-0.19	LW
YQYMPQ		5.036	0.094	0.92	3.983	0.127	1.80	ХХ
Summai	ry Stat	istics		Sample GV75		Sample GV76		

Johnnary Statistics	Sample GV75	Sample GV76	
Grand Means	4.94 mils	3.86 mils	
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	0.10 mils	0.07 mils	
		Statistics based on 56 of 57 reporting	participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #360

6R9LMF (X) - Extreme Data for Sample GV76.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants									
EM	Emveco	FR	Frank Instruments							
LA	L & W Autoline	LW	L & W							
MT	Mitutoyo	PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus							
TA	Thwing-Albert	TM	TMI							
\A/										

XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab







Analysis 361 Thickness (Caliper), Packaging papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

			Sample GY75			<u>Sample GY76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
38UEYG		7.739	0.082	0.82	7.698	0.006	0.05	LA
4P6MCM		7.752	0.095	0.95	7.685	-0.007	-0.05	ТМ
682D9F		7.560	-0.097	-0.97	7.560	-0.132	-1.00	ТМ
72QLE9		7.717	0.059	0.59	7.634	-0.058	-0.44	LA
7FA6BJ		7.881	0.224	2.24	7.959	0.267	2.03	LA
7GPQKK		7.515	-0.142	-1.42	7.503	-0.189	-1.43	EM
9NHH7K		7.704	0.047	0.47	7.780	0.088	0.67	ТМ
9UMEPK		7.716	0.059	0.59	7.638	-0.054	-0.41	EM
ACJ4LD	X	7.201	-0.456	-4.56	7.327	-0.365	-2.77	LA
BUJD3P		7.688	0.031	0.31	7.631	-0.061	-0.46	ТА
CHXNJA		7.530	-0.127	-1.27	7.660	-0.032	-0.24	ТМ
DPFG8E		7.728	0.070	0.70	7.767	0.075	0.57	LW
DQRG69		7.642	-0.015	-0.15	7.526	-0.165	-1.26	LW
EGFLKZ		7.673	0.016	0.16	7.727	0.035	0.27	LA
GEN6LC		7.566	-0.091	-0.91	7.531	-0.161	-1.22	EM
GJEEWB		7.810	0.153	1.53	7.830	0.138	1.05	ТА
HHGLCB		7.595	-0.062	-0.62	7.605	-0.087	-0.66	ТА
HQCNF9		7.540	-0.117	-1.17	7.620	-0.072	-0.54	VP
HYMQ29		7.816	0.158	1.58	7.816	0.125	0.95	LW
JJBTWZ		7.715	0.058	0.58	7.711	0.019	0.15	LW
LCXRN2		7.598	-0.059	-0.59	7.587	-0.105	-0.80	ТМ
MKA9RV		7.646	-0.011	-0.11	7.629	-0.063	-0.48	LW
P9T3X6		7.500	-0.157	-1.57	7.605	-0.087	-0.66	ТА
PDYWRZ		7.675	0.018	0.18	7.709	0.017	0.13	EM
R93RC2		7.742	0.085	0.85	7.929	0.237	1.80	ТМ
RJ4CET		7.610	-0.047	-0.47	7.878	0.186	1.42	LW
T82E4Y		7.670	0.013	0.13	7.715	0.023	0.18	EM
VA22WT		7.624	-0.033	-0.33	7.598	-0.094	-0.71	ТА
VK3NNQ		7.737	0.080	0.80	7.792	0.100	0.76	ТМ
VXM93R		7.654	-0.004	-0.04	7.559	-0.133	-1.01	LW
WKH89N		7.500	-0.157	-1.57	7.710	0.018	0.14	ТА
WMZP2T		7.470	-0.187	-1.87	7.600	-0.092	-0.70	ТМ
YQYMPQ	*	7.750	0.093	0.93	8.054	0.362	2.75	EM
Z2PVMJ	X	7.076	-0.581	-5.81	7.017	-0.675	-5.13	ТМ
Z4YLB2		7.622	-0.035	-0.35	7.579	-0.113	-0.86	LW



Analysis 361 Thickness (Caliper), Packaging papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

Summary Statistics	Sample GY75	Sample GY76
Grand Means	7.66 mils	7.69 mils
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	0.10 mils	0.13 mils
		Statistics based on 33 of 35 reporting participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #361

Z2PVMJ (X) - Data for both samples are low.

ACJ4LD (X) - Data for both samples are low.

Analysis Notes:

LCXRN2 - Data appear to be reported as mils, not micrometers as indicated on data entry form. CTS will not correct the Units going forward.

		Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants					
EM	Emveco	LA L & W Autoline					
LW	L & W	TA Thwing-Albert					
ТМ	TMI	VP Valmet Paper Lab					







Analysis 364 Coefficient of Static Friction - Horizontal Plane Method - Printing Papers **TAPPI Official Test Method T549**

			<u>Sample GD75</u>	<u>i</u>		<u>Sample GD76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
32NK6L		0.4140	-0.1185	-1.21	0.5460	-0.0326	-0.47	ТА
ACJ4LD		0.5060	-0.0265	-0.27	0.5210	-0.0576	-0.84	ТА
D64YWA		0.4982	-0.0343	-0.35	0.4896	-0.0890	-1.29	IT
GW4EMD		0.5840	0.0515	0.53	0.5888	0.0102	0.15	ТА
HN8VLA		0.3530	-0.1795	-1.83	0.4892	-0.0894	-1.30	XX
HYMQ29		0.5740	0.0415	0.42	0.6268	0.0482	0.70	TL
QGFKMW		0.6260	0.0935	0.95	0.6300	0.0514	0.75	ТА
TNCZNN		0.6354	0.1029	1.05	0.6616	0.0830	1.20	XX
VEFY2V		0.6022	0.0697	0.71	0.6546	0.0760	1.10	ТА
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GD75		Sample GD76		
Gran	nd Mea	ins		0.53 COF		0.58 COF		
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.10 COF		0.07 COF		
					Stat	istics based on 9 of	9 reporting	participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

IMASS SP-2100 IT

ΤA Thwing-Albert Friction Tester

- TMI 32-90 Lab Master/Slip and Friction ΤL
- XX
- Instrument make/model not specified by lab





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 365 Coefficient of Kinetic Friction - Horizontal Plane Method - Printing Papers **TAPPI Official Test Method T549**

