

Paper & Paperboard Testing Program

Summary Report #3102 G - February 2021

Introduction to the Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Program Explanation of Tables and Definitions of Terms

Analysis Analysis Name

- 350 Color & Color Difference Near White Papers C/2deg obs
- 351 Color & Color Difference Near White Papers D65/10deg obs
- 360 Thickness (Caliper), Printing papers
- 361 Thickness (Caliper), Packaging papers
- 364 Coefficient of Static Friction Horizontal Plane Method Printing Papers
- 365 Coefficient of Kinetic Friction Horizontal Plane Method Printing Papers
- 370 Air Resistance Gurley Oil Type
- 372 Porosity Sheffield Type Sheffield Units for 3/4 inch Diameter Orifice
- 376 Roughness Print Surf Method 0.5 to 4.0 Microns
- 377 Roughness Print Surf Method 2.5 to 6.0 Microns
- 378 Roughness Sheffield Type
- 382 Moisture in Paper
- 384 Opacity (89% Reflectance Backing) Fine Papers
- 386 Opacity (Paper Backing) Fine Papers and Newsprint
- 390 Directional Brightness
- 391 Directional Brightness of Fluorescent Samples
- 392 Diffuse Brightness
- 394 Fluorescent Component of Directional Brightness
- 395 Specular Gloss at 75 Degrees High Range
- 396 Specular Gloss at 75 Degrees Low Range
- 398 Grammage (Mass per Unit Area)
- 399 Sizing Test (Hercules Type)

The CTS Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Program

In 1969, the National Bureau of Standards (now designated the National Institute for Standards and Technology) and the Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry (TAPPI) developed an interlaboratory program for paper and paperboard testing. Since 1971, Collaborative Testing Services has operated the Collaborative Reference Program for Paper and Paperboard. With hundreds of organizations from around the world participating in these tests, this program has become one of the largest of its kind. The program allows laboratories to compare the performance of their testing with that of other participating laboratories, and provides a realistic picture of the state of paper testing.

About CTS

Founded in 1971, Collaborative Testing Services, Inc. (CTS) is a privately - owned company that specializes in interlaboratory tests for a variety of industrial sectors: rubber, plastics, fasteners and metals, CKPG, paper, color and wine, as well as proficiency tests for forensic laboratories. All of the tests are designed to assist organizations in achieving and maintaining quality assurance objectives. Labs from the U.S., as well as more than 80 countries, currently participate in CTS programs.

If there are any questions on the report or testing program, please contact:

Collaborative Testing Services, Inc. 21331 Gentry Drive Sterling, Virginia 20166 USA +1-571-434-1925 FAX #: +1-571-434-1937 paper@cts-interlab.com

Office Hours: 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m. ET

	Key for Web Summary Reports (Page 1 of 2)
WebCode	Assigned laboratory identification number (temporary) used to ensure lab confidentiality while permitting a lab to locate its data in the Paper Report published on the CTS Web site. The WebCode for each analysis can be found on the datasheets and in the Performance Analysis Report mailed to each participant.
Lab Mean	The average of the values obtained for each sample by the participant.
Grand Mean	The average of the LAB MEANS for all included participants. Laboratories flagged with an X or an M (see DATA FLAG column) are excluded from the GRAND MEAN.
ΔE	The calculated total color difference between the two samples. For the Hunter L,a,b analyses it is calculated in Hunter units (ΔE). For the L*,a*,b* analyses it is calculated in CIELAB units (ΔE *).
Difference from Grand Mean	The difference of the LAB MEAN from the GRAND MEAN.
Between-Lab Standard Deviation	An indication of the precision of measurement between the laboratories. The greater the spread of the LAB MEANS about the GRAND MEAN, the larger the BETWEEN-LAB STANDARD DEVIATION (and vice versa).
Comparative Performance Value	An indication of how well a laboratory's results agree with the other participants. The CPV is a ratio indicating the number of standard deviations from the GRAND MEAN. The closer a laboratory's COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE VALUE is to zero, the more consistent its results are with the other participants' data (and vice versa). The critical value for each CPV will vary depending on the number of labs participating in a test.
Inst Code	A code indicating the manufacturer of the instrument used to perform the test (see separate INSTRUMENT CODE LIST for each test section), if instruments are tracked.
Data Flag	DATA FLAGS are assigned based on the simultaneous analysis of both samples tested. Refer to the following chart for an explanation of each symbol:

DATA <u>FLAG</u>	STATISTICALLY INCLUDED/EXCLUDED	ACTION REQUIRED
*	INCLUDED	CAUTION - review testing procedure and monitor future results. Results fall outside 95% ellipse but within a 99% ellipse that is calculated but not drawn.
Х	EXCLUDED	STOP - immediate review of data and/or testing procedure is required. Results fall outside the 99% ellipse. See specific notes following each table for more information on why the data is excluded.
М	EXCLUDED	PROCEED - lab was unable to report data for at least one sample.

Key for Web Summary Reports (Page 2 of 2)

Graph - For each laboratory, the LAB MEAN for the first sample (x-axis) is plotted against the LAB MEAN for the second sample (y-axis) with each point representing a laboratory. The horizontal and vertical cross-hairs are the GRAND MEANS for each sample. When 20 or more laboratories are in the statistics, an ellipse is also drawn so that 95% of the time a randomly selected laboratory will be included inside the ellipse. Plotted data flags are explained on the previous page.

Common Problems Highlighted in Footnotes

1. *Extreme data* - The laboratory's results for one or both samples are so inconsistent with those of the other participants that the lab mean(s) fall outside the plot. The participant is advised to immediately review his data and/or testing procedure.

2. **Systematic bias** - The laboratory's results are either consistently high or low for both samples when compared to the other participants (the plotted point falls near the top or bottom of the ellipse). This indicates that the participant is performing the test with a constant bias. Causes of systematic errors include improper calibration, the particular make/model of equipment or a modification to the testing procedure.

3. *Inconsistency in testing between samples/sample sets* - The laboratory's results indicate that there are differences in the way the two samples tested (the plotted point falls to the side of the ellipse). This type of error may be attributed to the analyst deviating from the procedure when testing one of the samples or a material interaction occurrence with the instrument or room conditions. The inconsistency is reflected in the CPVs for the two samples, such as a +1.5 CPV for sample A and a -2.2 CPV for sample B. CTS also will specify if the laboratory's data for one sample are high/low compared to the other participants. If this inconsistency is slight, the lab's plotted point will be an * that falls on the edge of the ellipse.

4. *Inconsistency in testing within a sample* - The laboratory's within-lab standard deviation for a specified sample is high when compared to the other participants, often causing the lab's plotted point to fall outside of the ellipse.

Labs flagged with an * are not typically included in the footnotes of a data table. These labs may locate their position in the control ellipse and use the definitions above to help identify the type of testing error. An * should serve as a caution flag, a "yellow light", to a lab. If this error is repeated in future rounds, a lab may need to stop and review its testing procedures. The initial data flag is not cause for alarm. Interlaboratory tests conducted at regular intervals permit a lab to recognize trends in testing.



Report #3102 G, February 2021

Color & Color Difference - Near White Papers - C/2deg obs Hunter L,a,b - Illuminant C - 2 Degree Observer

		Hunter	Hunter L, a, b Color Values			Color Difference Values				
Web Code	Data Flag Samples	L	a	b	ΔL	∆a	∆b	ΔE		
74KLVW	GA87 GA88	93.69 93.69	-0.24 -0.24	3.83 3.81	0.00	0.00	-0.02	0.02	LA	
8P3FZN	GA87 GA88	93.52 93.52	-0.68 -0.70	3.98 3.99	0.01	-0.01	0.00	0.01	тс	
AQN2JP	GA87 GA88	94.24 94.21	-0.80 -0.79	4.02 3.98	-0.03	0.01	-0.04	0.05	HE	
BVTW3P	GA87 GA88	92.89 92.84	-0.23 -0.20	3.79 3.81	-0.05	0.02	0.02	0.06	TS	
CL3XNP	GA87 GA88	95.07 95.06	-0.88 -0.53	4.09 4.16	-0.01	0.36	0.06	0.36 <mark>X</mark>	LS	
CTL2WR	GA87 GA88	92.20 92.24	-0.52 -0.56	3.30 3.42	0.04	-0.04	0.12	0.13	TS	
CYRVQM	[GA87 GA88	94.35 94.34	-0.60 -0.60	4.06 4.10	-0.01	0.01	0.04	0.04	HE	
EBWTP8	GA87 GA88	93.62 93.63	-0.82 -0.82	3.97 4.06	0.01	0.00	0.09	0.09	тс	
FQLZKJ	GA87 GA88	93.38 93.45	-0.68 -0.56	3.80 3.78	0.07	0.12	-0.02	0.14	TS	
GU6ENK	GA87 GA88	94.93 94.93	-0.67 -0.66	4.23 4.29	0.01	0.00	0.06	0.06	TS	
JD6CZC	GA87 GA88	94.93 94.94	-0.80 -0.80	4.17 4.09	0.01	0.01	-0.08	0.08	тс	
KMP3Y9	GA87 GA88	95.03 95.01	-0.84 -0.84	4.02 4.00	-0.03	0.00	-0.02	0.03	EH	
MRUKB8	GA87 GA88	93.08 93.26	-1.03 -1.03	3.67 3.70	0.18	0.00	0.02	0.19	XX	
NYCDZB	GA87 GA88	94.08 94.10	-0.79 -0.78	4.25 4.23	0.02	0.01	-0.02	0.03	HE	
UW3GV9	GA87 GA88	95.48 95.53	-0.81 -0.83	3.82 3.86	0.05	-0.02	0.04	0.06	XS	
W3LJTN	GA87 GA88	92.69 92.68	-0.14 -0.16	3.47 3.47	-0.01	-0.01	0.00	0.01	TS	