			<u>Sample GD75</u>			<u>Sample GD76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
32NK6L		0.2500	-0.1750	-1.97	0.3460	-0.1473	-1.97	ТА
ACJ4LD		0.4410	0.0160	0.18	0.5076	0.0143	0.19	ТА
D64YWA		0.3594	-0.0656	-0.74	0.4270	-0.0663	-0.89	IR
GW4EMD		0.4282	0.0032	0.04	0.4594	-0.0339	-0.45	ТА
HN8VLA		0.3634	-0.0616	-0.69	0.4816	-0.0117	-0.16	XX
HYMQ29		0.4836	0.0586	0.66	0.5704	0.0771	1.03	TL
K9NUZ3		0.4606	0.0356	0.40	0.5176	0.0243	0.32	ТА
QGFKMW		0.4920	0.0670	0.75	0.5520	0.0587	0.78	XX
TNCZNN		0.5468	0.1218	1.37	0.5784	0.0851	1.14	ТА
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GD75		Sample GD76)	
Gran	nd Mea	ins		0.43 COF		0.49 COF		
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.09 COF		0.07 COF		
					Stat	istics based on 9 of	⁹ reporting	participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

IMASS SP-2000 IR

ΤA Thwing-Albert Friction Tester

- TMI 32-90 Lab Master/Slip and Friction ΤL
- XX
- Instrument make/model not specified by lab



TAPPI Official Test Method T549



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Report #3042G, February 2020

Analysis 370 Air Resistance - Gurley Oil Type TAPPI Official Test Method T460

			<u>Sample GE75</u>			<u>Sample GE76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
32NK6L		17.59	-0.91	-1.02	18.73	0.11	0.12	PP
33ZGAP		18.20	-0.31	-0.34	18.31	-0.30	-0.31	LA
3VXBUM		18.53	0.02	0.03	19.17	0.56	0.57	PR
72QLE9		19.02	0.52	0.58	18.85	0.24	0.24	LA
84UUYD		18.47	-0.04	-0.04	18.13	-0.48	-0.49	LP
8G3G8B		19.49	0.98	1.10	19.51	0.90	0.91	LP
94AF9E		19.38	0.87	0.98	19.62	1.01	1.02	HG
ACJ4LD		19.82	1.31	1.47	19.59	0.98	0.99	LA
ACXQVF		19.00	0.49	0.55	19.46	0.85	0.86	LP
BUJD3P		18.74	0.24	0.26	19.01	0.40	0.41	PP
CRLMAF		17.06	-1.45	-1.61	17.16	-1.45	-1.47	LW
DK6934		18.34	-0.17	-0.18	18.53	-0.08	-0.08	XX
DQRG69		18.60	0.10	0.11	18.30	-0.31	-0.32	TL
EG2VYB	*	20.82	2.31	2.58	21.12	2.50	2.54	LA
FJ4DAC		18.50	-0.01	-0.01	19.14	0.52	0.53	PP
G46NU6		18.46	-0.05	-0.05	18.83	0.22	0.22	PP
GJEEWB		19.51	1.00	1.12	19.45	0.84	0.85	GA
GW4EMD		19.08	0.57	0.64	19.24	0.63	0.64	WG
HBH4WY		20.09	1.58	1.77	19.97	1.36	1.38	GL
HN8VLA		17.80	-0.71	-0.79	17.10	-1.51	-1.53	GS
HQCNF9		16.95	-1.56	-1.74	16.76	-1.85	-1.88	VM
KX8674		17.32	-1.19	-1.32	17.08	-1.53	-1.55	XX
LLNDUY		17.71	-0.80	-0.89	18.25	-0.36	-0.37	HG
LYCCL3		18.11	-0.40	-0.44	18.72	0.11	0.11	HG
MKA9RV		18.48	-0.03	-0.03	18.67	0.06	0.06	LW
NTJW6U		18.20	-0.31	-0.34	18.50	-0.11	-0.11	LW
PDYU3V		18.06	-0.44	-0.49	19.02	0.41	0.41	HG
PK786Z		18.34	-0.17	-0.18	17.99	-0.62	-0.63	PP
PZCQFW	*	17.80	-0.71	-0.79	19.18	0.57	0.58	GL
QGFKMW		19.10	0.60	0.67	19.32	0.71	0.72	PP
QH9AAY		17.63	-0.88	-0.98	17.60	-1.01	-1.03	LP
RYFTLV		18.64	0.14	0.15	18.79	0.18	0.18	PP
T3PBDW		18.77	0.27	0.30	18.73	0.12	0.12	PP
TWMGYV		17.46	-1.05	-1.17	17.88	-0.73	-0.74	PP
UWLN2M		18.80	0.29	0.33	17.79	-0.82	-0.83	LP
VWGLTU		19.58	1.07	1.20	19.70	1.09	1.10	LA
VXM93R		18.57	0.06	0.07	18.40	-0.21	-0.22	PP
WMZP2T		18.54	0.03	0.04	18.07	-0.54	-0.55	TL
XQZX8N		19.24	0.73	0.82	19.23	0.62	0.63	LP
Y7REAQ		19.57	1.07	1.19	19.59	0.98	0.99	PP
YAAC3N		17.92	-0.59	-0.65	17.87	-0.74	-0.75	PP



Report #3042G, February 2020

Analysis 370 Air Resistance - Gurley Oil Type TAPPI Official Test Method T460

			Sample GE7	<u>5</u>			<u>Sample GE76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV		Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
YYRXYL		17.03	-1.48	-1.65		16.61	-2.00	-2.03	GL
Z4YLB2		16.54	-1.97	-2.19		16.32	-2.29	-2.33	LP
ZXQKRJ		19.36	0.85	0.95		19.66	1.05	1.06	ХХ
Summo	iry Stat	tistics		Sample G	E75		Sample GE76		
Gran	nd Mea	ins		18.51 sec/10	00 сс	1	8.61 sec/100 c	c	
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.90 sec/10	0 сс		0.99 sec/100 c	c	
						Statisti	cs based on 44 of	44 reporting p	participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

- GA Gurley Precision #4340 Automatic Densometer
- GS Gurley-Hill S-P-S Tester #4190
- LA L & W Autoline
- ${\sf LW} \quad {\sf L\& W \ Type \ Gurley \ Densometer, \ Oil \ Flotation}$
- PR Parker Print-Surf (PPS) Model M590
- VM Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani/Robotest)
- XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab

- GL Gurley #4110
- **HG** Technidyne Hagerty Model #1
- $\label{eq:LP} L\& W \ Densometer, \ Air \ Permeance$
- PP Technidyne Profile/Plus
- TL Gurley Densometer #4110, Oil Flotation
- **WG** W & LE Gurley Tester







Analysis 372 Porosity - Sheffield Type - Sheffield Units for 3/4 inch Diameter Orifice TAPPI Official Test Method T547

			Sample GE75				<u>Sample GE76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV		Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
GJEEWB		136.5	-13.5	-0.88		134.4	-16.2	-1.03	GA
HGMZGY		141.3	-8.7	-0.57		149.2	-1.4	-0.09	НМ
HN8VLA		136.7	-13.3	-0.87		135.5	-15.1	-0.96	SH
HQCNF9		157.9	7.9	0.52		153.1	2.6	0.16	PP
LVB9UF		182.6	32.6	2.13		183.7	33.1	2.12	LP
N6E7T3		149.9	-0.1	-0.01		154.1	3.5	0.23	LA
NC9BL4		153.5	3.5	0.23		153.8	3.2	0.21	тт
PK786Z		141.6	-8.4	-0.55		140.6	-10.0	-0.64	PP
Summa	ry Stat	istics		Sample G	75		Sample GE76		
Gran	nd Mea	ns	150	0.00 Sheffiel	d Units	150	.55 Sheffield U	nits	
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs	15	.32 Sheffield	d Units	15.	.66 Sheffield U	nits	
						Stati	stics based on 8 of	8 reporting) participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

GA Gurley Precision #4340 Automatic Densometer

- LA L & W Roughness Sheffield Autoline
- HM Technidyne Hagerty Model #538
- LP L & W Densometer, Air Permeance

PP Technidyne Profile/Plus

SH Sheffield

TT TMI Monitor/Smoothness II, Model 58-24





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 376 Roughness - Print Surf Method - 0.5 to 4.0 Microns TAPPI Official Test Method T555

			Sample GJ75	<u>_</u>		<u>Sample GJ76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
32NK6L		0.6610	-0.0428	-0.40	0.6600	-0.0469	-0.44	ZZ
38UEYG		0.7530	0.0492	0.46	0.7650	0.0581	0.55	ZZ
3PAMZG		0.6780	-0.0258	-0.24	0.6940	-0.0129	-0.12	ZZ
7FA6BJ		0.6520	-0.0518	-0.48	0.6600	-0.0469	-0.44	ZZ
7GPQKK		0.8150	0.1112	1.04	0.7950	0.0881	0.83	ZZ
9UMEPK		0.6100	-0.0938	-0.88	0.6080	-0.0989	-0.93	ZZ
ACXQVF		0.7360	0.0322	0.30	0.7330	0.0261	0.25	ZZ
AGRRU9		0.6700	-0.0338	-0.32	0.6670	-0.0399	-0.38	ZZ
BAC7NQ	X	1.2140	0.5102	4.77	1.1580	0.4511	4.25	ZZ
BUJD3P		0.6140	-0.0898	-0.84	0.6110	-0.0959	-0.90	ZZ
DPFG8E		0.6370	-0.0668	-0.62	0.6490	-0.0579	-0.55	ZZ
EPBJCA		0.6240	-0.0798	-0.75	0.6270	-0.0799	-0.75	ZZ
GEN6LC		0.6580	-0.0458	-0.43	0.6570	-0.0499	-0.47	ZZ
GW4EMD		0.6700	-0.0338	-0.32	0.6750	-0.0319	-0.30	ZZ
HHGLCB		0.6740	-0.0298	-0.28	0.6960	-0.0109	-0.10	ZZ
HQCNF9	X	1.2800	0.5762	5.39	1.2990	0.5921	5.58	ZZ
HYPF77		0.8880	0.1842	1.72	0.8900	0.1831	1.73	ZZ
JJBTWZ	*	0.9410	0.2372	2.22	0.9680	0.2611	2.46	ZZ
KBTK94		0.9250	0.2212	2.07	0.9190	0.2121	2.00	ZZ
LCXRN2		0.9260	0.2222	2.08	0.9260	0.2191	2.07	ZZ
LYCCL3		0.6530	-0.0508	-0.47	0.6430	-0.0639	-0.60	ZZ
NKQJAZ	X	1.4270	0.7232	6.76	1.7510	1.0441	9.85	ZZ
PDYWRZ		0.6410	-0.0628	-0.59	0.6430	-0.0639	-0.60	ZZ
PK786Z		0.6780	-0.0258	-0.24	0.6810	-0.0259	-0.24	ZZ
RYFTLV		0.6820	-0.0218	-0.20	0.6810	-0.0259	-0.24	ZZ
T7T2MW		0.6340	-0.0698	-0.65	0.6300	-0.0769	-0.72	ZZ
THLPDQ		0.6210	-0.0828	-0.77	0.6240	-0.0829	-0.78	ZZ
TNCZNN		0.6300	-0.0738	-0.69	0.6310	-0.0759	-0.72	ZZ
TWMGYV		0.8190	0.1152	1.08	0.8130	0.1061	1.00	ZZ
VQR9R7	*	0.5370	-0.1668	-1.56	0.5720	-0.1349	-1.27	ZZ
YQYMPQ		0.6790	-0.0248	-0.23	0.6740	-0.0329	-0.31	ZZ
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GJ75		Sample GJ76	1	
Grand Means 0.				0.70 Microns		0.71 Microns		
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.11 Microns		0.11 Microns		
					Statist	ics based on 28 of	31 reporting	participants.



Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Testing Program Analysis 376 Roughness - Print Surf Method - 0.5 to 4.0 Microns TAPPI Official Test Method T555

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #376

- HQCNF9 (X) Data for both samples are high. Possible Systematic Error. Inconsistent within the determinations of sample GJ75.
- NKQJAZ (X) Extreme Data.
- BAC7NQ (X) Data for both samples are high. Possible Systematic Error. Inconsistent within the determinations of sample GJ75.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked



·••

/ • • • • • • /

Microns 0.70

0.65

0.60

0.55

0.50

0.45

0.40

0.50

0.45

0.60

0.55

0.65

0.70

Microns

0.75

0.80

0.95

1.00

SAMPLE GJ75

0.85

0.90



Analysis 377 Roughness - Print Surf Method - 2.5 to 6.0 Microns TAPPI Official Test Method T555

			Sample GK75	<u>.</u>		<u>Sample GK76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
33ZGAP		5.106	-0.493	-1.79	5.326	-0.412	-2.08	ZZ
7FA6BJ		5.877	0.278	1.01	5.822	0.084	0.42	ZZ
GW4EMD		5.681	0.082	0.30	5.749	0.011	0.05	ZZ
HYMQ29		5.411	-0.188	-0.68	5.948	0.210	1.05	ZZ
VEFY2V		5.799	0.200	0.72	5.849	0.111	0.56	ZZ
VXM93R		5.511	-0.088	-0.32	5.695	-0.043	-0.22	ZZ
YQYMPQ		5.811	0.212	0.77	5.780	0.042	0.21	ZZ
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GK75		Sample GK76		
Gran	nd Mec	ins		5.60 Microns		5.74 Microns		
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.28 Microns		0.20 Microns		
					Sta	tistics based on 7 of	7 reportin	g participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Report #3042G, February 2020