Color & Color Difference - Near White Papers - C/2deg obs Hunter L,a,b - Illuminant C - 2 Degree Observer

			Hunter	L, a, b Color \	C	Instr Code				
Web Code	Data Flag	Samples	L	a	b	ΔL	∆a	∆b	∆E	
Y2K6J2		GA87 GA88	93.71 93.74	-0.78 -0.79	4.33 4.38	0.03	-0.01	0.05	0.06	VM
ZTK6JY		GA87 GA88	94.96 94.98	-0.62 -0.62	4.91 4.86	0.02	0.00	-0.05	0.06	NG

Grand Means		S	iummary Stati	stics			
GA87	93.991	-0.651	3.985	0.040	0.005	0.045	0.000
GA88	94.009	-0.646	4.000	0.018	0.025	0.015	0.083
Stnd Dev Btwn Lab	<u>os</u>						
GA87	0.947	0.243	0.354	0.050	0.090	0.051	0.092
GA88	0.937	0.245	0.336	0.050	0.089	0.051	0.063
				Statistics	based on 18	3 of 18 repo	rting participants

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

EH	Datacolor Elrepho SF450
----	-------------------------

- LA L & W Elrepho AL300
- NG Minolta CM-3700d Spectrophotometer
- TS Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S-5
- XS X-Rite 938 Spectrodensitometer

- HE Hunter LabScan
- LS L & W Elrepho SE 070
- TC Technidyne Color Touch Series
- VM Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani/Robotest)
- XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab



Plot of L values GA88 vs L values GA87



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Plot of a values GA88 vs a values GA87



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Plot of b values GA88 vs b values GA87



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Report #3102 G, February 2021

Analysis 351 Color & Color Difference - Near White Papers - D65/10deg obs Hunter L,a,b - Illuminant D65 - 10 Degree Observer

			CIE L* a* b* Color Values			Color Difference Values				
Web Code	Data Flaa	Samples	L*	a*	b*	∆ L *	∆ a *	∆b*	∆E *	InstrCode
24NYOY		GA87	95.11	-0.95	4.23	-0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	FF
2/11/10/1		GA88	95.10	-0.95	4.24	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
		6487	93 69	-0.56	3 72					
3KHU4K		GA88	93.70	-0.53	3.71	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.03	XB
3UADGT		GA87	94.88	-0.64	4.06	0.02	-0.02	-0.03	0.04	TC
		GA88	94.90	-0.66	4.03					
43J2VR		GA87	94.12	-0.71	3.54	-0.01	-0.01	0.04	0.04	HF
		GA88	94.11	-0.71	3.59					
ATTODT		GA87	95.04	-0.56	4.39	0.10	0.00	0.04	0.10	
411GP1		GA88	94.85	-0.54	4.43	-0.19	0.02	0.04	0.19	NG
67W2RJ		GA87	93.57	-0.65	3.97	-0.02	0.00	0.04	0.05	TC
		GA88	93.55	-0.65	4.02					
7KCJXR		GA87	95.54	-0.57	4.03	0.04	-0.01	-0.05	0.06	XV
		GA88	95.57	-0.58	3.98					,,,,,
002570		G487	94.34	-0.65	4.21	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.05	
8P3FZN		GA88	94.36	-0.65	4.17	0.02	0.00	-0.05	0.05	HE
9PXFCT		GA87	94.96	-0.59	4.24	0.01	-0.01	0.03	0.04	LS
		UADO	54.57	-0.01	4.20					
EUQ7MK		GA87	94.99	-0.64	4.26	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.08	EH
		GA88	94.99	-0.64	4.34					
E2922E		GA87	94.85	-0.83	3.81	0.05	0.00	0.07	0.00	
F2823E		GA88	94.80	-0.83	3.87	-0.05	0.00	0.07	0.08	NG
KMP3Y9		GA87	94.82	-0.68	4.21	0.06	0.01	-0.06	0.08	EH
		GA88	94.88	-0.67	4.15					
MBAUC8		GA87	95.69	-0.40	2.85	0.19	-0.01	-0.08	0.20	ХР
		GA88	95.88	-0.41	2.77				0.20	Л
DODADA		6487	95 05	-0.72	4 13					
R8BAB4		GA88	95.06	-0.71	4.23	0.01	0.01	0.10	0.10	HI
YP6B6M		GA87	95.06	-0.77	4.03	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.04	XC
		GA88	95.09	-0./6	4.04					
Z9XD13		GA87	95.02	-0.64	4.16	0 16	0.02	-0.06	0.17	μт
_//1000		GA88	95.18	-0.62	4.11	0.10	0.02	0.00	5.17	



Color & Color Difference - Near White Papers - D65/10deg obs Hunter L,a,b - Illuminant D65 - 10 Degree Observer

YDAC4	GA87 GA88	95.78 95.78	-0.39 -0.39	4.12 4.11	0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.01	NG
G	Frand Means			Summary Stat	istics				
	GA87	94.853	-0.645	3.997	0.040	0.000	0.005	0.075	
	GA88	94.869	-0.643	4.003	0.016	0.002	0.005	0.075	
Stnc	l Dev Btwn Lo	abs							
	GA87	0.616	0.137	0.365	0.000	0.040	0.050	0.050	
	GA88	0.641	0.136	0.382	0.080	0.013	0.052	0.059	
					Statistics	based on 1	7 of 17 repo	orting participa	ints

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

- EF Datacolor Elrepho 3000
- HE Hunter LabScan
- LS L & W Elrepho SE 070
- TC Technidyne Color Touch Series
- XC X-Rite eXact Series
- XV X-Rite SP60 Series

- EH Datacolor Elrepho SF450
- HT Hunter UltraScan Vis
- NG Minolta CM-3700d Spectrophotometer
- XB X-Rite Ci7
- **XP** X-Rite Spectrophotometer DTP



Plot of L values GA88 vs L values GA87



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Plot of a values GA88 vs a values GA87



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Plot of b values GA88 vs b values GA87



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Report #3102G, February 2021

Analysis 360 Thickness (Caliper), Printing papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