Analysis 378 Roughness - Sheffield Type TAPPI Official Test Method T538

			<u>Sample GL75</u>			<u>Sample GL76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2DJCCK		119.8	0.3	0.04	122.1	2.5	0.33	GA
2FPWZK	*	104.8	-14.7	-1.97	114.1	-5.6	-0.72	MP
32NK6L		123.0	3.4	0.46	118.5	-1.1	-0.14	PP
33ZGAP		126.8	7.3	0.98	124.8	5.2	0.68	LA
38UEYG		121.1	1.6	0.21	115.7	-3.9	-0.51	LA
682D9F		135.5	16.0	2.14	134.5	14.9	1.94	GL
7AQ24G		116.1	-3.4	-0.46	114.5	-5.1	-0.67	PP
7FA6BJ		118.0	-1.5	-0.20	122.0	2.4	0.31	LA
7GPQKK	*	142.0	22.5	3.01	143.0	23.4	3.05	GL
8G3G8B		109.9	-9.6	-1.29	111.4	-8.2	-1.07	LW
94AF9E		117.2	-2.3	-0.31	119.4	-0.2	-0.03	НМ
9UMEPK		124.1	4.6	0.62	125.5	5.9	0.78	PP
ACXQVF		108.6	-10.9	-1.46	113.3	-6.3	-0.82	TS
CRLMAF		106.9	-12.6	-1.69	107.6	-12.0	-1.57	SH
EG2VYB		117.7	-1.9	-0.25	121.0	1.4	0.18	LA
FJ4DAC		113.0	-6.5	-0.87	108.0	-11.6	-1.51	SH
FYVAC8		129.7	10.2	1.36	129.6	10.0	1.30	ХХ
G46NU6		123.3	3.8	0.51	124.6	5.0	0.66	PP
GEN6LC		119.9	0.4	0.06	123.1	3.5	0.45	PP
GJEEWB		121.3	1.8	0.24	123.2	3.6	0.47	PP
GW4EMD		128.7	9.2	1.23	128.0	8.4	1.10	xx
HHGLCB		118.3	-1.2	-0.16	112.3	-7.3	-0.96	PP
HN8VLA		129.6	10.1	1.35	127.5	7.9	1.03	ХХ
HQCNF9	*	111.3	-8.2	-1.10	123.3	3.7	0.48	VM
HYMQ29		124.1	4.6	0.61	120.0	0.4	0.05	LW
HYPF77		110.1	-9.4	-1.26	103.7	-15.9	-2.07	LW
JJBTWZ		120.4	0.9	0.12	119.2	-0.5	-0.06	PP
KBTK94		125.6	6.1	0.82	120.9	1.3	0.17	LW
KX8674		111.4	-8.1	-1.09	110.8	-8.8	-1.15	XX
LCXRN2	X	140.9	21.4	2.87	148.3	28.7	3.74	TT
LLNDUY		121.7	2.2	0.29	115.2	-4.4	-0.57	TS
LVB9UF		120.6	1.1	0.15	119.9	0.3	0.04	LW
LYCCL3		117.8	-1.7	-0.23	112.6	-7.0	-0.91	НМ
N6E7T3		121.1	1.6	0.21	118.3	-1.3	-0.17	LA
NC9BL4	X	155.9	36.4	4.88	144.5	24.9	3.25	TT
NTJW6U		124.1	4.6	0.61	124.1	4.5	0.59	TS
P9T3X6		119.4	-0.1	-0.02	119.5	-0.1	-0.01	PP
PDYU3V		114.3	-5.2	-0.69	113.6	-6.0	-0.79	НМ
PDYWRZ		110.6	-8.9	-1.20	112.5	-7.1	-0.93	PP
PK786Z		126.5	7.0	0.94	118.7	-0.9	-0.12	PP
QGFKMW		119.4	-0.1	-0.02	116.2	-3.4	-0.44	PP



Report #3042G, February 2020

Analysis 378 Roughness - Sheffield Type TAPPI Official Test Method T538

			Sample GL75	<u>5</u>	Sample GL76			
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
RYFTLV		110.7	-8.8	-1.18	110.7	-8.9	-1.16	PP
T3PBDW		110.6	-8.9	-1.19	113.6	-6.0	-0.78	PP
TNCZNN		118.7	-0.8	-0.11	125.7	6.1	0.80	HM
UUDQAQ		119.1	-0.4	-0.06	128.1	8.5	1.11	HM
VEFY2V		115.4	-4.1	-0.55	116.9	-2.7	-0.35	PP
VQR9R7		124.8	5.3	0.71	122.6	3.0	0.39	LW
VXM93R		125.9	6.4	0.86	124.5	4.9	0.64	PP
X6KFZR		105.7	-13.9	-1.86	103.6	-16.0	-2.09	LA
X93ZKL		125.3	5.8	0.77	133.9	14.3	1.87	TT
Y7REAQ		123.0	3.5	0.47	121.7	2.1	0.28	PP
YAAC3N		124.2	4.6	0.62	121.5	1.9	0.25	PP
YQYMPQ		118.3	-1.2	-0.16	124.7	5.1	0.67	LW
Summa	ry Stat	istics		Sample GL75		Sample GL76		
Gran	nd Mea	ins		119.52 Sheffield		119.60 Sheffield	d	
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		7.46 Sheffield		7.67 Sheffield		
					Statisti	cs based on 51 of	53 reporting	participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #378

NC9BL4 (X) - Data for both samples are high. Possible Systematic Error.

LCXRN2 (X) - Data for both samples are high. Possible Systematic Error.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants										
GA	Gurley Precision #4340 Automatic Densometer	GL	Giddings and Lewis Sheffield								
ΗМ	Technidyne - Hagerty Model #538	LA	L & W Roughness Sheffield - Autoline								
LW	L & W Roughness Tester	MP	Metso Paperlab								
PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus	SH	Sheffield (Bendix Precisionaire)								
TS	TMI Monitor/Smoothness, Model 58-02	TT	TMI Monitor/Smoothness II, Model 58-24								
VM	Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani\Robotest)	XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab								







Analysis 382 Moisture in Paper TAPPI Official Test Method T412

		:	Sample GM7	<u>5</u>		<u>Sample GM76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
3TCQMX	М	No da	ta reported [.]	for this sample	4.156	-0.009	-0.02	ZZ
6GQ24J		4.676	0.534	1.22	4.607	0.443	1.17	ZZ
78ZYXT		3.862	-0.280	-0.64	3.991	-0.174	-0.46	ZZ
84UUYD		3.543	-0.599	-1.37	3.618	-0.547	-1.45	ZZ
DLFBP3		4.084	-0.058	-0.13	4.470	0.305	0.81	ZZ
FUZGE6		4.175	0.033	0.08	4.150	-0.015	-0.04	ZZ
H7N6MA		3.940	-0.202	-0.46	3.983	-0.182	-0.48	ZZ
QGFKMW		4.936	0.794	1.81	4.853	0.689	1.82	ZZ
RMY7AA		4.520	0.378	0.86	4.200	0.035	0.09	ZZ
VK3NNQ		3.876	-0.266	-0.61	3.931	-0.234	-0.62	ZZ
Z8YW72		3.806	-0.336	-0.77	3.843	-0.322	-0.85	ZZ
Summa	ry Stat	istics		Sample GM75	:	Sample GM76		
Gran	nd Mea	ins		4.14 Percent		4.16 Percent		
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.44 Percent		0.38 Percent		
					Statisti	cs based on 10 of	11 reporting	participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #382