			<u>Sample GV87</u>			<u>Sample GV88</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
236VJW		4.874	-0.080	-0.97	4.953	0.010	0.13	ТМ
3KHU4K		4.994	0.040	0.49	4.993	0.050	0.66	ТМ
3UADGT		5.075	0.121	1.47	5.051	0.108	1.44	PP
43J2VR		4.924	-0.030	-0.36	4.912	-0.031	-0.41	PP
4TTGPT		4.906	-0.048	-0.58	4.924	-0.019	-0.25	PP
4YG4KY		5.031	0.077	0.94	4.993	0.050	0.66	LW
67W2RJ		4.909	-0.045	-0.55	4.923	-0.020	-0.27	PP
6CMC2G		5.033	0.079	0.96	5.018	0.075	1.00	EM
6ND92U	*	4.984	0.030	0.37	4.820	-0.123	-1.64	LA
6X3WYH		5.045	0.091	1.11	4.984	0.041	0.54	LW
74KLVW		5.038	0.084	1.02	4.973	0.030	0.40	EM
8D6CVU		5.061	0.108	1.31	5.061	0.118	1.57	LW
98DPDU		4.997	0.043	0.52	4.887	-0.056	-0.75	ТМ
9ZE3NE		5.000	0.046	0.56	4.931	-0.012	-0.16	PP
AHTYGD		4.898	-0.056	-0.68	4.844	-0.099	-1.32	PP
BVTW3P		5.023	0.069	0.84	5.063	0.120	1.59	EM
BW8JEC		4.948	-0.006	-0.07	4.930	-0.013	-0.17	EM
CCXQAP		4.912	-0.042	-0.51	4.867	-0.076	-1.01	ТА
CL3XNP		4.922	-0.032	-0.39	4.968	0.025	0.33	LW
EDLY8H		4.955	0.001	0.01	4.952	0.009	0.12	EM
EUQ7MK		4.833	-0.121	-1.47	4.963	0.020	0.27	EM
EWXNKF		4.908	-0.046	-0.56	4.868	-0.075	-1.00	FR
F2823E		4.942	-0.012	-0.14	4.860	-0.083	-1.10	EM
FQ64RK		4.961	0.007	0.09	4.926	-0.017	-0.23	TA
FQLZKJ		4.926	-0.028	-0.34	4.820	-0.123	-1.64	LA
GBP6MJ		4.983	0.029	0.36	4.963	0.020	0.27	PP
J4JBGG		5.041	0.087	1.06	5.022	0.079	1.05	TA
J9AKRE		4.909	-0.045	-0.55	4.969	0.026	0.34	LA
JD6CZC		4.900	-0.054	-0.66	4.840	-0.103	-1.37	ТМ
JK8K4J		4.983	0.029	0.36	4.887	-0.056	-0.75	LW
KMP3Y9		4.975	0.021	0.26	4.971	0.028	0.37	EM
KPDEYE		4.984	0.030	0.37	5.018	0.075	1.00	LA
MBAUC8		4.860	-0.094	-1.14	4.910	-0.033	-0.44	ТМ
MRUKB8		5.050	0.096	1.17	5.000	0.057	0.76	XX
NMUTFE		5.046	0.092	1.12	5.005	0.062	0.82	ТМ
R3J3QA		4.959	0.005	0.06	4.884	-0.059	-0.79	ТМ
R8BAB4		5.044	0.090	1.10	4.973	0.030	0.40	EM
R9KCX3	*	4.702	-0.252	-3.07	4.750	-0.193	-2.57	LW
RQMWXC		4.920	-0.034	-0.41	4.948	0.005	0.07	LW
RZQ742		4.827	-0.127	-1.55	4.827	-0.116	-1.54	TA
UW3GV9	*	4.710	-0.244	-2.97	4.780	-0.163	-2.17	ТМ



Report #3102G, February 2021

Analysis 360 Thickness (Caliper), Printing papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

				<u>Sample GV87</u>			<u>Sample GV88</u>		
We	ebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
V	4V9F8		5.004	0.050	0.61	5.012	0.069	0.91	LW
V	MAK9X		4.838	-0.116	-1.41	4.908	-0.035	-0.47	PP
W	33DTY		5.032	0.078	0.96	5.022	0.079	1.06	LW
Х	DY3N6		4.911	-0.043	-0.52	4.984	0.041	0.54	PP
Y	BPCX2		4.959	0.005	0.06	5.044	0.101	1.34	ОK
Y	P6B6M		4.969	0.015	0.18	4.984	0.041	0.55	LW
Z	9XDJ3		5.025	0.071	0.87	4.997	0.054	0.72	EM
Z	ГК6ЈҮ		5.010	0.056	0.68	5.028	0.085	1.13	EM
	Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GV87	<u></u>	Sample GV88		
	Grar	nd Mec	ins		4.95 mils		4.94 mils		
	Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.08 mils		0.08 mils		
						Statisti	cs based on 49 of	49 reporting	participants.
			Key	to Instrume	nt Codes Repo	orted by Partic	ipants		
EM	Emveco				FR	Frank Instrumer	nts		
LA	L & W A	utoline			LW	L & W			
OK	Oaklan	d			PP	Technidyne Pro	file/Plus		
ТА	Thwing-	Albert			ТМ	TMI			
w	la atruna i	مع مع	o /model net	an a cified by let	h				

XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab







Report #3102G, February 2021

Analysis 361 Thickness (Caliper), Packaging papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

			Sample GY87	-		<u>Sample GY88</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
4MMMVX		14.14	0.01	0.07	14.14	0.05	0.34	ТМ
647ZLV		14.40	0.27	1.94	14.39	0.30	1.97	LW
72WAWR		13.99	-0.13	-0.93	13.95	-0.14	-0.90	LA
74KLVW		14.32	0.19	1.37	14.33	0.24	1.57	EM
8P3FZN		14.19	0.07	0.47	14.17	0.08	0.52	EM
9PXFCT		14.18	0.05	0.35	14.05	-0.04	-0.25	LW
9ZE3NE		14.11	-0.02	-0.11	14.01	-0.08	-0.51	LW
AQN2JP		14.08	-0.05	-0.34	14.12	0.03	0.17	EM
CTL2WR		13.89	-0.24	-1.68	13.86	-0.23	-1.49	OK
CYRVQM		14.12	0.00	-0.02	14.12	0.03	0.19	EM
DWG8R9		14.23	0.10	0.73	14.22	0.13	0.86	LA
EUQ7MK		13.97	-0.15	-1.08	13.88	-0.21	-1.36	EM
FQ64RK		14.17	0.05	0.33	14.14	0.05	0.36	TA
GDVMLF		14.43	0.30	2.14	14.35	0.26	1.75	PP
HYAXZH		14.08	-0.05	-0.36	14.01	-0.08	-0.53	LW
K33DPG		14.04	-0.09	-0.61	13.97	-0.12	-0.79	LW
KPDEYE		14.01	-0.11	-0.79	13.92	-0.17	-1.10	LA
KU99WF		14.06	-0.07	-0.48	13.95	-0.14	-0.93	LW
MDDPVB	X	9.49	-4.64	-32.97	7.58	-6.51	-42.86	LW
NYCDZB		14.00	-0.13	-0.90	13.98	-0.11	-0.75	EM
PHLRWW		14.15	0.03	0.19	14.25	0.16	1.09	LW
QM8694		14.24	0.12	0.84	14.24	0.15	1.01	LA
QXPL4W		14.13	0.01	0.06	14.09	0.01	0.04	LA
RAYLBA		14.20	0.07	0.52	14.10	0.01	0.07	LW
RQMWXC		14.14	0.02	0.13	14.14	0.05	0.32	LW
RZQ742		14.04	-0.09	-0.61	13.97	-0.12	-0.78	ТА
V6C798	*	13.77	-0.36	-2.53	13.81	-0.28	-1.84	ТА
VLYJMY		14.22	0.09	0.67	14.07	-0.02	-0.13	ТМ
Y2K6J2		14.21	0.09	0.62	14.26	0.17	1.11	VP
ZMJTM3	X	13.15	-0.98	-6.95	13.27	-0.82	-5.42	ТМ
Summa	ry Sta	tistics		Sample GY87		Sample GY88	3	
Gran	d Mea	ins		14.13 mils		14.09 mils		

Statistics based on 28 of 30 reporting participants.

0.15 mils

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #361

ZMJTM3 (X) - Extreme Data.

Stnd Dev Btwn Labs

MDDPVB (X) - Extreme Data.

0.14 mils



Analysis 361 Thickness (Caliper), Packaging papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

EM Emveco

 $LW \quad L \And \mathbb{W}$

PP Technidyne Profile/Plus

TM TMI

- LA L & W Autoline OK Oakland

- TA Thwing-Albert
- VP Valmet Paper Lab Automated Tester







Analysis 364 Coefficient of Static Friction - Horizontal Plane Method - Printing Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T549

			Sample GD8	<u>37</u>		<u>Sample GD8</u>	<u>18</u>	
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mear	n CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mear	n CPV	Instr Code
2JDMMM		0.5182	-0.0460	-0.53	0.6062	0.0383	0.32	IT
43J2VR		0.5020	-0.0622	-0.71	0.5680	0.0001	0.00	ТА
647ZLV		0.5436	-0.0206	-0.24	0.5806	0.0127	0.11	ТА
6CMC2G		0.6340	0.0698	0.80	0.6280	0.0601	0.50	TA
72WAWR		0.5596	-0.0046	-0.05	0.5512	-0.0167	-0.14	ТА
AHTYGD		0.3840	-0.1802	-2.06	0.2484	-0.3195	-2.67	ТМ
BVTW3P		0.6854	0.1212	1.38	0.6456	0.0777	0.65	TA
BW8JEC		0.5804	0.0162	0.19	0.5998	0.0319	0.27	TA
GNE6EL		0.6408	0.0766	0.87	0.6286	0.0607	0.51	TA
UW3GV9		0.5026	-0.0616	-0.70	0.4862	-0.0817	-0.68	XX
W3LJTN		0.6554	0.0912	1.04	0.7048	0.1369	1.14	ТА
ZTK6JY	X	523.7000	523.1358	5,973.10	449.0500	448.4821	3,740.53	ТМ
Summa	iry Sta	tistics		Sample GD87		Sample GD	<u>88</u>	
Grand Means				0.56 COF		0.57 COF		

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #364

ZTK6JY (X) - Extreme Data.

Stnd Dev Btwn Labs

Key to Instrument	Codes Reported	d by Participants

0.09 COF

- IT IMASS SP-2100TM TMI 32-06 Monitor/Slip and Friction
- TA Thwing-Albert Friction Tester
- TMI 32-06 Monitor/Slip and Friction XX
- 🗙 🛛 Instrument make/model not specified by lab

0.12 COF

Statistics based on 11 of 12 reporting participants.





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Testing Program

Analysis 365 Coefficient of Kinetic Friction - Horizontal Plane Method - Printing Papers **TAPPI Official Test Method T549**

			Sample GD87	7		<u>Sample GD88</u>			
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code	
2JDMMM		0.4388	-0.0586	-1.03	0.4872	-0.0221	-0.39	IR	
43J2VR		0.3700	-0.1274	-2.23	0.4120	-0.0973	-1.72	ТА	
647ZLV		0.5244	0.0270	0.47	0.5512	0.0419	0.74	TN	
6CMC2G		0.5300	0.0326	0.57	0.5460	0.0367	0.65	ХХ	
72WAWR		0.4842	-0.0132	-0.23	0.4866	-0.0227	-0.40	ТА	
AHTYGD		0.5006	0.0032	0.06	0.4836	-0.0257	-0.45	ТА	
BVTW3P		0.5246	0.0272	0.48	0.4810	-0.0283	-0.50	TA	
BW8JEC		0.5426	0.0452	0.79	0.5598	0.0505	0.89	ТА	
GNE6EL		0.5236	0.0262	0.46	0.5240	0.0147	0.26	ТА	
UW3GV9		0.4580	-0.0394	-0.69	0.4550	-0.0543	-0.96	XX	
W3LJTN		0.5746	0.0772	1.35	0.6154	0.1061	1.88	ТА	
Summo	ary Sta	tistics		Sample GD87	<u></u>	Sample GD88	3		
Gra	nd Med	ans		0.50 COF		0.51 COF			
Stno	l Dev B	Btwn Labs		0.06 COF	.06 COF 0.06 COF				
					Statist	ics based on 11 of	11 reporting p	articipants.	
		Кеу	to Instrume	nt Codes Repo	rted by Partie	cipants			
IR IMASS	SP-2000)		ТА	Thwing-Albert I	Friction Tester			
TN TMI 32	-07 Moi	nitor/Slip and	Friction	XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab				

ΤN TMI 32-07 Monitor/Slip and Friction

XX



TAPPI Official Test Method T549



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Report #3102G, February 2021

Analysis 370 Air Resistance - Gurley Oil Type TAPPI Official Test Method T460

Sample GE87					Sample GE88					
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code		
2ANYQY		16.91	1.10	1.16	27.57	1.93	1.05	LP		
3KHU4K		15.67	-0.14	-0.14	24.09	-1.55	-0.84	PP		
3UADGT		16.59	0.78	0.83	28.63	3.00	1.62	PP		
43J2VR		16.12	0.31	0.33	28.11	2.48	1.34	PP		
67W2RJ		15.68	-0.12	-0.13	25.29	-0.34	-0.19	PP		
6CMC2G		16.05	0.25	0.26	27.81	2.17	1.18	PP		
6ND92U	*	18.46	2.65	2.79	28.48	2.84	1.54	LA		
72WAWR		15.95	0.14	0.15	25.94	0.30	0.16	LA		
8D6CVU		16.01	0.20	0.21	26.35	0.71	0.39	LP		
8P3FZN		15.98	0.17	0.18	26.66	1.03	0.56	PP		
9ZE3NE		15.64	-0.17	-0.18	25.91	0.27	0.15	PP		
CCXQAP		15.70	-0.11	-0.11	26.67	1.03	0.56	GA		
CL3XNP		15.55	-0.26	-0.27	24.83	-0.81	-0.44	LP		
EDLY8H		15.57	-0.24	-0.25	25.31	-0.33	-0.18	PP		
FNXNLE		15.59	-0.22	-0.23	25.43	-0.21	-0.11	PP		
FQ64RK		15.66	-0.15	-0.15	27.03	1.39	0.75	GA		
FQLZKJ		16.08	0.27	0.29	24.89	-0.75	-0.40	LA		
GBP6MJ		16.64	0.84	0.88	26.61	0.97	0.53	PP		
GFMLZD		16.57	0.76	0.80	26.01	0.37	0.20	PP		
GNE6EL		15.58	-0.23	-0.24	26.61	0.97	0.53	WG		
HKAWMG		15.36	-0.45	-0.47	24.03	-1.61	-0.87	LP		
KKM6NG	*	13.11	-2.70	-2.84	20.16	-5.48	-2.96	HM		
KMP3Y9		15.29	-0.52	-0.54	24.56	-1.07	-0.58	PP		
MDDPVB	X	14.66	-1.15	-1.21	15.54	-10.10	-5.46	TL		
MRUKB8		15.05	-0.76	-0.80	24.25	-1.39	-0.75	XX		
MT7HFB		16.30	0.49	0.52	26.59	0.95	0.52	TL		
PHLRWW		14.74	-1.07	-1.13	22.45	-3.19	-1.73	WG		
QXPL4W		16.25	0.44	0.46	25.42	-0.22	-0.12	LA		
R3J3QA		14.77	-1.04	-1.09	25.01	-0.63	-0.34	HG		
R8BAB4		16.31	0.50	0.53	27.52	1.88	1.02	HG		
RAYLBA	*	12.93	-2.88	-3.03	20.51	-5.13	-2.77	HM		
T6472T		16.69	0.88	0.93	26.20	0.56	0.31	LP		
UW3GV9		15.30	-0.51	-0.53	23.80	-1.84	-0.99	GS		
VBG2UR		16.11	0.30	0.32	27.16	1.52	0.82	GL		
VMAK9X	X	0.63	-15.18	-16.00	0.63	-25.01	-13.53	HG		
W33DTY		15.91	0.10	0.11	25.69	0.05	0.03	LP		
WYL8WP		16.48	0.67	0.71	26.75	1.11	0.60	TL		
XDY3N6		15.74	-0.07	-0.07	25.84	0.21	0.11	PP		
Y2K6J2		14.61	-1.20	-1.26	23.14	-2.50	-1.35	VM		
YJJHR3		16.89	1.08	1.14	25.97	0.33	0.18	XX		
YP6B6M		16.60	0.79	0.84	26.10	0.46	0.25	LW		



Analysis 370 Air Resistance - Gurley Oil Type TAPPI Official Test Method T460

			Sample GE87		Sample GE88			
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
Z9XDJ3		15.84	0.03	0.03	26.04	0.41	0.22	PP
Summary Statistics				Sample GE87	Sample GE88			
Grand Means		ans	15.81 sec/100 cc		25.64 sec/100 cc			
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			0.95 sec/100 cc	1.85 sec/100 cc				
					Statisti	cs based on 40 of 4	12 reporting	participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #370

VMAK9X (X) - Extreme Data.

MDDPVB (X) - Data for sample GE88 are low.

	Key to Instrument Code	s Repo	orted by Participants
GA	Gurley Precision #4340 Automatic Densometer	GL	Gurley #4110
GS	Gurley-Hill S-P-S Tester #4190	HG	Technidyne - Hagerty Model #1
ΗМ	Technidyne - Hagerty Model #538	LA	L & W Autoline
LP	L & W Densometer, Air Permeance	LW	L & W Type Gurley Densometer, Oil Flotation
PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus	TL	Gurley Densometer #4110, Oil Flotation
VM	Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani/Robotest)	WG	W & LE Gurley Tester
XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab		





February 2021



Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Testing Program

Analysis 372 Porosity - Sheffield Type - Sheffield Units for 3/4 inch Diameter Orifice **TAPPI Official Test Method T547**

			Sample GE87			<u>Sample GE88</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
67W2RJ		165.7	-3.9	-0.56	113.2	0.9	0.15	PP
FQ64RK		168.7	-0.9	-0.13	103.1	-9.2	-1.57	GA
J4JBGG		172.7	3.1	0.45	111.3	-1.0	-0.17	HM
M3RLNB		162.7	-6.9	-1.00	107.7	-4.6	-0.79	LB
NMUTFE		169.9	0.3	0.05	120.3	8.0	1.36	SH
UW3GV9	X	128.3	-41.3	-5.99	92.9	-19.4	-3.31	SH
Y2K6J2		181.8	12.2	1.77	118.2	5.9	1.01	PP
YBBQNY		160.4	-9.2	-1.33	108.2	-4.1	-0.70	LP
YBPCX2		174.7	5.1	0.74	116.4	4.1	0.70	LA
Summa	iry Stat	tistics		Sample GE87		Sample GE88		
Grar	nd Mec	ans	169	9.58 Sheffield Ur	nits 112	2.30 Sheffield U	Inits	
Stnd	Dev B	stwn Labs	6.	90 Sheffield Uni	ts 5.	86 Sheffield Un	iits	
					Stat	tistics based on 8 of	9 reporting	participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #372