3TCQMX (M) - Participant did not submit data for sample GM75.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 384 Opacity (89% Reflectance Backing) - Fine Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T425

			Sample GN75	<u>5</u>		<u>Sample GN76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2Y4D6X		93.27	-0.36	-0.68	89.20	-0.12	-0.20	ZZ
32NK6L		94.93	1.31	2.51	90.87	1.54	2.44	ZZ
33ZGAP		93.76	0.13	0.26	90.16	0.83	1.32	ZZ
3PAMZG		93.62	-0.01	-0.01	89.38	0.06	0.09	ZZ
682D9F	*	94.66	1.03	1.99	91.11	1.79	2.83	ZZ
7AQ24G		93.54	-0.09	-0.17	89.50	0.18	0.28	ZZ
94AF9E		93.21	-0.42	-0.80	89.06	-0.26	-0.42	ZZ
BAC7NQ	*	94.64	1.01	1.94	89.43	0.11	0.17	ZZ
BUJD3P		93.23	-0.40	-0.77	88.59	-0.73	-1.16	ZZ
EG2VYB	X	93.97	0.34	0.66	92.35	3.03	4.79	ZZ
EVX88E		93.37	-0.26	-0.49	88.51	-0.82	-1.29	ZZ
FJ4DAC		93.40	-0.23	-0.43	88.97	-0.35	-0.56	ZZ
FYVAC8		93.73	0.10	0.20	89.40	0.08	0.12	ZZ
GJEEWB		93.69	0.06	0.12	88.93	-0.39	-0.62	ZZ
HN8VLA		92.41	-1.22	-2.34	88.48	-0.84	-1.33	ZZ
HUUL96		94.19	0.56	1.08	90.25	0.93	1.47	ZZ
LLNDUY		94.02	0.39	0.76	89.21	-0.11	-0.18	ZZ
LYCCL3		93.56	-0.07	-0.13	89.53	0.21	0.33	ZZ
N6E7T3		93.08	-0.55	-1.05	88.49	-0.83	-1.32	ZZ
NC9BL4		93.07	-0.56	-1.07	89.01	-0.31	-0.50	ZZ
NTJW6U		93.49	-0.14	-0.26	89.44	0.12	0.18	ZZ
PK786Z		93.56	-0.07	-0.13	89.28	-0.04	-0.07	ZZ
QGFKMW		94.20	0.57	1.10	89.90	0.58	0.91	ZZ
T3PBDW		93.44	-0.19	-0.36	89.25	-0.07	-0.12	ZZ
THLPDQ		93.60	-0.03	-0.06	89.01	-0.31	-0.49	ZZ
TNCZNN		93.66	0.03	0.06	89.39	0.07	0.11	ZZ
TWMGYV		93.55	-0.08	-0.15	89.16	-0.16	-0.26	ZZ
VEFY2V		93.58	-0.04	-0.08	89.00	-0.33	-0.52	ZZ
VXM93R		93.17	-0.45	-0.87	89.01	-0.31	-0.50	ZZ
Y7REAQ		93.53	-0.10	-0.18	88.87	-0.46	-0.72	ZZ
Summa	ry Stat	istics		Sample GN75		Sample GN76	<u>5</u>	
Gran	d Mea	ins		93.63 Percent		89.32 Percent		

Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	0.52 Percent	0.63 Percent
		Statistics based on 29 of 30 reporting participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #384

EG2VYB (X) - Data for sample GN76 are high.



Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked





Analysis 386 Opacity (Paper Backing) - Fine Papers and Newsprint TAPPI Official Test Method T519

			Sample GP75			<u>Sample GP76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
4DVU6F		94.07	-0.05	-0.66	94.19	0.03	0.69	ZZ
4P6MCM		94.24	0.12	1.50	94.16	0.00	-0.09	ZZ
84UUYD		94.13	0.01	0.13	94.19	0.02	0.61	ZZ
B2VPVF		94.12	0.01	0.09	94.14	-0.02	-0.51	ZZ
C8FC27		93.96	-0.16	-2.03	94.09	-0.07	-1.80	ZZ
DPFG8E		94.10	-0.01	-0.17	94.17	0.01	0.17	ZZ
GBNUQC		94.12	0.01	0.07	94.19	0.03	0.67	ZZ
MKA9RV		94.25	0.13	1.62	94.19	0.03	0.77	ZZ
T38GE8		94.13	0.02	0.20	94.09	-0.07	-1.77	ZZ
T82E4Y		94.07	-0.05	-0.59	94.21	0.05	1.27	ZZ
UWLN2M		94.22	0.11	1.35	94.19	0.02	0.61	ZZ
WMZP2T		94.03	-0.09	-1.10	94.19	0.03	0.69	ZZ
YCXV4L		94.10	-0.02	-0.19	94.11	-0.05	-1.40	ZZ
Z4YLB2		94.10	-0.02	-0.22	94.17	0.00	0.09	ZZ
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GP75		Sample GP76		
Grar	nd Mec	ans		94.12 Percent		94.16 Percent		
Stnd	Dev B	stwn Labs		0.08 Percent	0.04 Percent			
					Statist	ics based on 14 of	14 reporting p	oarticipants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 390 Directional Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T452