UW3GV9 (X) - Data for both samples are low.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants									
GA	Gurley Precision #4340 Automatic Densometer	НМ	Technidyne - Hagerty Model #538							
LA	L & W Roughness Sheffield - Autoline	LB	L & W Air Permeance - Autoline							
LP	L & W Densometer, Air Permeance	PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus							
SH	Sheffield									





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 376 Roughness - Print Surf Method - 0.5 to 4.0 Microns TAPPI Official Test Method T555

			Sample GJ87			<u>Sample GJ88</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2Y7HXR		1.0190	0.1521	1.45	0.9900	0.1384	1.39	ZZ
43J2VR	*	0.8720	0.0051	0.05	0.7390	-0.1126	-1.13	ZZ
67W2RJ		0.8090	-0.0579	-0.55	0.8030	-0.0486	-0.49	ZZ
6X3WYH		0.7600	-0.1069	-1.02	0.8000	-0.0516	-0.52	ZZ
88HPZN		0.8340	-0.0329	-0.31	0.8070	-0.0446	-0.45	ZZ
8P3FZN	*	1.1460	0.2791	2.65	1.1260	0.2744	2.76	ZZ
9PXFCT		0.8000	-0.0669	-0.64	0.8050	-0.0466	-0.47	ZZ
AQN2JP		0.8910	0.0241	0.23	0.8990	0.0474	0.48	ZZ
B2YQWK	X	1.5480	0.6811	6.47	1.6120	0.7604	7.65	ZZ
CTL2WR		0.8240	-0.0429	-0.41	0.8240	-0.0276	-0.28	ZZ
CYRVQM		0.8040	-0.0629	-0.60	0.7690	-0.0826	-0.83	ZZ
EBWTP8		0.8540	-0.0129	-0.12	0.7490	-0.1026	-1.03	ZZ
EDLY8H		0.9150	0.0481	0.46	0.9590	0.1074	1.08	ZZ
EUQ7MK		0.7560	-0.1109	-1.05	0.7500	-0.1016	-1.02	ZZ
GNE6EL		1.0940	0.2271	2.16	1.0260	0.1744	1.76	ZZ
J9AKRE		0.8030	-0.0639	-0.61	0.7870	-0.0646	-0.65	ZZ
JD6CZC		0.8510	-0.0159	-0.15	0.8570	0.0054	0.05	ZZ
KMP3Y9		0.8420	-0.0249	-0.24	0.8810	0.0294	0.30	ZZ
KPDEYE		0.7310	-0.1359	-1.29	0.7220	-0.1296	-1.30	ZZ
NYCDZB		0.7360	-0.1309	-1.24	0.7830	-0.0686	-0.69	ZZ
QM8694		0.8390	-0.0279	-0.27	0.8520	0.0004	0.00	ZZ
RQMWXC		0.8520	-0.0149	-0.14	0.8680	0.0164	0.17	ZZ
V4V9F8		1.0490	0.1821	1.73	1.0150	0.1634	1.64	ZZ
VMAK9X		0.8400	-0.0269	-0.26	0.8160	-0.0356	-0.36	ZZ
W3LJTN		0.8150	-0.0519	-0.49	0.8400	-0.0116	-0.12	ZZ
Y2K6J2		0.9340	0.0671	0.64	0.8720	0.0204	0.21	ZZ
ZGRL6U		0.8700	0.0031	0.03	0.8020	-0.0496	-0.50	ZZ
Summa	ry Stat	istics		Sample GJ87		Sample GJ88		
Gran	d Mea	ins		0.87 Microns		0.85 Microns		
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.11 Microns		0.10 Microns		
					Statist	ics based on 26 of	27 reporting	participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #376

B2YQWK (X) - Extreme Data.



Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked





Analysis 377 Roughness - Print Surf Method - 2.5 to 6.0 Microns TAPPI Official Test Method T555

			Sample GK87	, -		<u>Sample GK88</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
647ZLV		6.009	0.356	0.92	5.695	-0.096	-0.45	ZZ
6CMC2G		5.843	0.190	0.49	5.894	0.103	0.49	ZZ
8P3FZN		6.237	0.584	1.51	6.194	0.403	1.91	ZZ
9ZE3NE		5.611	-0.042	-0.11	5.693	-0.098	-0.46	ZZ
BVTW3P		5.819	0.166	0.43	6.051	0.260	1.23	ZZ
EUQ7MK		4.963	-0.690	-1.79	5.748	-0.043	-0.20	ZZ
FQLZKJ		5.243	-0.410	-1.06	5.598	-0.193	-0.91	ZZ
GNE6EL		5.529	-0.124	-0.32	5.624	-0.167	-0.79	ZZ
KPDEYE		5.624	-0.029	-0.08	5.619	-0.172	-0.81	ZZ
Summo	iry Stat	tistics		Sample GK87		Sample GK88		
Gran	nd Mea	ins		5.65 Microns		5.79 Microns		
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.39 Microns		0.21 Microns		
					Stat	istics based on 9 of	9 reporting	g participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Report #3102G, February 2021

Analysis 378 Roughness - Sheffield Type TAPPI Official Test Method T538

			<u>Sample GL87</u>			Sample GL88				
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code		
2ANYQY		117.9	-2.1	-0.26	107.1	-8.2	-1.08	LW		
2Y7HXR		116.4	-3.6	-0.45	114.5	-0.8	-0.10	LW		
3KHU4K		109.9	-10.1	-1.26	108.2	-7.0	-0.93	PP		
3UADGT		116.7	-3.2	-0.40	117.4	2.1	0.29	PP		
43J2VR		107.7	-12.2	-1.53	107.4	-7.9	-1.04	PP		
4TTGPT		116.0	-4.0	-0.50	112.0	-3.3	-0.43	PP		
647ZLV		126.0	6.0	0.75	125.7	10.4	1.39	LW		
67W2RJ		127.8	7.8	0.97	126.1	10.9	1.45	PP		
6CMC2G		119.3	-0.6	-0.08	115.6	0.3	0.04	PP		
6ND92U		102.6	-17.4	-2.17	104.7	-10.6	-1.41	LA		
88HPZN		127.6	7.6	0.95	118.6	3.3	0.44	LW		
8P3FZN		125.4	5.4	0.68	120.4	5.1	0.68	LW		
9PXFCT		124.8	4.8	0.60	118.7	3.5	0.46	PP		
9ZE3NE		132.8	12.8	1.60	122.0	6.7	0.89	PP		
AQN2JP		118.4	-1.5	-0.19	107.4	-7.9	-1.05	PP		
B2YQWK		102.5	-17.5	-2.18	100.3	-15.0	-1.99	LA		
BVTW3P		116.7	-3.3	-0.41	116.8	1.6	0.21	PP		
CCXQAP		129.4	9.5	1.18	124.7	9.4	1.25	GA		
CL3XNP	*	118.0	-2.0	-0.25	126.1	10.8	1.44	LW		
CTL2WR	X	146.0	26.0	3.25	147.0	31.7	4.22	GL		
CYRVQM		125.6	5.6	0.70	119.6	4.4	0.58	PP		
D4299L		114.8	-5.1	-0.64	106.7	-8.5	-1.13	LA		
DJQJUN		124.7	4.7	0.59	120.3	5.0	0.67	GA		
DZXDNH	X	152.7	32.7	4.09	148.8	33.5	4.46	TT		
EDLY8H		112.4	-7.5	-0.94	109.1	-6.1	-0.82	PP		
EUQ7MK		122.7	2.7	0.34	112.6	-2.7	-0.35	LW		
F2823E		125.9	5.9	0.74	123.9	8.7	1.15	PP		
FNXNLE		117.6	-2.3	-0.29	113.2	-2.0	-0.27	PP		
FQ64RK		118.6	-1.4	-0.17	113.0	-2.3	-0.30	PP		
FQLZKJ		127.6	7.6	0.95	123.2	7.9	1.06	LA		
GBP6MJ		127.2	7.3	0.91	118.5	3.3	0.43	PP		
GFMLZD		119.2	-0.8	-0.10	115.7	0.4	0.06	PP		
GNE6EL		129.5	9.5	1.19	131.7	16.4	2.19	XX		
JWKLZC		130.5	10.5	1.32	121.9	6.6	0.88	TT		
KKM6NG		112.2	-7.8	-0.97	109.0	-6.3	-0.83	HM		
KPDEYE		125.7	5.7	0.72	118.9	3.6	0.48	LA		
MRUKB8	*	100.0	-20.0	-2.49	96.4	-18.9	-2.51	XX		
NMUTFE		121.5	1.5	0.19	121.6	6.3	0.84	TZ		
NYCDZB		125.3	5.3	0.66	126.2	10.9	1.45	PP		
QM8694		119.3	-0.7	-0.08	116.2	0.9	0.13	LA		
R3J3QA		126.8	6.8	0.85	121.2	5.9	0.79	TS		



Report #3102G, February 2021

Analysis 378 Roughness - Sheffield Type TAPPI Official Test Method T538

			Sample GL82	<u>7</u>		<u>Sample GL88</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
R8BAB4		120.5	0.5	0.07	111.5	-3.8	-0.50	НМ
RAYLBA		121.6	1.6	0.20	110.7	-4.6	-0.61	НМ
UW3GV9		102.9	-17.1	-2.13	98.2	-17.1	-2.27	XX
VMAK9X		120.1	0.1	0.02	112.0	-3.3	-0.43	НМ
W3LJTN		125.6	5.6	0.70	118.1	2.8	0.38	НМ
XDY3N6		116.9	-3.1	-0.39	109.7	-5.6	-0.74	PP
Y2K6J2		134.2	14.2	1.78	120.4	5.1	0.68	VM
YBBQNY		121.8	1.8	0.23	120.5	5.2	0.70	LW
YBPCX2		109.2	-10.8	-1.35	107.6	-7.7	-1.02	LA
YP6B6M		122.3	2.3	0.29	114.4	-0.9	-0.11	TS
Z44JM2		112.0	-8.0	-1.00	109.6	-5.7	-0.75	MP
Z9XDJ3		118.8	-1.2	-0.15	112.9	-2.4	-0.31	SH
ZTK6JY		129.3	9.4	1.17	115.2	-0.1	-0.01	PP
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GL87		Sample GL88		
Grar	nd Mea	ins		119.97 Sheffield	1	115.26 Sheffiel	d	
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		8.00 Sheffield		7.52 Sheffield		
					Statisti	cs based on 52 of	54 reporting p	articipants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #378

CTL2WR (X) - Data for both samples are high. Possible Systematic Error.

DZXDNH (X) - Data for both samples are high. Possible Systematic Error.

Analysis Notes:

Y2K6J2 - Data appears to be transposed between samples. CTS will not correct going forward.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants									
GA	Gurley Precision #4340 Automatic Densometer	GL	Giddings and Lewis Sheffield							
ΗМ	Technidyne - Hagerty Model #538	LA	L & W Roughness Sheffield - Autoline							
LW	L & W Roughness Tester	MP	Metso Paperlab							
PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus	SH	Sheffield (Bendix Precisionaire)							
TS	TMI Monitor/Smoothness, Model 58-02	TT	TMI Monitor/Smoothness II, Model 58-24							
ΤZ	TMI Sheffield Paper Tester, Model 58-25	VM	Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani\Robotest)							
XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab									







Analysis 382 Moisture in Paper TAPPI Official Test Method T412

	Sample GM87					Sample GM88		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
4MMMVX		3.888	-0.140	-0.35	3.865	-0.180	-0.43	ZZ
6CMC2G		4.216	0.188	0.47	4.187	0.142	0.34	ZZ
CL3XNP		3.129	-0.899	-2.23	3.188	-0.857	-2.04	ZZ
DEXE2J		3.935	-0.093	-0.23	3.964	-0.081	-0.19	ZZ
GZ7QKG		4.130	0.102	0.25	4.320	0.275	0.65	ZZ
J4JBGG	X	95.220	91.192	226.46	95.246	91.201	216.92	ZZ
L2P4CA		4.627	0.599	1.49	4.746	0.701	1.67	ZZ
LAV7BF		3.910	-0.118	-0.29	3.900	-0.145	-0.35	ZZ
V4V9F8		3.936	-0.092	-0.23	3.933	-0.112	-0.27	ZZ
WK2TUP		4.470	0.442	1.10	4.460	0.415	0.99	ZZ
ZYDAC4		4.040	0.012	0.03	3.890	-0.155	-0.37	ZZ
Summa	ry Stat	istics		Sample GM87		Sample GM8	<u>8</u>	
Grand Means			4.03 Percent		4.05 Percent			
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs		0.40 Percent		0.42 Percent				
					Statis	tics based on 10 of	11 reporting	participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #382

J4JBGG (X) - Extreme Data.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 384 Opacity (89% Reflectance Backing) - Fine Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T425

			Sample GN87	<u>7</u>		<u>Sample GN88</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
3KHU4K	*	94.62	0.98	2.73	94.33	0.61	1.48	ZZ
3UADGT		93.24	-0.40	-1.11	93.07	-0.65	-1.57	ZZ
43J2VR		93.70	0.06	0.16	93.45	-0.27	-0.66	ZZ
4TTGPT		93.60	-0.04	-0.11	93.53	-0.19	-0.46	ZZ
67W2RJ		93.90	0.26	0.73	93.81	0.09	0.22	ZZ
6CMC2G		93.58	-0.06	-0.16	93.59	-0.13	-0.31	ZZ
6ND92U		93.29	-0.35	-0.98	93.78	0.06	0.14	ZZ
9ZE3NE		93.26	-0.38	-1.06	93.52	-0.20	-0.49	ZZ
BVTW3P		93.63	-0.01	-0.04	93.81	0.09	0.22	ZZ
EBWTP8		93.77	0.13	0.36	93.98	0.25	0.62	ZZ
F2823E		94.03	0.39	1.08	94.38	0.66	1.59	ZZ
FQ64RK		93.27	-0.37	-1.04	93.73	0.01	0.02	ZZ
FQLZKJ	*	94.59	0.95	2.65	94.75	1.03	2.50	ZZ
GBP6MJ		93.46	-0.18	-0.51	93.50	-0.22	-0.54	ZZ
J9AKRE		93.33	-0.31	-0.87	93.06	-0.66	-1.61	ZZ
JD6CZC		93.48	-0.16	-0.45	93.72	0.00	-0.01	ZZ
KMP3Y9		93.78	0.14	0.39	93.47	-0.25	-0.61	ZZ
MBAUC8		93.52	-0.12	-0.34	93.42	-0.30	-0.73	ZZ
NMUTFE		93.41	-0.23	-0.64	93.53	-0.19	-0.46	ZZ
R3J3QA		93.71	0.07	0.20	93.89	0.17	0.41	ZZ
R8BAB4		93.62	-0.02	-0.06	93.23	-0.49	-1.19	ZZ
UW3GV9	X	91.49	-2.15	-6.02	91.45	-2.27	-5.52	ZZ
VMAK9X		93.70	0.06	0.17	93.82	0.10	0.24	ZZ
W3LJTN		93.95	0.31	0.87	94.32	0.60	1.45	ZZ
YBPCX2		93.64	0.00	0.00	94.11	0.39	0.94	ZZ
YH9F64		93.25	-0.39	-1.10	93.67	-0.05	-0.13	ZZ
YP6B6M		93.73	0.09	0.25	93.90	0.18	0.43	ZZ
Z9XDJ3		93.24	-0.40	-1.12	93.11	-0.61	-1.49	ZZ
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GN87		Sample GN88	8	
Gran	nd Mec	ans		93.64 Percent		93.72 Percent		
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs0.36 Percent0.41 Percent								
					Statisti	ics based on 27 of	28 reporting	participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #384

UW3GV9 (X) - Extreme Data.



Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked







Analysis 386 Opacity (Paper Backing) - Fine Papers and Newsprint TAPPI Official Test Method T519

Sample GP87				Sample GP88					
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV		Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
74KLVW		94.27	-0.09	-0.90		94.41	-0.03	-0.19	ZZ
CL3XNP		94.38	0.03	0.28		94.53	0.10	0.73	ZZ
JK8K4J		94.25	-0.11	-1.11		94.32	-0.12	-0.90	ZZ
RQMWXC		94.39	0.04	0.43		94.61	0.17	1.31	ZZ
W33DTY		94.47	0.12	1.30		94.31	-0.13	-0.95	ZZ
Summary Statistics				Sample GP8	7		Sample GP88		
Grand Means				94.35 Percen	ıt	94.43 Percent			
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs		0.09 Percent		ł	0.13 Percent				
						Stat	istics based on 5 of	5 reportin	g participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 390 Directional Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T452