			<u>Sample GR75</u>			<u>Sample GR76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
32NK6L		84.64	1.03	0.67	84.54	0.91	0.61	TT
33ZGAP		82.71	-0.90	-0.58	82.93	-0.70	-0.47	TS
7AQ24G		82.59	-1.02	-0.66	82.61	-1.02	-0.68	ХХ
7GPQKK		82.21	-1.40	-0.90	82.06	-1.57	-1.05	TS
9UMEPK		83.42	-0.19	-0.12	83.42	-0.21	-0.14	HG
ARELBC		82.11	-1.50	-0.97	82.19	-1.44	-0.96	TS
BAC7NQ		83.90	0.29	0.19	83.83	0.20	0.13	VM
FYVAC8		84.49	0.88	0.57	84.25	0.62	0.42	XX
GEN6LC		84.48	0.87	0.56	84.45	0.82	0.55	HG
GJEEWB		84.01	0.40	0.26	83.72	0.09	0.06	XC
HHGLCB		82.36	-1.24	-0.81	82.42	-1.21	-0.81	TS
HN8VLA	*	86.07	2.46	1.59	86.44	2.82	1.89	PE
JG3JZW		84.00	0.39	0.25	84.01	0.38	0.25	HG
JJBTWZ		82.33	-1.28	-0.83	82.49	-1.14	-0.76	PP
KBTK94		84.34	0.73	0.48	84.15	0.52	0.35	HG
KX8674		87.14	3.53	2.28	86.98	3.35	2.24	XX
LLNDUY		82.19	-1.42	-0.92	82.26	-1.37	-0.92	TS
NC9BL4		85.01	1.40	0.91	84.96	1.33	0.89	TS
PDYWRZ		84.24	0.63	0.41	84.21	0.58	0.39	TT
T3PBDW		82.04	-1.57	-1.02	82.25	-1.38	-0.92	TT
TNCZNN		81.92	-1.69	-1.09	82.18	-1.45	-0.97	TS
TWMGYV		82.41	-1.20	-0.77	82.57	-1.06	-0.71	TT
VQR9R7		86.98	3.37	2.18	86.99	3.36	2.25	HZ
WBBPAQ		84.31	0.70	0.45	84.22	0.60	0.40	TS
Y7REAQ		82.11	-1.50	-0.97	82.20	-1.43	-0.96	TT
YQYMPQ		81.83	-1.78	-1.15	82.03	-1.60	-1.07	TT
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GR75		Sample GR76		
Gran	d Mea	ins		83.61 Percent		83.63 Percent		
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		1.55 Percent		1.49 Percent		
					Statisti	cs based on 26 of	26 reporting	participants.

Analysis Notes:

FYVAC8 - One determination removed from the Lab Mean of Sample GR76 per Grubb's Test at 1% risk (TAPPI 1205).



VM

Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Testing Program Analysis 390

Directional Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T452

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

- PE Photovolt 577
- TS Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S-5
- HZ Hunter Lab ColorFlex EZ Series
- **PP** Technidyne Profile/Plus
- TT Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S4-M
- XC X-Rite Color i5
- XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab

Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani/Robotest)







Analysis 391 **Directional Brightness of Fluorescent Samples TAPPI Official Test Method T452**

			<u>Sample GZ75</u>				<u>Sample GZ76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV		Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
3PAMZG		98.92	0.25	0.27		98.45	0.36	0.37	TS
BUJD3P		98.52	-0.15	-0.16		97.76	-0.33	-0.34	PP
EG2VYB		98.50	-0.18	-0.19		97.90	-0.19	-0.20	тт
FYVAC8		98.69	0.02	0.02		98.13	0.04	0.04	XX
HUUL96		99.64	0.96	1.04		99.08	0.99	1.01	тт
LLNDUY		99.42	0.74	0.80		98.84	0.75	0.77	TS
LYCCL3		99.41	0.74	0.79		98.80	0.71	0.73	тт
NTJW6U		98.08	-0.60	-0.64		98.12	0.03	0.03	TS
QGFKMW		98.70	0.03	0.03		98.20	0.11	0.11	TS
T38GE8	*	95.93	-2.74	-2.95		95.11	-2.98	-3.06	EF
THLPDQ		98.89	0.21	0.23		98.04	-0.05	-0.06	PP
VXM93R		98.93	0.25	0.27		98.40	0.30	0.31	TS
Y7REAQ		99.14	0.46	0.50		98.38	0.29	0.30	TT
Summa	ry Stat	istics		Sample GZ7	<u>′5</u>		Sample GZ76		
Gran	nd Mea	ns		98.68 Percen	nt		98.09 Percent		
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			0.93 Percent	t		0.97 Percent			
						Statist	ics based on 13 of	13 reporting	g participants.

Ke	v to Instrument Codes Re	ported b	v Participants
		polica b	

Datacolor Elrepho EF

- PP
- ΤS Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S-5

- Technidyne Profile/Plus
- XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab
- TT Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S4-M

Printed: March 12, 2020





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 392 Diffuse Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T525

			Sample GR75	<u>.</u>		<u>Sample GR76</u>	<u>.</u>	
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
33ZGAP		83.16	0.34	1.22	83.15	0.35	1.16	TC
4P6MCM		83.35	0.53	1.94	83.40	0.60	2.02	тс
6R9LMF		82.85	0.03	0.10	82.77	-0.03	-0.11	TC
84UUYD		82.74	-0.08	-0.28	82.78	-0.02	-0.07	LE
8G3G8B		82.92	0.10	0.35	82.94	0.14	0.48	EF
8YHQ9B		82.80	-0.02	-0.07	82.69	-0.11	-0.38	тс
AGRRU9		82.82	0.00	-0.01	82.89	0.09	0.30	тс
B2VPVF		82.53	-0.29	-1.07	82.44	-0.36	-1.21	EG
C8FC27		82.80	-0.02	-0.08	82.75	-0.05	-0.18	TC
DPFG8E		82.97	0.15	0.54	83.09	0.29	0.98	AC
FUZGE6		82.74	-0.08	-0.29	82.71	-0.09	-0.29	EE
GBNUQC		82.86	0.04	0.16	82.82	0.02	0.08	LE
JJBTWZ		82.76	-0.06	-0.23	82.70	-0.10	-0.35	LT
K9NUZ3		82.88	0.06	0.22	82.69	-0.11	-0.37	TC
KBTK94		82.81	-0.01	-0.03	82.65	-0.16	-0.53	TC
LCXRN2	X	36.15	-46.67	-170.20	45.61	-37.19	-125.39	LE
NKQJAZ		83.42	0.60	2.20	83.38	0.58	1.94	XX
PDYWRZ		82.99	0.17	0.61	82.91	0.11	0.38	TL
PK786Z		82.83	0.01	0.05	82.87	0.07	0.23	TC
T7T2MW		82.73	-0.09	-0.34	82.69	-0.11	-0.39	TC
T82E4Y		82.81	-0.01	-0.04	82.79	-0.01	-0.05	LA
TNCZNN		82.78	-0.04	-0.14	82.75	-0.06	-0.19	LT
TWHY2W		82.64	-0.18	-0.67	82.70	-0.10	-0.35	тс
UWLN2M		82.86	0.04	0.13	82.92	0.12	0.39	TC
VUNX7R	*	81.99	-0.83	-3.03	81.89	-0.91	-3.08	TZ
WBBPAQ		82.62	-0.20	-0.71	82.72	-0.08	-0.28	тс
WMZP2T		83.13	0.31	1.11	83.18	0.37	1.26	ТМ
YAAC3N		82.85	0.03	0.11	82.85	0.05	0.16	TC
YQYMPQ		82.34	-0.48	-1.75	82.34	-0.46	-1.55	EG
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GR75		Sample GR7	<u>6</u>	
Gran	d Mec	ins		82.82 Percent		82.80 Percen	t	
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.27 Percent		0.30 Percent		
					Statisti	ics based on 28 of	29 reporting	participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #392

LCXRN2 (X) - Extreme Data.



Analysis 392 Diffuse Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T525

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

AC	ACS Spectro-Sensor II	EE	Datacolor Elrepho 2000
EF	Datacolor Elrepho 3000	EG	Datacolor Elrepho 450X
LA	L & W Elrepho - Autoline	LE	L & W Elrepho
LT	L & W Elrepho SE 071	TC	Technidyne Color Touch Series
TL	Technidyne Technibrite TB-1	TM	Technidyne Technibrite Micro TB-1C
ΤZ	Technibrite Model TB-1	XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab

Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Testing Program Analysis 392 Diffuse Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T525





Analysis 394 Fluorescent Component of Directional Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T452

			Sample GZ75	<u>.</u>			<u>Sample GZ76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV		Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
3PAMZG		7.364	-0.134	-0.36		8.116	0.034	0.08	TS
BUJD3P		7.044	-0.454	-1.21		7.456	-0.626	-1.46	PP
EG2VYB		7.000	-0.498	-1.33		7.640	-0.442	-1.03	тт
FYVAC8		7.356	-0.142	-0.38		7.696	-0.386	-0.90	XX
LLNDUY		8.200	0.702	1.87		8.820	0.738	1.73	TS
LYCCL3		7.854	0.356	0.95		8.466	0.384	0.90	TT
QGFKMW		7.608	0.110	0.29		8.188	0.106	0.25	TS
T38GE8	X	115.598	108.100	287.78		114.598	106.516	249.06	XX
THLPDQ		7.492	-0.006	-0.02		8.130	0.048	0.11	PP
VXM93R		7.568	0.070	0.19		8.224	0.142	0.33	TS
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GZ7	<u>'5</u>		Sample GZ76	<u>5</u>	
Gran	d Mec	ins		7.50 Percent	t		8.08 Percent		
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.38 Percent	t		0.43 Percent		
						Statis	tics based on 9 of	10 reporting	g participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #394

T38GE8 (X) - Extreme Data.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants								
PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus	TS	Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S-5						
TT	Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S4-M	XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab						





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 395 Specular Gloss at 75 Degrees - High Range TAPPI Official Test Method T480

			Sample GT7	<u>5</u>		<u>Sample GT76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mear	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
38UEYG	X	76.83	-4.78	-3.78	70.00	-11.61	-9.12	LF
3PAMZG		80.20	-1.41	-1.11	80.22	-1.39	-1.09	LA
7FA6BJ		83.45	1.84	1.46	84.07	2.46	1.94	LA
9UMEPK		83.62	2.01	1.59	83.25	1.64	1.29	ТН
ACXQVF		82.72	1.11	0.88	83.21	1.60	1.26	XX
BAC7NQ		81.20	-0.41	-0.32	81.22	-0.39	-0.30	VM
BUJD3P		79.63	-1.98	-1.56	80.00	-1.61	-1.26	PP
DPFG8E		82.61	1.00	0.79	82.66	1.05	0.83	LB
GEN6LC		82.91	1.30	1.03	82.62	1.01	0.80	TH
HQCNF9		82.89	1.28	1.02	82.48	0.87	0.69	VM
JJBTWZ		80.98	-0.63	-0.50	81.06	-0.55	-0.43	GA
LYCCL3		80.02	-1.59	-1.25	80.16	-1.45	-1.14	PP
PDYWRZ		81.34	-0.27	-0.21	80.49	-1.12	-0.88	GM
T38GE8		80.86	-0.75	-0.59	81.04	-0.57	-0.45	GM
THLPDQ		81.08	-0.53	-0.42	80.93	-0.68	-0.53	PP
TWMGYV		80.58	-1.03	-0.81	80.62	-0.99	-0.78	ТН
YQYMPQ		81.61	0.00	0.00	81.67	0.06	0.05	ТН
Summary Statistics			Sample GT7	5	Sample GT76	5		
Grand Means			81.61 Gloss Ur	nits	81.61 Gloss Units			
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			1.26 Gloss Un	its	1.27 Gloss Units			
Statistics based on 16 of 17 reporting partici					participants.			

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #395

38UEYG (X) - Extreme Data.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

- GA BYK-Gardner (model not specified)
- LA L & W Gloss Autoline 300
- LF L & W Autoline 400
- TH Technidyne T480A

- GMBYK-Gardner micro-glossLBL & W Gloss Tester Code 224PPTechnidyne Profile/Plus
- VM Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani/Robotest)
- XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 396 Specular Gloss at 75 Degrees - Low Range TAPPI Official Test Method T480

			<u>Sample GU7</u>	<u>'5</u>		<u>Sample GU76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mear	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
BUJD3P		33.29	-0.27	-0.18	46.95	1.47	0.58	PP
DPFG8E		33.08	-0.48	-0.33	45.70	0.22	0.09	LA
GJEEWB		33.70	0.14	0.10	46.80	1.32	0.52	ТН
H7N6MA		31.42	-2.14	-1.46	38.63	-6.85	-2.72	ZT
KBTK94		33.79	0.23	0.16	46.93	1.45	0.58	PP
LCXRN2		35.78	2.22	1.51	45.78	0.30	0.12	ТН
PK786Z		36.21	2.65	1.80	45.74	0.26	0.10	ТН
T3PBDW		33.38	-0.18	-0.12	47.39	1.91	0.76	ТН
VEFY2V		32.23	-1.33	-0.91	45.01	-0.47	-0.19	PP
VQR9R7		32.72	-0.84	-0.57	45.86	0.38	0.15	GS
Summary Statistics				Sample GU75	Sample GU76			
Grand Means				33.56 Gloss Units	45.48 Gloss Units			
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			1.47 Gloss Units		2.52 Gloss Units			
					Statist	ics based on 10 of	10 reporting	g participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

GS BYK-Gardner Glossgard II

PP Technidyne Profile/Plus

LA L & W Gloss - Autoline 300

TH Technidyne T480A

ZT Zehntner ZLR 1020





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 398 Grammage (Mass per Unit Area) TAPPI Official Test Method T410

		4 2	Sample GW7	<u>5</u>		<u>Sample GW76</u>	<u>,</u>	
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
3VXBUM		103.8	0.4	0.57	89.46	0.38	0.75	ZZ
6GQ24J	*	101.5	-2.0	-2.72	87.63	-1.45	-2.84	ZZ
78ZYXT		103.0	-0.4	-0.54	89.16	0.08	0.16	ZZ
7AQ24G		103.7	0.3	0.46	88.66	-0.42	-0.82	ZZ
84UUYD		104.2	0.8	1.11	89.06	-0.02	-0.03	ZZ
94AF9E		103.9	0.5	0.68	89.58	0.50	0.99	ZZ
9967EQ		103.1	-0.3	-0.45	88.70	-0.37	-0.73	ZZ
ACJ4LD		104.0	0.6	0.82	88.80	-0.28	-0.54	ZZ
ARELBC		103.5	0.0	0.07	89.41	0.33	0.66	ZZ
BUJD3P		103.4	0.0	-0.04	89.44	0.36	0.72	ZZ
DPFG8E		102.6	-0.8	-1.16	89.26	0.19	0.37	ZZ
EGFLKZ		103.2	-0.2	-0.29	89.28	0.20	0.40	ZZ
FE8NT6	*	103.8	0.4	0.57	90.32	1.25	2.45	ZZ
FJ4DAC		102.7	-0.7	-0.96	88.80	-0.28	-0.54	ZZ
FUZGE6		103.2	-0.2	-0.24	89.21	0.13	0.26	ZZ
GJEEWB		103.1	-0.3	-0.44	88.99	-0.09	-0.17	ZZ
H7N6MA		103.3	-0.1	-0.16	88.93	-0.14	-0.28	ZZ
HGMZGY		103.9	0.5	0.71	89.19	0.11	0.22	ZZ
JG3JZW		103.4	0.0	-0.02	89.19	0.11	0.23	ZZ
LCXRN2		102.0	-1.4	-1.96	87.80	-1.28	-2.51	ZZ
LFW4K2		103.0	-0.4	-0.59	88.62	-0.45	-0.89	ZZ
MKA9RV		103.1	-0.3	-0.47	89.26	0.18	0.36	ZZ
N6E7T3		103.1	-0.4	-0.49	89.07	-0.01	-0.01	ZZ
NTJW6U		103.5	0.1	0.11	88.49	-0.59	-1.15	ZZ
PK786Z	X	93.4	-10.1	-13.96	80.39	-8.68	-17.06	ZZ
PKLLCA		103.5	0.1	0.15	89.67	0.59	1.17	ZZ
RJ4CET		103.4	0.0	-0.06	89.44	0.36	0.71	ZZ
T38GE8	X	88.7	-14.7	-20.41	88.93	-0.14	-0.28	ZZ
T3PBDW		104.0	0.6	0.80	89.42	0.34	0.68	ZZ
UWLN2M	X	524.2	420.8	584.54	448.54	359.47	706.52	ZZ
VK3NNQ	*	105.2	1.8	2.45	89.28	0.20	0.40	ZZ
YCXV4L		104.1	0.7	0.95	89.06	-0.02	-0.03	ZZ
Z2PVMJ		103.0	-0.4	-0.60	89.03	-0.05	-0.09	ZZ
Z4YLB2		104.7	1.3	1.77	89.12	0.04	0.08	ZZ
Summary Statistics			Sample GW75		Sample GW7	<u>6</u>		
Grand Means			103.41 g/sq m		89.08 g/sq m	1		
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			0.72 g/sq m		0.51 g/sq m			
Statistics based on 31 of 34 reporting participants							g participants.	



Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #398

PK786Z (X) - Extreme Data.

UWLN2M (X) - Extreme Data.

T38GE8 (X) - Extreme Data for Sample GW75.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked







Analysis 399 Sizing Test (Hercules Type) TAPPI Official Test Method T530

			Sample GX75	<u>i</u>		<u>Sample GX76</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
32NK6L		16.75	2.83	0.87	15.01	2.41	0.64	HE
33ZGAP		19.95	6.03	1.85	20.13	7.53	2.00	HE
3PAMZG		13.48	-0.44	-0.14	8.11	-4.49	-1.20	HE
682D9F		16.51	2.59	0.79	13.53	0.93	0.25	HE
72QLE9		10.25	-3.67	-1.12	10.12	-2.48	-0.66	HE
7AQ24G		16.45	2.53	0.77	16.62	4.02	1.07	XX
ACXQVF		15.52	1.60	0.49	15.33	2.73	0.73	XX
AGRRU9		16.66	2.74	0.84	16.71	4.11	1.09	XX
BUJD3P		9.01	-4.91	-1.50	7.94	-4.66	-1.24	HE
CRLMAF		13.93	0.01	0.00	13.39	0.79	0.21	XX
FTPEQL		11.50	-2.42	-0.74	11.90	-0.70	-0.19	HE
HHGLCB		11.03	-2.89	-0.89	11.34	-1.26	-0.34	HE
HN8VLA		14.78	0.86	0.26	12.80	0.20	0.05	HE
HQCNF9		12.73	-1.19	-0.36	11.51	-1.09	-0.29	HE
HUUL96		9.78	-4.14	-1.27	8.59	-4.01	-1.07	HE
HYMQ29	*	13.31	-0.61	-0.19	6.84	-5.76	-1.53	HE
KX8674		10.57	-3.35	-1.03	6.22	-6.38	-1.70	XX
LLNDUY		12.07	-1.85	-0.57	10.36	-2.24	-0.60	HE
LVB9UF		10.15	-3.77	-1.15	8.49	-4.11	-1.09	HE
N6E7T3		15.68	1.76	0.54	15.15	2.55	0.68	HE
NC9BL4		17.80	3.88	1.19	14.80	2.20	0.58	HE
NTJW6U		12.10	-1.82	-0.56	11.60	-1.00	-0.27	HE
P9T3X6		11.10	-2.82	-0.86	11.97	-0.63	-0.17	HE
PK786Z		21.46	7.54	2.31	20.95	8.35	2.22	HE
QGFKMW		13.61	-0.31	-0.10	13.76	1.16	0.31	HE
TNCZNN		9.99	-3.93	-1.20	10.09	-2.51	-0.67	HE
VA22WT		15.83	1.91	0.58	15.28	2.68	0.71	HE
VEFY2V	X	29.33	15.41	4.72	26.50	13.90	3.70	HE
VXM93R		11.69	-2.23	-0.68	10.09	-2.51	-0.67	HE
Y7REAQ		19.44	5.52	1.69	18.55	5.95	1.58	HE
YYRXYL		14.50	0.58	0.18	10.90	-1.70	-0.45	HE
Summary Statistics			Sample GX75		Sample GX76	,		
Grand Means				13.92 Seconds		12.60 Seconds	ı	
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs				3.27 Seconds	3.76 Seconds			
					Statisti	cs based on 30 of	31 reporting p	articipants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #399

VEFY2V (X) - Data for both samples are high. Possible Systematic Error. Inconsistent within the determinations of both samples.



Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

HE Hercules Sizing Tester

XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab







-End of Report-