	Sample GR87				Sample GR88			
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
3KHU4K		84.33	-0.86	-0.49	84.29	-0.89	-0.49	TT
43J2VR		82.78	-2.40	-1.37	82.79	-2.39	-1.32	PP
4TTGPT		83.86	-1.32	-0.75	83.88	-1.31	-0.72	XX
88HPZN		85.17	-0.02	-0.01	85.07	-0.11	-0.06	HZ
8P3FZN		85.95	0.77	0.44	85.98	0.80	0.44	HG
9PXFCT		84.14	-1.05	-0.59	84.03	-1.16	-0.64	TA
AQN2JP		86.51	1.33	0.76	86.16	0.98	0.54	тт
CTL2WR	X	67.20	-17.98	-10.23	67.43	-17.76	-9.78	TS
CYRVQM		85.64	0.45	0.26	85.66	0.47	0.26	HG
EUQ7MK		83.68	-1.51	-0.86	83.74	-1.44	-0.79	TT
F2823E		84.33	-0.86	-0.49	83.98	-1.20	-0.66	TS
F8AB8L		86.28	1.10	0.62	86.39	1.21	0.67	TS
FQ64RK		84.60	-0.59	-0.33	84.85	-0.33	-0.18	XC
FQLZKJ		84.77	-0.41	-0.23	84.79	-0.39	-0.22	TS
GBP6MJ		84.17	-1.01	-0.57	84.16	-1.02	-0.56	ТР
J9AKRE		84.25	-0.93	-0.53	84.13	-1.06	-0.58	TS
KMP3Y9		84.35	-0.83	-0.47	84.38	-0.81	-0.44	ТР
MRUKB8	*	89.01	3.83	2.18	89.45	4.27	2.35	XX
NMUTFE		88.73	3.54	2.02	88.68	3.49	1.92	TS
NYCDZB		85.05	-0.14	-0.08	84.99	-0.19	-0.10	HG
R3J3QA		83.83	-1.36	-0.77	83.61	-1.57	-0.86	TS
UW3GV9		88.96	3.77	2.15	89.09	3.91	2.15	PE
W3LJTN		83.66	-1.53	-0.87	83.92	-1.26	-0.70	TS
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GR87		Sample GR88		
Gran	nd Mec	ins		85.18 Percent		85.18 Percent		
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			1.76 Percent		1.82 Percent			
					Statist	ics based on 22 of	23 reporting	participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #390

CTL2WR (X) - Extreme Data.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants								
HG	Hunter Labscan / XE	ΗZ	Hunter Lab ColorFlex EZ Series						
PE	Photovolt 577	PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus						
TA	Technidyne, Diano, M.S. S-4	TP	Technidyne Test/Plus						
TS	Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S-5	TT	Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S4-M						
XC	X-Rite Color i5	XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab						







Analysis 391 Directional Brightness of Fluorescent Samples TAPPI Official Test Method T452

Sample GZ87					Sample GZ88			
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
3UADGT		98.62	-0.02	-0.06	95.54	0.09	0.37	PP
6CMC2G		98.35	-0.29	-0.80	95.22	-0.22	-0.86	TS
6ND92U		98.34	-0.30	-0.84	95.18	-0.27	-1.03	TT
9ZE3NE		98.79	0.15	0.41	95.76	0.32	1.24	TS
EBWTP8		98.71	0.06	0.18	95.63	0.18	0.72	PP
GBP6MJ		99.35	0.71	1.98	95.42	-0.03	-0.11	PP
JD6CZC		99.09	0.44	1.24	95.88	0.43	1.68	TS
MBAUC8		98.64	0.00	0.00	95.54	0.09	0.37	TT
R3J3QA		98.70	0.06	0.16	95.44	-0.01	-0.02	TS
VMAK9X	X	94.22	-4.42	-12.30	90.50	-4.95	-19.23	TT
YP6B6M		98.08	-0.56	-1.56	95.24	-0.21	-0.80	TS
ZTK6JY		98.38	-0.26	-0.72	95.05	-0.40	-1.55	TS
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GZ87	:	Sample GZ88	<u>}</u>	
Grand Means			98.64 Percent		95.45 Percent			
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			0.36 Percent		0.26 Percent			
					Statistic	cs based on 11 of	12 reporting p	participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #391

VMAK9X (X) - Extreme Data.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

PP Technidyne Profile/Plus

TS Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S-5

TT Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S4-M



Report #3102G,



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 392 Diffuse Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T525

			Sample GR87	, _		Sample GR88	<u>.</u>	
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
236VJW	X	85.74	0.96	6.43	85.72	0.94	6.83	XX
2ANYQY		84.77	-0.01	-0.06	84.81	0.03	0.19	EF
3DL3VQ		84.76	-0.02	-0.14	84.79	0.00	0.02	XX
67W2RJ		84.77	-0.01	-0.05	84.87	0.08	0.57	TC
6X3WYH		84.72	-0.06	-0.40	84.79	0.01	0.05	TC
74KLVW		84.79	0.01	0.09	84.78	-0.01	-0.08	LA
8P3FZN		84.77	-0.01	-0.05	84.77	-0.02	-0.16	TC
9PXFCT		84.65	-0.13	-0.86	84.63	-0.16	-1.16	LT
AQN2JP		84.79	0.01	0.05	84.75	-0.04	-0.26	TL
BW8JEC		84.85	0.07	0.45	84.84	0.05	0.36	тс
CL3XNP		84.73	-0.05	-0.33	84.67	-0.12	-0.86	LE
EUQ7MK		84.86	0.08	0.56	84.78	0.00	-0.02	EG
FNXNLE		84.76	-0.02	-0.12	84.90	0.11	0.83	TC
FQLZKJ		84.87	0.09	0.58	84.89	0.10	0.77	TC
GU6ENK		84.59	-0.20	-1.31	84.58	-0.20	-1.49	LA
JK8K4J		84.78	0.00	0.01	84.80	0.01	0.11	LE
R9KCX3		84.80	0.02	0.13	84.88	0.09	0.65	LE
RQMWXC		84.64	-0.14	-0.94	84.61	-0.18	-1.32	AC
T6472T		84.62	-0.17	-1.11	84.64	-0.15	-1.09	TC
W3LJTN	*	85.30	0.52	3.50	85.19	0.40	2.91	LT
YFZ4QM	X	68.74	-16.04	-107.33	68.70	-16.09	-117.36	TL
S	Charles	lichics		C 0007		Communic CDO	0	

Summary Statistics	Sample GR87	Sample GR88
Grand Means	84.78 Percent	84.79 Percent
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	0.15 Percent	0.14 Percent
		Statistics based on 19 of 21 reporting participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #392

YFZ4QM (X) - Extreme Data.

236VJW (X) - Extreme Data.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants									
AC	ACS Spectro-Sensor II	EF	Datacolor Elrepho 3000							
EG	Datacolor Elrepho 450X	LA	L & W Elrepho - Autoline							
LE	L & W Elrepho	LT	L & W Elrepho SE 071							
TC	Technidyne Color Touch Series	TL	Technidyne Technibrite TB-1							
XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab									





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.

February 2021



Analysis 394 Fluorescent Component of Directional Brightness **TAPPI Official Test Method T452**

Sample GZ87				Sample GZ88				
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
3UADGT		8.820	-0.066	-0.21	7.880	-0.124	-0.55	PP
6CMC2G		8.768	-0.118	-0.38	7.888	-0.116	-0.52	TS
6ND92U		8.860	-0.026	-0.08	7.980	-0.024	-0.11	TT
9ZE3NE		9.296	0.410	1.32	8.312	0.308	1.38	TS
EBWTP8		9.184	0.298	0.96	8.258	0.254	1.14	PP
JD6CZC		8.834	-0.052	-0.17	8.044	0.040	0.18	TS
R3J3QA		9.180	0.294	0.95	8.220	0.216	0.97	TS
VMAK9X		8.260	-0.626	-2.02	7.660	-0.344	-1.53	TT
ZTK6JY		8.774	-0.112	-0.36	7.790	-0.214	-0.95	TS
Summa	ry Stat	istics		Sample GZ87		Sample GZ88		
Grand Means				8.89 Percent		8.00 Percent		
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			0.31 Percent		0.22 Percent			
					Stat	istics based on 9 of	9 reporting	g participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants TS

Technidyne Profile/Plus PP

Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S-5

TT Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S4-M





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 395 Specular Gloss at 75 Degrees - High Range TAPPI Official Test Method T480

	Sample GT87				Sample GT88			
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mear	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
9PXFCT		63.67	-1.32	-0.28	63.28	-1.02	-0.12	GA
AQN2JP		66.89	1.90	0.40	67.47	3.17	0.36	GM
CYRVQM		69.19	4.20	0.88	67.69	3.39	0.39	ТН
EBWTP8		65.67	0.68	0.14	66.46	2.16	0.25	PP
EUQ7MK		67.85	2.86	0.60	67.87	3.57	0.41	ТН
J9AKRE		64.24	-0.75	-0.16	65.78	1.48	0.17	LA
JD6CZC		63.51	-1.48	-0.31	65.31	1.01	0.12	LF
KMP3Y9		66.04	1.05	0.22	66.63	2.33	0.27	ТН
KPDEYE		70.40	5.41	1.14	69.67	5.37	0.61	LA
NYCDZB		66.73	1.74	0.37	67.41	3.11	0.35	ТН
QM8694	*	50.03	-14.96	-3.15	34.31	-29.99	-3.42	LF
RQMWXC		66.15	1.16	0.24	66.83	2.53	0.29	LB
VMAK9X		64.42	-0.57	-0.12	64.67	0.37	0.04	PP
Y2K6J2		65.12	0.13	0.03	66.84	2.54	0.29	VM
Summa	ry Stai	tistics		Sample GT87		Sample GT88		
Grand Means			64.99 Gloss Units	é	64.30 Gloss Units			
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			4.75 Gloss Units	:	8.77 Gloss Units			
					Statist	ics based on 14 of	14 reporting	participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

GA	BYK-Gardner (model not specified)	

- LA L & W Gloss Autoline 300
- LF L & W Autoline 400
- TH Technidyne T480A

- **GM** BYK-Gardner micro-gloss
- LB L & W Gloss Tester Code 224
- PP Technidyne Profile/Plus
- VM Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani/Robotest)





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 396 Specular Gloss at 75 Degrees - Low Range TAPPI Official Test Method T480

		Sample GU87								
W	/ebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV		Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
3	KHU4K		34.15	0.45	0.34		33.87	0.35	0.22	ТН
6	67W2RJ		35.81	2.11	1.58		35.88	2.36	1.48	TH
8	88HPZN		33.26	-0.44	-0.33		33.09	-0.43	-0.27	GS
8	BP3FZN		34.51	0.81	0.61		35.15	1.63	1.02	PP
E	BVTW3P		32.96	-0.74	-0.55		32.83	-0.69	-0.43	PP
F	Q64RK		34.20	0.50	0.38		33.50	-0.02	-0.01	ТН
F	RQMWXC		33.47	-0.23	-0.17		33.29	-0.23	-0.14	LA
I	/4V9F8		31.23	-2.47	-1.85		30.56	-2.96	-1.86	WJ
	Summo	ary Sta	tistics	Sample GU87 33.70 Gloss Units			-			
	Grai	nd Med	ans				3			
	Stnd	l Dev B	Btwn Labs	1.33 Gloss Units			1.60 Gloss Units			
							Stati	stics based on 8 of	8 reporting p	articipants.
			Key	to Instrume	nt Codes Rep	orte	d by Partic	ipants		
GS	BYK-Go	ardner G	Hossgard II		LA	Lδ	& W Gloss - A	utoline 300		
PP	Technic	lyne Pro	file/Plus		TH	Te	chnidyne T48	0A		

WJ Zehntner ZLR 1020





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Report #3102G, February 2021

Analysis 398 Grammage (Mass per Unit Area) TAPPI Official Test Method T410

		-	Sample GW87	7		<u>Sample GW88</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
236VJW		73.21	0.05	0.11	89.56	-0.58	-0.80	ZZ
3KHU4K		72.72	-0.44	-0.96	90.15	0.02	0.03	ZZ
4MMMVX		73.34	0.18	0.39	90.55	0.42	0.57	ZZ
4TTGPT	X	48.95	-24.21	-52.62	60.58	-29.55	-40.93	ZZ
67W2RJ	X	72.99	-0.17	-0.36	92.41	2.28	3.16	ZZ
72WAWR		73.60	0.44	0.95	89.90	-0.23	-0.32	ZZ
AFLJ8L		72.58	-0.59	-1.27	89.41	-0.72	-0.99	ZZ
BJJGVK		72.77	-0.40	-0.86	88.71	-1.42	-1.97	ZZ
CCXQAP		73.34	0.18	0.39	89.88	-0.25	-0.35	ZZ
CL3XNP		73.28	0.12	0.26	90.43	0.30	0.41	ZZ
DWG8R9		73.40	0.24	0.52	90.75	0.62	0.86	ZZ
EWXNKF		73.80	0.64	1.39	91.85	1.71	2.37	ZZ
F2823E		72.90	-0.26	-0.56	89.09	-1.04	-1.44	ZZ
F8AB8L		73.58	0.42	0.91	90.28	0.15	0.21	ZZ
FQ64RK		73.55	0.39	0.84	90.38	0.25	0.35	ZZ
J4JBGG		73.39	0.23	0.50	91.02	0.89	1.23	ZZ
K33DPG		73.27	0.11	0.24	90.14	0.01	0.01	ZZ
L2P4CA		73.65	0.49	1.06	91.24	1.11	1.54	ZZ
LAV7BF		73.51	0.35	0.77	90.55	0.42	0.58	ZZ
R8BAB4		73.36	0.20	0.43	90.86	0.73	1.01	ZZ
RQMWXC		73.23	0.07	0.15	90.30	0.17	0.24	ZZ
T6472T		73.63	0.46	1.01	90.10	-0.03	-0.04	ZZ
V4V9F8		73.31	0.15	0.32	90.23	0.10	0.13	ZZ
YBPCX2		72.67	-0.49	-1.06	89.03	-1.10	-1.53	ZZ
YP6B6M		72.63	-0.53	-1.15	89.80	-0.33	-0.46	ZZ
Z9XDJ3		72.24	-0.92	-2.00	89.50	-0.63	-0.88	ZZ
ZMJTM3		72.08	-1.09	-2.36	89.60	-0.54	-0.74	ZZ
Summary Statistics				Sample GW87		Sample GW88	3	
Grand Means				73.16 g/sq m		90.13 g/sq m		
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs				0.46 g/sq m		0.72 g/sq m		
	Statistics based on 25 of 27 reporting participants							

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #398

67 W2RJ (X) - Data for sample GW88 are high.

4TTGPT (X) - Extreme Data.



Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked





February 2021

Report #3102G, February 2021

Analysis 399 Sizing Test (Hercules Type) TAPPI Official Test Method T530

	Sample GX87					Sample GX88				
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code		
3UADGT		13.19	0.22	0.05	10.07	-0.74	-0.18	HE		
43J2VR		15.69	2.72	0.62	13.75	2.94	0.73	HE		
4TTGPT		16.75	3.78	0.86	13.84	3.03	0.75	XX		
647ZLV		12.68	-0.29	-0.07	9.69	-1.12	-0.28	HE		
67W2RJ		19.49	6.52	1.49	17.40	6.59	1.63	HE		
6CMC2G		13.41	0.44	0.10	12.18	1.37	0.34	HE		
6X3WYH		19.25	6.28	1.43	17.07	6.26	1.55	HE		
9ZE3NE		13.57	0.60	0.14	13.09	2.28	0.56	HE		
AQN2JP	*	0.48	-12.49	-2.85	0.47	-10.34	-2.56	ХХ		
BVTW3P		16.87	3.90	0.89	15.75	4.94	1.22	HE		
F2823E		11.43	-1.54	-0.35	9.94	-0.87	-0.22	HE		
FQLZKJ		12.86	-0.11	-0.03	10.59	-0.22	-0.05	HE		
GBP6MJ	*	18.62	5.65	1.29	9.75	-1.06	-0.26	HE		
JD6CZC		12.25	-0.72	-0.17	11.30	0.49	0.12	HE		
JWKLZC		11.70	-1.27	-0.29	11.00	0.19	0.05	HE		
M3RLNB		13.70	0.73	0.17	6.50	-4.31	-1.07	HE		
MBAUC8		14.18	1.21	0.28	9.15	-1.66	-0.41	HE		
MRUKB8		7.94	-5.03	-1.15	6.02	-4.79	-1.18	XX		
NMUTFE		12.70	-0.27	-0.06	11.10	0.29	0.07	HE		
PHLRWW		1.91	-11.06	-2.53	1.74	-9.07	-2.24	HE		
QXPL4W		11.21	-1.76	-0.40	9.02	-1.79	-0.44	HE		
R3J3QA		11.40	-1.57	-0.36	8.51	-2.30	-0.57	HE		
RZQ742		10.92	-2.05	-0.47	8.58	-2.23	-0.55	HE		
UW3GV9		15.68	2.71	0.62	15.85	5.04	1.25	HE		
W3LJTN		10.48	-2.49	-0.57	9.10	-1.71	-0.42	HE		
Y2K6J2		10.93	-2.04	-0.47	11.20	0.39	0.10	HE		
YBBQNY		16.34	3.37	0.77	10.86	0.05	0.01	HE		
YBPCX2		11.78	-1.19	-0.27	11.08	0.27	0.07	HE		
YP6B6M		11.60	-1.37	-0.31	11.00	0.19	0.05	HE		
ZTK6JY		20.22	7.25	1.65	18.75	7.94	1.96	HE		
Summary Statistics				Sample GX87	,	Sample GX88	<u> </u>			
Grand Means				12.97 Seconds		10.81 Seconds	;			

4.05 Seconds

Stnd Dev Btwn Labs

4.38 Seconds

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

HE Hercules Sizing Tester

XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab

