

Paper & Paperboard Testing Program

Summary Report #3142 G - October 2021

Introduction to the Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Program Explanation of Tables and Definitions of Terms

Analysis Analysis Name

- 350 Color & Color Difference Near White Papers C/2deg obs
- 351 Color & Color Difference Near White Papers D65/10deg obs
- 360 Thickness (Caliper), Printing papers
- 361 Thickness (Caliper), Packaging papers
- 364 Coefficient of Static Friction Horizontal Plane Method Printing Papers
- 365 Coefficient of Kinetic Friction Horizontal Plane Method Printing Papers
- 370 Air Resistance Gurley Oil Type
- 372 Porosity Sheffield Type Sheffield Units for 3/4 inch Diameter Orifice
- 376 Roughness Print Surf Method 0.5 to 4.0 Microns
- 377 Roughness Print Surf Method 2.5 to 6.0 Microns
- 378 Roughness Sheffield Type
- 382 Moisture in Paper
- 384 Opacity (89% Reflectance Backing) Fine Papers
- 386 Opacity (Paper Backing) Fine Papers and Newsprint
- 390 Directional Brightness
- 391 Directional Brightness of Fluorescent Samples
- 392 Diffuse Brightness
- 394 Fluorescent Component of Directional Brightness
- 395 Specular Gloss at 75 Degrees High Range
- 396 Specular Gloss at 75 Degrees Low Range
- 398 Grammage (Mass per Unit Area)
- 399 Sizing Test (Hercules Type)

The CTS Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Program

In 1969, the National Bureau of Standards (now designated the National Institute for Standards and Technology) and the Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry (TAPPI) developed an interlaboratory program for paper and paperboard testing. Since 1971, Collaborative Testing Services has operated the Collaborative Reference Program for Paper and Paperboard. With hundreds of organizations from around the world participating in these tests, this program has become one of the largest of its kind. The program allows laboratories to compare the performance of their testing with that of other participating laboratories, and provides a realistic picture of the state of paper testing.

About CTS

Founded in 1971, Collaborative Testing Services, Inc. (CTS) is a privately - owned company that specializes in interlaboratory tests for a variety of industrial sectors: rubber, plastics, fasteners and metals, CKPG, paper, color and wine, as well as proficiency tests for forensic laboratories. All of the tests are designed to assist organizations in achieving and maintaining quality assurance objectives. Labs from the U.S., as well as more than 80 countries, currently participate in CTS programs.

If there are any questions on the report or testing program, please contact:

Collaborative Testing Services, Inc. 21331 Gentry Drive Sterling, Virginia 20166 USA +1-571-434-1925 FAX #: +1-571-434-1937 paper@cts-interlab.com

Office Hours: 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m. ET

	Key for Web Summary Reports (Page 1 of 2)
WebCode	Assigned laboratory identification number (temporary) used to ensure lab confidentiality while permitting a lab to locate its data in the Paper Report published on the CTS Web site. The WebCode for each analysis can be found on the datasheets and in the Performance Analysis Report mailed to each participant.
Lab Mean	The average of the values obtained for each sample by the participant.
Grand Mean	The average of the LAB MEANS for all included participants. Laboratories flagged with an X or an M (see DATA FLAG column) are excluded from the GRAND MEAN.
ΔE	The calculated total color difference between the two samples. For the Hunter L,a,b analyses it is calculated in Hunter units (ΔE). For the L*,a*,b* analyses it is calculated in CIELAB units (ΔE *).
Difference from Grand Mean	The difference of the LAB MEAN from the GRAND MEAN.
Between-Lab Standard Deviation	An indication of the precision of measurement between the laboratories. The greater the spread of the LAB MEANS about the GRAND MEAN, the larger the BETWEEN-LAB STANDARD DEVIATION (and vice versa).
Comparative Performance Value	An indication of how well a laboratory's results agree with the other participants. The CPV is a ratio indicating the number of standard deviations from the GRAND MEAN. The closer a laboratory's COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE VALUE is to zero, the more consistent its results are with the other participants' data (and vice versa). The critical value for each CPV will vary depending on the number of labs participating in a test.
Inst Code	A code indicating the manufacturer of the instrument used to perform the test (see separate INSTRUMENT CODE LIST for each test section), if instruments are tracked.
Data Flag	DATA FLAGS are assigned based on the simultaneous analysis of both samples tested. Refer to the following chart for an explanation of each symbol:

DATA <u>FLAG</u>	STATISTICALLY INCLUDED/EXCLUDED	ACTION REQUIRED
*	INCLUDED	CAUTION - review testing procedure and monitor future results. Results fall outside 95% ellipse but within a 99% ellipse that is calculated but not drawn.
Х	EXCLUDED	STOP - immediate review of data and/or testing procedure is required. Results fall outside the 99% ellipse. See specific notes following each table for more information on why the data is excluded.
М	EXCLUDED	PROCEED - lab was unable to report data for at least one sample.

Key for Web Summary Reports (Page 2 of 2)

Graph - For each laboratory, the LAB MEAN for the first sample (x-axis) is plotted against the LAB MEAN for the second sample (y-axis) with each point representing a laboratory. The horizontal and vertical cross-hairs are the GRAND MEANS for each sample. When 20 or more laboratories are in the statistics, an ellipse is also drawn so that 95% of the time a randomly selected laboratory will be included inside the ellipse. Plotted data flags are explained on the previous page.

Common Problems Highlighted in Footnotes

1. *Extreme data* - The laboratory's results for one or both samples are so inconsistent with those of the other participants that the lab mean(s) fall outside the plot. The participant is advised to immediately review his data and/or testing procedure.

2. **Systematic bias** - The laboratory's results are either consistently high or low for both samples when compared to the other participants (the plotted point falls near the top or bottom of the ellipse). This indicates that the participant is performing the test with a constant bias. Causes of systematic errors include improper calibration, the particular make/model of equipment or a modification to the testing procedure.

3. *Inconsistency in testing between samples/sample sets* - The laboratory's results indicate that there are differences in the way the two samples tested (the plotted point falls to the side of the ellipse). This type of error may be attributed to the analyst deviating from the procedure when testing one of the samples or a material interaction occurrence with the instrument or room conditions. The inconsistency is reflected in the CPVs for the two samples, such as a +1.5 CPV for sample A and a -2.2 CPV for sample B. CTS also will specify if the laboratory's data for one sample are high/low compared to the other participants. If this inconsistency is slight, the lab's plotted point will be an * that falls on the edge of the ellipse.

4. *Inconsistency in testing within a sample* - The laboratory's within-lab standard deviation for a specified sample is high when compared to the other participants, often causing the lab's plotted point to fall outside of the ellipse.

Labs flagged with an * are not typically included in the footnotes of a data table. These labs may locate their position in the control ellipse and use the definitions above to help identify the type of testing error. An * should serve as a caution flag, a "yellow light", to a lab. If this error is repeated in future rounds, a lab may need to stop and review its testing procedures. The initial data flag is not cause for alarm. Interlaboratory tests conducted at regular intervals permit a lab to recognize trends in testing.



Color & Color Difference - Near White Papers - C/2deg obs Hunter L,a,b - Illuminant C - 2 Degree Observer

			Hunter L, a, b Color Values			C	Instr Code			
Web Code	Data Flag	Samples	L	a	b	ΔL	∆a	∆b	∆E	
2CP8ND		GA95 GA96	86.51 86.60	-0.47 -0.48	0.78 0.80	0.08	-0.01	0.02	0.08	EG
3R22YM		GA95 GA96	92.56 92.61	-1.03 -1.03	0.95 0.95	0.04	-0.01	-0.01	0.04	HZ
3WU8C4		GA95 GA96	95.35 95.35	-0.53 -0.53	2.41 2.39	0.00	0.00	-0.02	0.02	LS
6RF8CH		GA95 GA96	95.32 95.34	-0.55 -0.54	2.44 2.44	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.02	TS
7JCP76		GA95 GA96	93.95 93.96	-0.51 -0.52	2.14 2.18	0.01	-0.02	0.04	0.05	тс
7UBK4Z		GA95 GA96	93.93 93.94	-0.54 -0.56	2.11 2.13	0.00	-0.03	0.02	0.03	тс
AZCT6D		GA95 GA96	92.82 92.87	-0.19 -0.13	1.78 1.75	0.06	0.07	-0.03	0.09	TS
CUUAXF		GA95 GA96	94.05 94.04	-0.59 -0.57	2.01 2.05	-0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	HE
F7J8DB		GA95 GA96	92.99 93.02	-0.33 -0.35	1.54 1.55	0.03	-0.02	0.01	0.04	TS
FWEZYB		GA95 GA96	94.84 94.89	-0.32 -0.30	2.26 2.26	0.05	0.02	0.00	0.05	HE
KC7NV3		GA95 GA96	97.21 97.19	-1.81 -1.79	3.61 3.47	-0.02	0.02	-0.14	0.14	VM
LGF9BM		GA95 GA96	95.26 95.26	-0.53 -0.51	2.16 2.12	0.00	0.02	-0.04	0.04	EH
QFLEFD	x	GA95 GA96	94.62 94.60	0.11 0.13	1.47 1.46	-0.02	0.02	-0.01	0.03	TS
RF6DEW	x	GA95 GA96	93.20 93.07	0.11 0.17	1.64 1.51	-0.13	0.07	-0.13	0.20	TS
TQF82A		GA95 GA96	95.24 95.22	-0.58 -0.57	2.25 2.24	-0.02	0.00	-0.01	0.02	LS
W99CV8	x	GA95 GA96	82.06 82.12	0.46 0.42	-0.24 -0.24	0.06	-0.04	0.00	0.07	TS



Color & Color Difference - Near White Papers - C/2deg obs Hunter L,a,b - Illuminant C - 2 Degree Observer

			Hunter	Hunter L, a, b Color Values			Color Difference Values				
Web Code	Data Flag	Samples	L	a	b	ΔL	∆a	∆b	∆E		
X7WW27		GA95 GA96	94.51 94.32	-0.69 -0.60	1.92 1.93	-0.19	0.09	0.01	0.21 X	XS	
XGJQKU		GA95 GA96	94.41 94.42	-0.61 -0.61	2.04 2.06	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.03	HE	
XPACPT		GA95 GA96	93.76 93.81	-0.38 -0.49	1.85 1.85	0.05	-0.11	0.00	0.12	TS	
Y9GXQQ		GA95 GA96	94.09 94.08	-0.56 -0.57	2.26 2.24	-0.01	0.00	-0.02	0.03	тс	

Grand Means		S	oummary Stati	stics			
GA95	93.928	8 -0.600 1.981		0.000	0.000	0.007	0.000
GA96	93.925	-0.598	1.967	0.006	0.002	-0.007	0.062
Stnd Dev Btwn Lab	<u>)s</u>						
GA95	2.104	0.358	0.601	0.050	0.041	0.044	0.052
GA96	2.083	0.356	0.584	0.059		0.041	0.055
				Statistics	based on 1	7 of 20 repo	rting participants

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #350

RF6DEW (X) - High "a" values for both samples.

QFLEFD (X) - High "a" values for both samples.

W99CV8 (X) - Low values for both "L" & "b" samples. High "a" values for both samples. Inconsistent within replicate readings of both "L" & "a".

Analysis Notes:

- QFLEFD -Due to CTS graphs using Absolute Values, data Flag is located within consensus data. However, "a" data is higher than the negative Grand Mean as shown above graphs.
- RF6DEW Due to CTS graphs using Absolute Values, data Flag is located within consensus data. However, "a" data is higher than the negative Grand Mean as shown above graphs.
- W99CV8 Due to CTS graphs using Absolute Values, data Flag is located within consensus data. However, "a" data is higher than the negative Grand Mean as shown above graphs.
- XGJQKU One determination removed from the Lab Mean of Sample GA95 L* per Grubb's Test at 1% risk (TAPPI 1205).

EH

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

EG	Datacolor Elrepho 3300
HE	Hunter LabScan

L & W Elrepho SE 070 LS

- Hunter ColorFlex EZ ΗZ
- TS Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S-5
- XS X-Rite 938 Spectrodensitometer

TC Technidyne Color Touch Series

Datacolor Elrepho SF450

Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani/Robotest) VM



Plot of L values GA96 vs L values GA95



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Plot of a values GA96 vs a values GA95



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Plot of b values GA96 vs b values GA95



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Report #3142 G, October 2021

Color & Color Difference - Near White Papers - D65/10deg obs Hunter L,a,b - Illuminant D65 - 10 Degree Observer

			CIE L* a* b* Color Values			С				
Web Code	Data Flag	Samples	L*	a*	b*	∆L*	∆ a *	∆b*	∆E *	InstrCode
38R7Y4	-	GA95 GA96	93.34 93.37	-0.76 -0.75	1.02 1.03	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.03	HE
3WU8C4		GA95 GA96	95.35 95.36	-0.53 -0.53	2.41 2.42	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	LS
4KYDE2		GA95 GA96	95.46 95.47	-0.50 -0.51	2.45 2.43	0.01	-0.01	-0.02	0.03	HT
7UBK4Z		GA95 GA96	94.66 94.68	-0.32 -0.33	2.33 2.35	0.02	-0.01	0.02	0.03	HE
9C8NKT		GA95 GA96	95.57 95.62	-0.43 -0.45	2.30 2.33	0.05	-0.02	0.03	0.06	NG
CURP3Z		GA95 GA96	95.57 95.58	-0.50 -0.52	2.33 2.35	0.01	-0.02	0.02	0.03	XV
DKL2PT		GA95 GA96	95.45 95.43	-0.59 -0.60	2.38 2.37	-0.02	-0.01	-0.01	0.03	HT
EEYET3		GA95 GA96	95.30 95.20	-0.50 -0.52	2.59 2.48	-0.10	-0.02	-0.10	0.14	NG
ETT2YV		GA95 GA96	95.34 95.31	-0.45 -0.50	2.34 2.35	-0.03	-0.06	0.01	0.06	LS
GGYJ7Y	x	GA95 GA96	86.18 86.17	-0.26 -0.27	-0.25 -0.24	-0.01	-0.01	0.02	0.02	TC
JFTT8U		GA95 GA96	95.23 95.29	-0.48 -0.28	2.23 2.02	0.06	0.20	-0.21	0.30 <mark>X</mark>	TC
JL28W7		GA95 GA96	95.37 95.41	-0.62 -0.63	2.09 2.08	0.05	-0.01	-0.01	0.05	хс
LGF9BM		GA95 GA96	95.22 95.21	-0.57 -0.54	2.30 2.22	-0.01	0.02	-0.08	0.09	EH
MB9XZ8		GA95 GA96	95.54 95.62	-0.46 -0.47	2.14 2.23	0.08	-0.01	0.09	0.12	NF
MGEUK8	:	GA95 GA96	95.54 95.54	-0.52 -0.55	2.43 2.43	0.00	-0.02	0.00	0.02	EH
VFB4MP		GA95 GA96	95.32 95.32	-0.48 -0.50	2.59 2.59	0.00	-0.02	-0.01	0.02	NH



WVHK9H	x	GA95 GA96	93.81 93.84	-0.10 -0.11	-2.08 -2.05	0.03	-0.01	0.03	0.04	XP
YM49FH		GA95 GA96	94.07 94.09	-0.30 -0.31	2.06 2.05	0.02	-0.01	-0.01	0.03	XB

Grand Means			Summary Stati	stics					
GA95	95.067	-0.464	2.250	0.044	0.004	0.047	0.000		
GA96	95.080	-0.476	2.233	0.011	0.001	-0.017	0.066		
Stnd Dev Btwn Lab	<u>)S</u>								
GA95	0.680	0.152	0.362	0.044	0.050	0.000	0.070		
GA96	0.674	0.149	0.357	0.041	0.056	0.068	0.073		
Statistics based on 16 of 18 reporting participants									

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #351

GGYJ7Y (X) - Extreme Data for both "L" & "b" samples.

WVHK9H (X) - Extreme Data for both "b" samples.

WVHK9H - Due to CTS graphs using Absolute Values, data Flag is located within consensus data. However, "b" data is lower than the positive Grand Mean as shown above graphs.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants										
EH	Datacolor Elrepho SF450	HE	Hunter LabScan								
HT	Hunter UltraScan Vis	LS	L & W Elrepho SE 070								
NF	Minolta CM-3600d Spectrophotometer	NG	Minolta CM-3700d Spectrophotometer								
NH	Minolta CM-3700A Spectrophotometer	TC	Technidyne Color Touch Series								
XB	X-Rite Ci7	XC	X-Rite eXact Series								
XP	X-Rite Spectrophotometer DTP	XV	X-Rite SP60 Series								



Plot of L values GA96 vs L values GA95



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Plot of a values GA96 vs a values GA95



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Report #3142 G, October 2021

Plot of b values GA96 vs b values GA95



If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 360 Thickness (Caliper), Printing papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

			<u>Sample GV95</u>			<u>Sample GV96</u>				
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code		
2CP8ND		3.945	0.075	0.96	5.080	0.122	1.31	ХХ		
38R7Y4		3.915	0.045	0.57	5.017	0.059	0.63	EM		
3NLQLJ		3.865	-0.005	-0.07	4.997	0.039	0.42	0К		
3WWT8K		3.828	-0.042	-0.54	4.889	-0.069	-0.74	ТА		
4ADA82		3.892	0.022	0.28	4.923	-0.035	-0.38	PP		
4KYDE2		3.764	-0.106	-1.36	4.921	-0.037	-0.40	EM		
4MPDYQ		3.758	-0.112	-1.44	4.901	-0.057	-0.61	LB		
4PTA27		3.961	0.091	1.17	5.014	0.056	0.60	FR		
7JCP76		3.830	-0.040	-0.52	4.880	-0.078	-0.84	ТМ		
7LZ4UE	*	3.891	0.021	0.27	5.127	0.169	1.81	EM		
7R7UY7		3.831	-0.039	-0.50	4.788	-0.170	-1.82	LA		
829CA9		3.910	0.040	0.51	5.027	0.069	0.74	EM		
932MK3		4.012	0.142	1.82	5.098	0.140	1.50	LW		
989BX4		3.965	0.094	1.21	5.020	0.062	0.66	ТМ		
9HW4AZ		3.780	-0.091	-1.16	4.780	-0.179	-1.91	LW		
A24WRT		4.020	0.150	1.92	5.066	0.108	1.16	ТМ		
AAW4AX		3.803	-0.067	-0.86	4.866	-0.092	-0.99	PP		
ANHCFC		3.860	-0.010	-0.13	5.000	0.042	0.45	LW		
AZCT6D		3.862	-0.008	-0.10	5.032	0.074	0.79	EM		
AZUKFH		3.907	0.037	0.47	5.041	0.083	0.88	LW		
CKVE37		3.904	0.034	0.43	5.027	0.069	0.74	EM		
DKL2PT		3.870	0.000	0.00	4.934	-0.024	-0.26	EM		
E6QZU8		3.968	0.098	1.25	5.052	0.094	1.01	TA		
EEYET3		3.804	-0.066	-0.85	4.914	-0.044	-0.47	PP		
EHFU72		3.776	-0.095	-1.21	4.924	-0.034	-0.36	LW		
F7J8DB	X	3.705	-0.165	-2.12	4.603	-0.355	-3.80	ТМ		
GGYJ7Y		3.795	-0.075	-0.96	4.942	-0.016	-0.17	TA		
HN9A2P		3.679	-0.191	-2.45	4.805	-0.153	-1.64	TA		
JFTT8U		3.890	0.020	0.25	5.016	0.058	0.62	PP		
JL28W7		3.886	0.016	0.20	5.008	0.050	0.53	LW		
JWJRG3		3.796	-0.074	-0.95	4.930	-0.028	-0.30	PP		
JZFHGL		3.907	0.037	0.48	5.002	0.044	0.47	LW		
K42GF3		3.911	0.041	0.52	4.966	0.008	0.08	ТА		
K97FP8		3.844	-0.026	-0.34	4.913	-0.045	-0.48	ТМ		
LGF9BM		3.860	-0.010	-0.13	4.980	0.022	0.23	EM		
MB9XZ8		4.039	0.168	2.16	5.140	0.182	1.95	ТМ		
MFYE9R		3.825	-0.045	-0.58	4.845	-0.113	-1.21	MT		
MGEUK8		3.860	-0.010	-0.13	5.077	0.119	1.27	EM		
PNM3ZZ		3.918	0.047	0.61	4.980	0.022	0.23	LW		
QFLEFD		3.933	0.063	0.81	5.000	0.042	0.45	ТМ		
QUTVUV		3.878	0.008	0.10	4.903	-0.055	-0.59	ТМ		



Analysis 360 Thickness (Caliper), Printing papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

			Sample GV95	<u>i</u>		<u>Sample GV96</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
RXLGY3		3.903	0.033	0.42	4.926	-0.032	-0.34	LA
RZEBYT		3.820	-0.050	-0.64	4.876	-0.082	-0.88	PP
TQF82A		3.955	0.085	1.08	4.981	0.023	0.24	LW
VA76F7		3.957	0.087	1.11	5.039	0.081	0.87	LW
VFB4MP		3.931	0.061	0.78	4.965	0.007	0.07	PP
WVHK9H		3.744	-0.126	-1.62	4.777	-0.181	-1.94	ТМ
X7WW27		3.730	-0.140	-1.80	4.740	-0.218	-2.34	ТМ
XPACPT		3.779	-0.091	-1.17	4.842	-0.116	-1.24	LA
YM49FH		3.880	0.010	0.13	4.976	0.018	0.19	ТМ
Summa	iry Stat	tistics		Sample GV95		Sample GV96		
Grand Means				3.87 mils	4.96 mils			
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs				0.08 mils	0.09 mils			
					Statisti	cs based on 49 of	50 reporting	participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #360

F7J8DB (X) - Data for sample GV96 are low.

Analysis Notes:

829CA9 - One determination removed from the Lab Mean of Sample GV96 per Grubb's Test at 1% risk (TAPPI 1205).

MB9XZ8 - Data appear to be reported as mm, not micrometers as indicated on data entry form. CTS will not correct the Units going forward.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants								
EM	Emveco	FR	Frank Instruments						
LA	L & W Autoline	LB	L & W Autoline 600						
LW	L & W	MT	Mitutoyo						
ОК	Oakland	PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus						
TA	Thwing-Albert	ТМ	TMI						
XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab								







Analysis 361 Thickness (Caliper), Packaging papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

			<u>Sample GY95</u>			<u>Sample GY96</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
3U8N7Z		9.539	0.019	0.11	9.551	0.034	0.18	LW
3WU8C4	X	0.009	-9.511	-53.66	0.009	-9.507	-50.35	ТМ
4MPDYQ		9.500	-0.020	-0.11	9.436	-0.081	-0.43	LB
7UBK4Z		9.483	-0.037	-0.21	9.564	0.047	0.25	EM
8A82QD		9.517	-0.003	-0.02	9.508	-0.009	-0.05	LW
8PW7Y8		9.580	0.060	0.34	9.570	0.053	0.28	ТМ
973TY9		9.350	-0.170	-0.96	9.306	-0.211	-1.12	LW
AFJUWT		9.460	-0.060	-0.34	9.492	-0.025	-0.13	ТМ
BFFUAY		9.270	-0.250	-1.41	9.250	-0.267	-1.41	ТА
CAR7C8		9.478	-0.042	-0.24	9.467	-0.050	-0.26	PP
CUUAXF		9.564	0.044	0.25	9.537	0.020	0.11	EM
EHFU72		9.492	-0.028	-0.16	9.484	-0.033	-0.17	LW
ETT2YV		9.537	0.017	0.09	9.689	0.172	0.91	LW
FGWE9Q		9.543	0.023	0.13	9.603	0.086	0.46	ТМ
FWEZYB		9.490	-0.030	-0.17	9.549	0.032	0.17	EM
FXMGNU	*	8.968	-0.552	-3.12	8.930	-0.587	-3.11	ТМ
HN9A2P		9.324	-0.196	-1.11	9.306	-0.211	-1.12	ТА
JBXYAT		9.586	0.066	0.37	9.534	0.017	0.09	LW
JK3C42	*	9.944	0.424	2.39	10.013	0.496	2.63	GE
JZFHGL		9.643	0.123	0.69	9.625	0.108	0.57	LW
K42GF3		9.639	0.119	0.67	9.614	0.097	0.51	ТА
K4AY7L		9.814	0.294	1.66	9.869	0.352	1.87	LW
KC7NV3		9.343	-0.177	-1.00	9.368	-0.149	-0.79	VP
KGZLH9		9.653	0.133	0.75	9.548	0.031	0.16	LW
KHWY7Z		9.603	0.083	0.47	9.711	0.194	1.03	LW
KMN7NA		9.673	0.153	0.86	9.622	0.106	0.56	LW
KPF9BP		9.525	0.005	0.03	9.490	-0.027	-0.14	LA
MGEUK8		9.495	-0.025	-0.14	9.543	0.026	0.14	EM
NCWXBN		9.674	0.154	0.87	9.659	0.142	0.75	LA
VA76EL		9.217	-0.304	-1.71	9.260	-0.257	-1.36	LA
VFB4MP		9.755	0.235	1.32	9.665	0.148	0.78	EM
W99CV8		9.443	-0.077	-0.44	9.358	-0.159	-0.84	OK
WM6LMJ		9.315	-0.205	-1.16	9.351	-0.166	-0.88	EM
XBTFAW		9.600	0.080	0.45	9.560	0.043	0.23	ТМ
XGJQKU		9.626	0.106	0.60	9.638	0.121	0.64	EM
ZRU3PN		9.563	0.043	0.24	9.417	-0.100	-0.53	LA



Analysis 361 Thickness (Caliper), Packaging papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

- J	October 2021

Report #3142G,

Summary Statistics	Sample GY95	Sample GY96		
Grand Means	9.52 mils	9.52 mils		
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	0.18 mils	0.19 mils		
		Statistics based on 35 of 36 reporting participant	s.	

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #361

3WU8C4 (X) - Extreme Data.

Analysis Notes:

3WU8C4 - Possibly incorrect units were selected.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants								
EM	Emveco	GE	Gester Electronic Thickness Tester						
LA	L & W Autoline	LB	L & W Autoline 600						
LW	L & W	OK	Oakland						
PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus	TA	Thwing-Albert						
ТМ	TMI	VP	Valmet Paper Lab Automated Tester						







Analysis 364 Coefficient of Static Friction - Horizontal Plane Method - Printing Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T549

			<u>Sample GD95</u>	<u>.</u>		Sample GD96	<u>!</u>	
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
38R7Y4		0.5360	-0.0655	-0.97	0.4420	-0.1042	-1.50	ТА
9WU9KH		0.5370	-0.0645	-0.95	0.4980	-0.0482	-0.70	ТА
AZCT6D		0.6722	0.0707	1.04	0.6294	0.0832	1.20	TA
CKVE37		0.6300	0.0285	0.42	0.5180	-0.0282	-0.41	TA
KHWY7Z		0.6230	0.0215	0.32	0.5670	0.0208	0.30	TA
KUCQVV		0.5736	-0.0279	-0.41	0.4628	-0.0834	-1.20	IT
RF6DEW		0.6972	0.0957	1.41	0.6292	0.0830	1.20	TA
RZEBYT	X	0.0025	-0.5990	-8.84	0.0024	-0.5438	-7.85	ТА
VA76EL		0.5962	-0.0053	-0.08	0.5892	0.0430	0.62	TA
VFB4MP		0.6620	0.0605	0.89	0.6160	0.0698	1.01	TP
X7WW27		0.4876	-0.1139	-1.68	0.5106	-0.0356	-0.51	xx
Summa	ry Stat	istics		Sample GD95		Sample GD9	<u>6</u>	
Grand Means				0.60 COF		0.55 COF		
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.07 COF		0.07 COF		
					Statist	tics based on 10 of	11 reporting p	articipants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #364

RZEBYT (X) - Extreme Data.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants								
IT	IMASS SP-2100	TA	Thwing-Albert Friction Tester						
ΤР	TMI 32-25 COF Tester (Inclined Plane)	XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab						



Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Testing Program Report #3142G, October 2021 Analysis 364 **Coefficient of Static Friction - Horizontal Plane Method - Printing Papers**





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 365 Coefficient of Kinetic Friction - Horizontal Plane Method - Printing Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T549

			Sample GD95	5		<u>Sample GD96</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
38R7Y4		0.3500	-0.1603	-1.89	0.2460	-0.1525	-1.69	ТА
9WU9KH		0.4212	-0.0891	-1.05	0.3620	-0.0365	-0.41	ТА
AZCT6D		0.5534	0.0431	0.51	0.4554	0.0569	0.63	ТА
CKVE37		0.5360	0.0257	0.30	0.3780	-0.0205	-0.23	XX
KHWY7Z		0.5902	0.0799	0.94	0.4888	0.0903	1.00	TN
KUCQVV		0.5338	0.0235	0.28	0.2888	-0.1097	-1.22	IR
RF6DEW		0.6068	0.0965	1.14	0.5162	0.1177	1.31	ТА
RZEBYT	Х	0.0024	-0.5079	-5.97	0.0018	-0.3966	-4.41	ТА
VA76EL		0.5518	0.0415	0.49	0.4488	0.0503	0.56	ТА
X7WW27		0.4496	-0.0607	-0.71	0.4022	0.0037	0.04	XX
Summa	iry Stat	tistics		Sample GD95		Sample GD96		
Grar	nd Mec	ans		0.51 COF		0.40 COF		
Stnd	Dev B	stwn Labs		0.09 COF		0.09 COF		
					Statis	tics based on 9 of	10 reporting p	articipants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #365

RZEBYT (X) - Data for both samples are low. Possible Systematic Error.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants								
IR	IMASS SP-2000	TA	Thwing-Albert Friction Tester						
ΤN	TMI 32-07 Monitor/Slip and Friction	XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab						





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 370 Air Resistance - Gurley Oil Type TAPPI Official Test Method T460

			Sample GE95			<u>Sample GE96</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2CP8ND		13.34	-0.36	-0.51	19.78	-1.34	-1.37	LP
38R7Y4		13.73	0.03	0.04	21.80	0.68	0.70	РР
3WWT8K		14.13	0.43	0.61	22.41	1.29	1.33	GA
4ADA82		13.53	-0.17	-0.25	21.15	0.03	0.03	PP
4KYDE2		13.91	0.21	0.30	21.47	0.35	0.36	PP
6Z9EUN		14.37	0.67	0.95	22.94	1.82	1.87	TL
7UBK4Z		13.45	-0.26	-0.36	21.55	0.43	0.44	PP
829CA9		13.56	-0.14	-0.20	21.56	0.44	0.45	PP
932MK3		13.58	-0.12	-0.17	20.87	-0.25	-0.25	LP
973TY9		12.15	-1.55	-2.21	20.55	-0.57	-0.58	TL
9WU9KH		14.01	0.31	0.44	21.96	0.84	0.86	WG
A24WRT		12.85	-0.85	-1.21	21.50	0.38	0.39	HG
AAW4AX	X	0.63	-13.07	-18.59	0.63	-20.49	-21.02	HG
CKVE37		13.90	0.20	0.28	22.09	0.97	1.00	PP
DKL2PT		13.52	-0.18	-0.26	22.16	1.04	1.07	HG
E3KCG3	X	41.50	27.80	39.54	62.77	41.65	42.73	LP
EHFU72		13.67	-0.03	-0.04	20.51	-0.61	-0.62	PP
F7J8DB		14.28	0.58	0.82	21.07	-0.05	-0.05	LP
GGYJ7Y		13.15	-0.55	-0.78	20.97	-0.15	-0.15	PP
GUH8EC		12.61	-1.09	-1.55	19.98	-1.14	-1.17	LP
JBXYAT		14.72	1.02	1.45	22.30	1.18	1.21	LP
JFTT8U		14.64	0.94	1.33	22.02	0.90	0.92	PP
JL28W7	*	14.90	1.20	1.71	20.70	-0.42	-0.43	LW
JWJRG3		15.06	1.36	1.93	22.79	1.68	1.72	PP
K42GF3		12.71	-0.99	-1.41	19.30	-1.82	-1.86	GA
KC7NV3	*	12.72	-0.98	-1.40	18.46	-2.66	-2.73	VM
KGZLH9	X	10.86	-2.84	-4.04	17.06	-4.06	-4.16	HM
LGF9BM		13.68	-0.02	-0.03	20.99	-0.13	-0.13	PP
MB9XZ8		13.36	-0.34	-0.49	19.99	-1.13	-1.16	LP
NCWXBN		12.17	-1.53	-2.18	19.58	-1.54	-1.58	LA
QFLEFD		12.69	-1.01	-1.44	20.28	-0.84	-0.86	LW
QPYY8P		14.04	0.34	0.48	21.86	0.74	0.76	GL
RXLGY3		13.81	0.11	0.16	22.24	1.13	1.16	LA
T2HK4V		13.96	0.26	0.37	22.17	1.05	1.08	TL
TLKHHG		14.66	0.96	1.36	22.07	0.95	0.98	XX
TQF82A		13.51	-0.19	-0.27	20.46	-0.66	-0.67	LP
VA76EL		13.60	-0.10	-0.14	20.69	-0.43	-0.44	LA
VA76F7		14.12	0.42	0.60	20.90	-0.22	-0.22	LP
VFB4MP		13.46	-0.25	-0.35	20.75	-0.37	-0.38	PP
WM6LMJ	X	11.85	-1.85	-2.64	14.31	-6.80	-6.98	WG
X7WW27		14.40	0.70	0.99	20.50	-0.62	-0.63	GS



Report #3142G, October 2021

Analysis 370 Air Resistance - Gurley Oil Type TAPPI Official Test Method T460

			<u>Sample GE95</u>				<u>Sample GE96</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab N	Nean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
XBTFAW		13.12	-0.58	-0.83	20	0.67	-0.45	-0.46	TL
XPACPT		14.24	0.54	0.77	20	0.72	-0.40	-0.41	LA
YM49FH		14.15	0.45	0.64	20	0.97	-0.15	-0.15	PP
ZRU3PN		14.30	0.60	0.85	21	.08	-0.04	-0.04	LA

Summary Statistics	Sample GE95	Sample GE96
Grand Means	13.70 sec/100 cc	21.12 sec/100 cc
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	0.70 sec/100 cc	0.97 sec/100 cc
		Statistics based on 41 of 45 reporting participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #370

- E3KCG3 (X) Extreme Data.
- WM6LMJ (X) Extreme Data for Sample GE96.
- KGZLH9 (X) Data for both samples are low.
- AAW4AX (X) Extreme Data.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

GA	Gurley Precision #4340 Automatic Densometer	GL	Gurley #4110
GS	Gurley-Hill S-P-S Tester #4190	HG	Technidyne - Hagerty Model #1
ΗМ	Technidyne - Hagerty Model #538	LA	L & W Autoline
LP	L & W Densometer, Air Permeance	LW	L & W Type Gurley Densometer, Oil Flotation
PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus	TL	Gurley Densometer #4110, Oil Flotation
VM	Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani/Robotest)	WG	W & LE Gurley Tester
XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab		







Analysis 372 Porosity - Sheffield Type - Sheffield Units for 3/4 inch Diameter Orifice TAPPI Official Test Method T547

			Sample GE95	<u>i</u>		<u>Sample GE96</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
3NLQLJ		197.2	-3.4	-0.12	137.4	-0.2	-0.01	LA
ANHCFC		260.0	59.4	2.06	164.1	26.5	2.19	LP
E6QZU8		200.3	-0.3	-0.01	135.9	-1.7	-0.14	НМ
GGYJ7Y		194.6	-6.0	-0.21	134.9	-2.7	-0.22	TT
K42GF3		197.7	-2.9	-0.10	130.0	-7.6	-0.63	GA
KC7NV3		189.5	-11.1	-0.39	129.5	-8.0	-0.66	PP
X7WW27		165.1	-35.5	-1.24	131.1	-6.5	-0.53	SH
Summa	ry Stat	istics		Sample GE95		Sample GE96		

Grand Means	200.63 Sheffield Units	137.56 Sheffield Units							
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	28.77 Sheffield Units	12.09 Sheffield Units							
		Statistics based on 7 of 7 reporting participants.							
Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants									

GA Gurley Precision #4340 Automatic Densometer HM

LA L & W Roughness Sheffield - Autoline

PP Technidyne Profile/Plus

LP L & W Densometer, Air Permeance SH Sheffield

Technidyne - Hagerty Model #538

TT TMI Monitor/Smoothness II, Model 58-24





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 376 Roughness - Print Surf Method - 0.5 to 4.0 Microns TAPPI Official Test Method T555

			Sample GJ95				<u>Sample GJ96</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV		Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
29QWRD		2.683	0.615	1.81		2.066	-0.096	-0.23	ZZ
38R7Y4		2.074	0.006	0.02		2.757	0.595	1.43	ZZ
3WU8C4		1.995	-0.073	-0.22		1.952	-0.210	-0.50	ZZ
4MPDYQ		2.038	-0.030	-0.09		1.962	-0.200	-0.48	ZZ
7JCP76		1.988	-0.080	-0.24		2.551	0.389	0.93	ZZ
7R7UY7		2.449	0.381	1.12		1.842	-0.320	-0.77	ZZ
7UBK4Z		1.960	-0.108	-0.32		2.875	0.713	1.71	ZZ
829CA9		2.697	0.629	1.85		2.063	-0.099	-0.24	ZZ
9WU9KH		1.770	-0.298	-0.88		1.737	-0.425	-1.02	ZZ
CUUAXF		2.009	-0.059	-0.17		2.168	0.006	0.02	ZZ
ETT2YV		1.980	-0.088	-0.26		2.049	-0.113	-0.27	ZZ
F7J8DB		1.601	-0.467	-1.38		1.647	-0.515	-1.23	ZZ
FWEZYB		2.799	0.731	2.15		2.024	-0.138	-0.33	ZZ
GGYJ7Y		2.062	-0.006	-0.02		2.639	0.477	1.14	ZZ
JZFHGL		1.579	-0.489	-1.44		1.602	-0.560	-1.34	ZZ
KC7NV3		2.502	0.434	1.28		3.131	0.969	2.32	ZZ
KPF9BP		1.944	-0.124	-0.37		1.951	-0.211	-0.50	ZZ
LGF9BM		1.857	-0.211	-0.62		1.850	-0.312	-0.75	ZZ
MGEUK8		1.818	-0.250	-0.74		1.836	-0.326	-0.78	ZZ
PNM3ZZ		1.965	-0.103	-0.30		1.962	-0.200	-0.48	ZZ
PZYCKJ		1.690	-0.378	-1.11		2.334	0.172	0.41	ZZ
RF6DEW		1.884	-0.184	-0.54		2.698	0.536	1.29	ZZ
W99CV8		2.013	-0.055	-0.16		1.974	-0.188	-0.45	ZZ
XGJQKU		2.653	0.585	1.72		2.045	-0.117	-0.28	ZZ
Y2KBQE		1.716	-0.352	-1.04		1.692	-0.470	-1.13	ZZ
Y3UHRL		2.058	-0.010	-0.03		2.105	-0.057	-0.14	ZZ
Y9GXQQ		2.063	-0.005	-0.02		2.850	0.688	1.65	ZZ
Summa	ry Stati	istics		Sample GJ9	5		Sample GJ96		
Gran	d Mea	ns		2.07 Microns	5		2.16 Microns		
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.34 Microns	5		0.42 Microns		
						Statist	ics based on 27 of	27 reporting	g participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked







Analysis 377 Roughness - Print Surf Method - 2.5 to 6.0 Microns TAPPI Official Test Method T555

			<u>Sample GK95</u>	<u>.</u>		<u>Sample GK96</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
4MPDYQ		5.335	-0.419	-1.39	5.120	-0.640	-1.94	ZZ
7UBK4Z		6.218	0.464	1.54	6.257	0.497	1.51	ZZ
9WU9KH		5.595	-0.159	-0.53	5.730	-0.030	-0.09	ZZ
AZCT6D		6.136	0.382	1.27	6.045	0.285	0.86	ZZ
CKVE37		6.034	0.280	0.93	5.992	0.232	0.70	ZZ
EHFU72		5.635	-0.119	-0.40	5.787	0.027	0.08	ZZ
KHWY7Z		5.755	0.001	0.00	5.949	0.189	0.57	ZZ
MGEUK8		5.712	-0.042	-0.14	5.635	-0.125	-0.38	ZZ
VFB4MP		5.768	0.014	0.05	5.687	-0.073	-0.22	ZZ
XPACPT		5.356	-0.398	-1.32	5.403	-0.357	-1.08	ZZ
Summa	ıry Stat	istics		Sample GK95		Sample GK96	<u> </u>	
Gran	nd Mea	ns		5.75 Microns		5.76 Microns		
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.30 Microns		0.33 Microns		
					Statist	ics based on 10 of	10 reportin	g participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 378 Roughness - Sheffield Type TAPPI Official Test Method T538

			Sample GL95			Sample GL96				
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code		
2CP8ND		100.5	-22.5	-2.25	101.7	-20.6	-2.28	xx		
38R7Y4		123.0	-0.1	-0.01	124.3	2.1	0.23	РР		
3NLQLJ		103.4	-19.6	-1.96	103.2	-19.0	-2.11	LA		
3WU8C4		146.9	23.9	2.38	143.9	21.7	2.40	тт		
3WWT8K		129.5	6.5	0.65	131.6	9.4	1.04	GA		
4ADA82		120.9	-2.2	-0.22	117.4	-4.9	-0.54	PP		
4KYDE2		122.8	-0.2	-0.02	127.3	5.1	0.56	SH		
4MPDYQ		120.8	-2.2	-0.22	116.5	-5.7	-0.63	LB		
7UBK4Z	X	131.8	8.8	0.87	105.3	-16.9	-1.88	LW		
829CA9		133.9	10.9	1.08	133.0	10.8	1.19	PP		
9WU9KH	X	130.8	7.8	0.77	142.9	20.7	2.29	xx		
A24WRT		131.9	8.9	0.88	129.5	7.3	0.81	TS		
AAW4AX		117.9	-5.1	-0.51	123.9	1.7	0.19	HM		
ANHCFC		121.7	-1.3	-0.13	120.7	-1.5	-0.17	LW		
AZCT6D		123.6	0.6	0.06	121.0	-1.2	-0.14	PP		
CKVE37		122.0	-1.1	-0.11	121.1	-1.1	-0.12	РР		
CRA6KQ		119.3	-3.7	-0.37	119.8	-2.4	-0.27	GA		
CUUAXF		135.4	12.4	1.24	133.9	11.7	1.30	PP		
DKL2PT		120.0	-3.0	-0.30	121.5	-0.7	-0.08	HM		
DNLAWP		120.4	-2.7	-0.27	116.4	-5.8	-0.65	MP		
EEYET3		114.4	-8.6	-0.86	113.7	-8.5	-0.94	PP		
EHFU72		130.7	7.7	0.77	123.3	1.1	0.12	PP		
ETT2YV		129.3	6.2	0.62	122.4	0.2	0.02	PP		
F7J8DB		115.7	-7.3	-0.73	113.0	-9.2	-1.02	TS		
FWEZYB		119.1	-4.0	-0.40	114.6	-7.6	-0.85	PP		
GGYJ7Y		126.8	3.8	0.37	123.4	1.2	0.13	тт		
HFFVHD		121.1	-1.9	-0.19	122.9	0.7	0.08	LA		
JBXYAT		126.3	3.3	0.32	121.9	-0.3	-0.04	LW		
JFTT8U		120.8	-2.2	-0.22	121.1	-1.1	-0.13	PP		
JL28W7		133.9	10.9	1.08	129.9	7.7	0.85	TS		
JWJRG3		126.1	3.1	0.31	124.4	2.2	0.24	PP		
K42GF3		99.6	-23.4	-2.34	103.4	-18.8	-2.09	PP		
KC7NV3		119.6	-3.4	-0.34	121.4	-0.8	-0.09	VM		
KHWY7Z		130.1	7.1	0.70	130.9	8.7	0.96	LW		
KPF9BP		131.8	8.8	0.87	124.9	2.7	0.30	LA		
LFKQAL		132.8	9.8	0.98	130.3	8.1	0.89	тт		
MGEUK8		119.0	-4.0	-0.40	114.1	-8.1	-0.90	LW		
NCWXBN	*	104.2	-18.8	-1.88	115.1	-7.1	-0.79	LA		
PZYCKJ		114.6	-8.4	-0.84	116.9	-5.3	-0.59	LW		
QFLEFD		132.8	9.8	0.97	130.2	8.0	0.88	SH		
RF6DEW		134.9	11.9	1.18	139.0	16.8	1.86	НМ		



Analysis 378 Roughness - Sheffield Type TAPPI Official Test Method T538

			Sample GL95	<u>5</u>	Sample GL96				
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code	
RXLGY3		116.3	-6.8	-0.68	112.6	-9.7	-1.07	LA	
TQF82A	X	155.0	32.0	3.19	163.8	41.6	4.61	LW	
VFB4MP		146.2	23.1	2.31	138.6	16.4	1.82	PP	
W99CV8	X	168.0	45.0	4.49	166.5	44.3	4.91	GL	
X7WW27		117.3	-5.7	-0.57	119.7	-2.5	-0.28	XX	
XGJQKU		129.8	6.8	0.68	131.9	9.7	1.08	PP	
XGYA4R		122.9	-0.1	-0.01	126.9	4.7	0.52	SS	
XPACPT		128.0	5.0	0.49	126.3	4.1	0.45	LA	
Y2KBQE		123.7	0.7	0.07	125.3	3.1	0.34	LW	
Y3UHRL		110.5	-12.5	-1.25	110.7	-11.5	-1.28	LA	
YM49FH		114.0	-9.0	-0.90	111.1	-11.1	-1.23	PP	
Summe	iry Stat	istics		Sample GL95		Sample GL96			
Grar	nd Mea	ins		123.05 Sheffield	d 122.22 Sheffield				
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs				10.01 Sheffield	ld 9.02 Sheffield				
					Statisti	cs based on 48 of	52 reporting	participants.	

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #378

9WU9KH (X) - Inconsistent in testing between samples. Inconsistent within the determinations of both samples.

W99CV8 (X) - Data for both samples are high. Possible Systematic Error.

TQF82A (X) - Data for both samples are high. Possible Systematic Error. Inconsistent within the determinations of both samples.

7UBK4Z (X) - Inconsistent in testing between samples.

Analysis Notes:

2CP8ND - One determination removed from the Lab Mean of Sample GL96 per Grubb's Test at 1% risk (TAPPI 1205).

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants											
GA	Gurley Precision #4340 Automatic Densometer	GL	Giddings and Lewis Sheffield									
ΗМ	Technidyne - Hagerty Model #538	LA	L & W Roughness Sheffield - Autoline									
LB	L & W - Autoline 600	LW	L & W Roughness Tester									
MP	Metso Paperlab	PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus									
SH	Sheffield (Bendix Precisionaire)	SS	Sheffield Smoothchek Tester									
TS	TMI Monitor/Smoothness, Model 58-02	TT	TMI Monitor/Smoothness II, Model 58-24									
VM	Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani\Robotest)	XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab									







Analysis 382 Moisture in Paper TAPPI Official Test Method T412

			<u>Sample GM9:</u>	<u>5</u>		Sample GM96		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
32TEYM		4.570	0.255	0.70	4.890	0.321	0.95	ZZ
3WU8C4		4.901	0.586	1.61	4.941	0.372	1.10	ZZ
8E2VQZ		4.328	0.013	0.04	4.711	0.142	0.42	ZZ
9C8NKT		4.280	-0.035	-0.09	4.600	0.031	0.09	ZZ
CKVE37		4.473	0.158	0.43	4.652	0.083	0.24	ZZ
DXVKL3		3.996	-0.319	-0.88	4.130	-0.439	-1.29	ZZ
E6QZU8		4.848	0.533	1.47	4.900	0.331	0.97	ZZ
ENZ2KY		4.274	-0.040	-0.11	4.425	-0.144	-0.42	ZZ
JK3C42		3.698	-0.617	-1.69	4.081	-0.488	-1.44	ZZ
KEXRHH		4.130	-0.185	-0.51	4.405	-0.164	-0.48	ZZ
TQF82A		3.857	-0.458	-1.26	4.096	-0.473	-1.39	ZZ
VZGGJH		4.420	0.105	0.29	5.000	0.431	1.27	ZZ
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GM95		Sample GM96	2	
Grar	nd Mec	ins		4.31 Percent	4.57 Percent			
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.36 Percent		0.34 Percent		
					Statisti	cs based on 12 of	12 reporting	participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 384 Opacity (89% Reflectance Backing) - Fine Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T425

			Sample GN95	<u>5</u>		<u>Sample GN96</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
38R7Y4		89.36	0.16	0.25	93.74	0.12	0.30	ZZ
3NLQLJ		88.66	-0.54	-0.83	93.30	-0.32	-0.82	ZZ
4KYDE2		89.35	0.15	0.24	93.52	-0.10	-0.26	ZZ
7JCP76		89.37	0.17	0.26	93.83	0.21	0.53	ZZ
7R7UY7		87.80	-1.40	-2.17	92.70	-0.92	-2.35	ZZ
A24WRT		89.19	-0.01	-0.01	93.60	-0.02	-0.05	ZZ
AAW4AX	*	88.18	-1.02	-1.58	93.75	0.13	0.33	ZZ
AZCT6D		89.19	-0.01	-0.01	93.72	0.09	0.24	ZZ
CKVE37		89.89	0.69	1.08	93.76	0.14	0.36	ZZ
DKL2PT		89.84	0.64	1.00	93.73	0.11	0.28	ZZ
EEYET3		88.40	-0.80	-1.24	93.30	-0.32	-0.82	ZZ
EHFU72		88.96	-0.24	-0.37	93.47	-0.15	-0.39	ZZ
GGYJ7Y		88.82	-0.38	-0.58	93.25	-0.37	-0.95	ZZ
GL7RPN		88.58	-0.62	-0.96	93.06	-0.56	-1.44	ZZ
JFTT8U		89.00	-0.20	-0.31	93.51	-0.11	-0.28	ZZ
JL28W7		89.66	0.46	0.71	94.23	0.61	1.55	ZZ
JWJRG3		89.21	0.01	0.02	93.96	0.34	0.86	ZZ
K42GF3		89.16	-0.04	-0.06	93.37	-0.25	-0.64	ZZ
LGF9BM		89.21	0.01	0.02	93.73	0.11	0.28	ZZ
MB9XZ8		89.66	0.46	0.72	94.01	0.39	1.00	ZZ
RF6DEW		89.21	0.01	0.02	93.69	0.07	0.18	ZZ
RXLGY3	X	87.66	-1.54	-2.39	86.31	-7.31	-18.67	ZZ
VFB4MP		89.17	-0.03	-0.04	93.16	-0.46	-1.18	ZZ
WVHK9H	X	86.44	-2.76	-4.28	87.65	-5.97	-15.25	ZZ
X7WW27		88.96	-0.24	-0.37	93.39	-0.23	-0.59	ZZ
XPACPT	*	91.22	2.02	3.14	94.54	0.92	2.36	ZZ
Y9GXQQ		89.35	0.15	0.24	94.20	0.58	1.47	ZZ
YM49FH		89.72	0.53	0.82	93.64	0.02	0.05	ZZ
Summa	ry Sta	tistics		Sample GN95		Sample GN96	5	
Gran	ıd Mec	ans		89.20 Percent		93.62 Percent		
Stnd	Dev B	stwn Labs		0.64 Percent	0.39 Percent			
					Statisti	cs based on 26 of	28 reporting p	articipants

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #384

RXLGY3 (X) - Extreme Data for Sample GN96.

WVHK9H (X) - Extreme Data.



Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked







Analysis 386 Opacity (Paper Backing) - Fine Papers and Newsprint TAPPI Official Test Method T519

			Sample GP95				<u>Sample GP96</u>			
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV		Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code	
932MK3		90.19	0.25	0.92		94.56	0.09	0.34	ZZ	
ANHCFC		89.99	0.04	0.14		94.81	0.33	1.21	ZZ	
JZFHGL		89.96	0.01	0.04		94.37	-0.10	-0.37	ZZ	
TQF82A		90.10	0.15	0.57		94.56	0.08	0.30	ZZ	
XBTFAW		89.50	-0.45	-1.67		94.06	-0.41	-1.48	ZZ	
Summa	iry Stat	tistics		Sample GP95	5		Sample GP96			
Grar	nd Mea	ins		89.95 Percent			94.47 Percent			
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.27 Percent			0.28 Percent			
						Stat	istics based on 5 of	5 reporting	g participants.	

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 390 Directional Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T452

			Sample GR95	<u>,</u>			<u>Sample GR96</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV		Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2CP8ND	*	81.00	-4.45	-3.03	-	80.54	-4.88	-3.13	ХХ
38R7Y4		82.89	-2.56	-1.75		82.84	-2.58	-1.65	PP
7R7UY7		85.41	-0.04	-0.03		85.46	0.04	0.03	TS
7UBK4Z		87.08	1.62	1.10		86.98	1.56	1.00	HG
A24WRT		85.08	-0.38	-0.26		85.16	-0.26	-0.16	TS
CUUAXF		85.31	-0.15	-0.10		85.32	-0.10	-0.06	HG
EEYET3		85.93	0.47	0.32		85.91	0.49	0.32	XX
ETT2YV		85.51	0.06	0.04		85.70	0.28	0.18	TT
FWEZYB		87.39	1.93	1.32		87.46	2.04	1.31	TP
JWJRG3	X	73.75	-11.70	-7.96		85.37	-0.05	-0.03	ТР
K42GF3		86.70	1.24	0.85		86.86	1.44	0.92	XC
KUNQQ6		87.26	1.81	1.23		87.23	1.81	1.16	HG
LGF9BM		84.85	-0.60	-0.41		84.73	-0.69	-0.44	TT
MGEUK8		85.30	-0.15	-0.11		85.18	-0.24	-0.16	TT
RF6DEW		85.88	0.43	0.29		85.93	0.51	0.33	TS
TT4LPJ		84.52	-0.94	-0.64		84.51	-0.91	-0.58	TD
W99CV8	X	68.26	-17.19	-11.70		68.33	-17.09	-10.96	TS
X7WW27		86.22	0.77	0.52		86.17	0.75	0.48	PE
XGJQKU		85.75	0.29	0.20		85.69	0.27	0.17	HG
XPACPT		86.39	0.93	0.63		86.36	0.94	0.60	TS
Y2KBQE		85.41	-0.05	-0.03		85.45	0.03	0.02	HZ
YM49FH		85.24	-0.22	-0.15		84.91	-0.51	-0.32	TT
Summa	iry Stat	istics		Sample GR9	5		Sample GR96	2	
Grand Means				85.45 Percen	nt		85.42 Percent		
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		1.47 Percent	ł	1.56 Percent			
						Statist	ics based on 20 of	22 reporting	participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #390

JWJRG3 (X) - Extreme Data for Sample GR95.

W99CV8 (X) - Extreme Data.

Analysis Notes:

XPACPT - One determination removed from the Lab Mean of Sample GR96 per Grubb's Test at 1% risk (TAPPI 1205).



Analysis 390 Directional Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T452

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

HG	Hunter Labscan / XE

- PE Photovolt 577
- TD Technidyne Color Touch 45X
- **TS** Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S-5
- XC X-Rite Color i5

- HZ Hunter Lab ColorFlex EZ Series
- PP Technidyne Profile/Plus
- TP Technidyne Test/Plus
- TT Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S4-M
- XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab

Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Testing Program Analysis 390 Directional Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T452





Analysis 391 Directional Brightness of Fluorescent Samples TAPPI Official Test Method T452

			Sample GZ95	5		<u>Sample GZ96</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
7JCP76		99.28	0.62	0.48	98.78	0.06	0.04	TS
8A82QD		97.53	-1.14	-0.88	97.31	-1.42	-1.06	LE
A24WRT		98.86	0.20	0.15	99.07	0.34	0.26	TS
AAW4AX	*	94.74	-3.92	-3.04	95.00	-3.72	-2.77	TT
CKVE37		98.98	0.31	0.24	99.55	0.83	0.62	TS
EHFU72		98.55	-0.12	-0.09	98.86	0.14	0.10	TS
JFTT8U		99.18	0.52	0.40	98.78	0.06	0.04	PP
JL28W7		98.52	-0.14	-0.11	98.12	-0.60	-0.45	TS
JWJRG3		99.44	0.78	0.60	98.56	-0.16	-0.12	PP
MB9XZ8		100.09	1.43	1.11	100.66	1.94	1.44	TS
RXLGY3		99.70	1.04	0.80	99.92	1.20	0.89	тт
VFB4MP		99.26	0.60	0.46	99.74	1.02	0.76	TT
WVHK9H		98.44	-0.22	-0.17	98.74	0.02	0.01	TT
Y9GXQQ		98.74	0.07	0.06	99.02	0.30	0.23	PP
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample GZ95		Sample GZ96		
Gran	nd Mec	ans		98.66 Percent		98.72 Percent		
Stnd	Dev B	stwn Labs		1.29 Percent		1.34 Percent		
					Statist	ics based on 14 of	14 reporting	participants.
		Key	to Instrume	nt Codes Repoi	rted by Parti	cipants		
	Irenho			DD	Technidyne Pro	file/Plus		
	neprio			Γſ	rechnicyne m	0110/1103		

- **TS** Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S-5
- TT Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S4-M





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 392 Diffuse Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T525

		<u>Sample GR95</u>			<u>Sample</u>	<u>GR96</u>	
Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab M	Diff fr ean Grand I	rom CPV Mean	Instr Code
	85.69	0.14	0.18	85	.62 0.0	0.11	LE
*	82.81	-2.74	-3.55	82.	.74 -2.8	-3.57	TL
	85.70	0.15	0.20	85.	.66 0.1	3 0.16	LA
	85.49	-0.06	-0.08	85.	.43 -0.1	1 -0.14	ХХ
	85.76	0.21	0.27	85.	.78 0.2	24 0.31	тс
	84.47	-1.08	-1.40	84	.43 -1.1	0 -1.41	EG
	85.79	0.24	0.31	85.	.78 0.2	0.31	LE
	85.64	0.09	0.12	85.	.70 0.1	6 0.21	TC
	85.70	0.15	0.19	85.	.70 0.1	6 0.21	TC
	85.76	0.21	0.27	85	.58 0.0	0.06	LT
	85.85	0.30	0.39	85	.81 0.2	0.34	тс
X	68.68	-16.87	-21.90	68.	.92 -16.6	-21.20	TC
	85.63	0.08	0.10	85.	.69 0.1	5 0.20	AC
	86.21	0.65	0.85	86.	.19 0.6	65 0.83	EG
	85.84	0.29	0.37	85	.83 0.2	.38	TC
	85.62	0.07	0.09	85	.64 0.1	0 0.13	тс
	85.62	0.07	0.09	85.	.77 0.2	24 0.31	LT
	85.47	-0.08	-0.10	85.	.46 -0.0	-0.10	LE
	86.60	1.05	1.36	86.	.56 1.0)3 1.31	ТМ
	85.82	0.27	0.35	85	.81 0.2	0.34	TC
	Data Flag *	Data Flag Lab Mean 85.69 ★ 85.70 85.70 85.76 84.47 85.70 85.64 85.70 85.64 85.70 85.64 85.85 68.68 85.62 85.62 85.47 86.60 85.82	Sample GR95 Data Flag Lab Mean Diff from Grand Mean \$\$5.69 0.14 * \$\$2.81 -2.74 \$\$5.70 0.15 \$\$5.49 -0.06 \$\$5.76 0.21 \$\$85.64 0.09 \$\$5.75 0.15 \$\$5.76 0.21 \$\$85.79 0.24 \$\$5.76 0.21 \$\$85.76 0.21 \$\$85.76 0.21 \$\$85.63 0.09 \$\$5.76 0.21 \$\$85.85 0.30 \$\$\$85.85 0.30 \$\$\$85.63 0.08 \$\$85.63 0.08 \$\$85.62 0.07 \$\$5.62 0.07 \$\$5.62 0.07 \$\$5.47 -0.08 \$\$6.60 1.05 \$\$5.82 0.27	Sample GR95 Flag Lab Mean Diff from Grand Mean CPV 85.69 0.14 0.18 * 82.81 -2.74 -3.55 85.70 0.15 0.20 85.49 -0.06 -0.08 85.76 0.21 0.27 84.47 -1.08 -1.40 85.79 0.24 0.31 85.64 0.09 0.12 85.76 0.21 0.27 84.47 -1.08 -1.40 85.79 0.24 0.31 85.63 0.09 0.12 85.76 0.21 0.27 85.85 0.30 0.39 85.63 0.00 0.39 85.63 0.08 0.10 86.21 0.65 0.85 85.62 0.07 0.09 85.62 0.07 0.09 85.62 0.07 0.99 85.62 0.07 0.99 85.62 0.27 0.35 <td>Sample GR95 Data Flag Lab Mean Diff from Grand Mean CPV Lab M * 85.69 0.14 0.18 85. * 82.81 -2.74 -3.55 82. * 85.70 0.15 0.20 85. * 85.76 0.21 0.27 85. * 85.76 0.21 0.27 85. * 85.76 0.21 0.27 85. * 85.79 0.24 0.31 85. * 85.70 0.15 0.19 85. * 68.68 -16.87 -21.90 68. * 68.68 -16.87 -21.90 68. * 68.62 0.07 0.09 85. * 68.68 -16.87 -21.90 68. * 68.62 0.07 0.09 85. * 85.62 0.07 0.09 85. * 85.62 0</td> <td>Sample GR95 Sample OF Data Flag Lab Mean Diff from Grand Mean CPV Lab Mean Diff from Grand Mean 0.00 85.62 0.0 0.0 * 82.81 -2.74 -3.55 82.74 -2.6 0.1 85.70 0.15 0.20 85.66 0.1 0.1 85.66 0.1 85.74 -0.06 -0.08 85.43 -0.1 85.78 0.2 85.76 0.21 0.27 85.78 0.2 85.78 0.2 85.79 0.24 0.31 85.77 0.1 85.70 0.1 85.76 0.21 0.27 85.58 0.0 0.2 85.85 0.30 0.39 85.81 0.2 85.63 0.08 0.10 85.69 0.1 85.62 0.07 0.99 85.64 0.1 85.62 0.07 <</td> <td>Sample GR95 Sample GR96 Data Flag Lab Mean Diff from Grand Mean CPV Lab Mean Diff from Grand Mean CPV * 85.69 0.14 0.18 85.62 0.09 0.11 * 82.81 -2.74 -3.55 85.76 0.15 0.20 85.66 0.13 0.16 85.79 0.06 -0.08 85.43 -0.11 -0.14 85.76 0.21 0.27 85.78 0.24 0.31 84.47 -1.08 -1.40 84.43 -1.10 -1.41 85.79 0.24 0.31 85.78 0.24 0.31 85.64 0.09 0.12 85.70 0.16 0.21 85.70 0.15 0.19 85.70 0.16 0.21 85.85 0.30 0.39 85.81 0.27 0.34 68.68 -16.87 -21.90 68.92 -16.62 -21.20 85.63 0.08 0.10 85.83</td>	Sample GR95 Data Flag Lab Mean Diff from Grand Mean CPV Lab M * 85.69 0.14 0.18 85. * 82.81 -2.74 -3.55 82. * 85.70 0.15 0.20 85. * 85.76 0.21 0.27 85. * 85.76 0.21 0.27 85. * 85.76 0.21 0.27 85. * 85.79 0.24 0.31 85. * 85.70 0.15 0.19 85. * 68.68 -16.87 -21.90 68. * 68.68 -16.87 -21.90 68. * 68.62 0.07 0.09 85. * 68.68 -16.87 -21.90 68. * 68.62 0.07 0.09 85. * 85.62 0.07 0.09 85. * 85.62 0	Sample GR95 Sample OF Data Flag Lab Mean Diff from Grand Mean CPV Lab Mean Diff from Grand Mean 0.00 85.62 0.0 0.0 * 82.81 -2.74 -3.55 82.74 -2.6 0.1 85.70 0.15 0.20 85.66 0.1 0.1 85.66 0.1 85.74 -0.06 -0.08 85.43 -0.1 85.78 0.2 85.76 0.21 0.27 85.78 0.2 85.78 0.2 85.79 0.24 0.31 85.77 0.1 85.70 0.1 85.76 0.21 0.27 85.58 0.0 0.2 85.85 0.30 0.39 85.81 0.2 85.63 0.08 0.10 85.69 0.1 85.62 0.07 0.99 85.64 0.1 85.62 0.07 <	Sample GR95 Sample GR96 Data Flag Lab Mean Diff from Grand Mean CPV Lab Mean Diff from Grand Mean CPV * 85.69 0.14 0.18 85.62 0.09 0.11 * 82.81 -2.74 -3.55 85.76 0.15 0.20 85.66 0.13 0.16 85.79 0.06 -0.08 85.43 -0.11 -0.14 85.76 0.21 0.27 85.78 0.24 0.31 84.47 -1.08 -1.40 84.43 -1.10 -1.41 85.79 0.24 0.31 85.78 0.24 0.31 85.64 0.09 0.12 85.70 0.16 0.21 85.70 0.15 0.19 85.70 0.16 0.21 85.85 0.30 0.39 85.81 0.27 0.34 68.68 -16.87 -21.90 68.92 -16.62 -21.20 85.63 0.08 0.10 85.83

Summary Statistics	Sample GR95	Sample GR96
Grand Means	85.55 Percent	85.53 Percent
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	0.77 Percent	0.78 Percent
		Statistics based on 19 of 20 reporting participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #392

GGYJ7Y (X) - Extreme Data.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

- AC ACS Spectro-Sensor II LA L & W Elrepho - Autoline
- LT L & W Elrepho SE 071
- TI Tachaiduna Tachaihrita TR
- TL Technidyne Technibrite TB-1

- EG Datacolor Elrepho 450X
- LE L & W Elrepho
- TC Technidyne Color Touch Series
- TM Technidyne Technibrite Micro TB-1C
- XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.

October 2021



Analysis 394 Fluorescent Component of Directional Brightness **TAPPI Official Test Method T452**

			Sample GZ95	5		<u>Sample GZ96</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
7JCP76		7.988	-0.036	-0.08	8.036	-0.043	-0.11	TS
8A82QD		8.754	0.730	1.65	8.732	0.653	1.59	LE
A24WRT		8.298	0.274	0.62	8.368	0.289	0.70	TS
AAW4AX		7.240	-0.784	-1.77	7.440	-0.639	-1.56	TT
CKVE37		8.270	0.246	0.56	8.302	0.223	0.54	TS
EHFU72		7.920	-0.104	-0.23	7.930	-0.149	-0.36	TS
JFTT8U		8.060	0.036	0.08	8.040	-0.039	-0.10	PP
MB9XZ8		8.564	0.540	1.22	8.662	0.583	1.42	TS
RXLGY3		7.480	-0.544	-1.23	7.540	-0.539	-1.31	TT
VFB4MP		7.880	-0.144	-0.33	7.960	-0.119	-0.29	TT
Y9GXQQ		7.806	-0.218	-0.49	7.864	-0.215	-0.52	PP
Summa	iry Sta	tistics		Sample GZ95		Sample GZ96		
Grar	nd Mea	ans		8.02 Percent		8.08 Percent		
Stnd	Dev B	Btwn Labs		0.44 Percent		0.41 Percent		
					Statisti	cs based on 11 of	11 reporting p	articipants.
		Key	to Instrume	nt Codes Report	ed by Partic	ipants		
LE L&WE	Irepho			PP T	echnidyne Pro	file/Plus		
			с г		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

ΤS Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S-5

Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S4-M TT





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 395 Specular Gloss at 75 Degrees - High Range TAPPI Official Test Method T480

			Sample GT9	<u>5</u>		<u>Sample GT96</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
4MPDYQ		65.25	0.57	0.28	65.58	0.29	0.17	LG
7JCP76		65.65	0.97	0.47	65.97	0.68	0.41	LF
7R7UY7		65.36	0.68	0.33	66.49	1.20	0.72	LA
AAW4AX		62.53	-2.15	-1.04	62.36	-2.93	-1.76	PP
CUUAXF		61.31	-3.37	-1.64	61.88	-3.41	-2.05	PP
ETT2YV		61.75	-2.93	-1.42	64.23	-1.06	-0.64	GA
F7J8DB		63.30	-1.38	-0.67	65.32	0.03	0.02	ХХ
FWEZYB		62.98	-1.70	-0.82	64.98	-0.31	-0.19	GM
JZFHGL		66.24	1.56	0.76	66.08	0.79	0.47	LB
KC7NV3		66.04	1.36	0.66	65.22	-0.07	-0.04	VM
KPF9BP		67.60	2.92	1.42	66.75	1.46	0.88	LF
LGF9BM		65.62	0.94	0.46	66.03	0.74	0.44	TH
MGEUK8		67.92	3.24	1.58	68.72	3.43	2.06	TH
XGJQKU		62.93	-1.75	-0.85	64.84	-0.45	-0.27	PP
Y9GXQQ		65.66	0.98	0.48	64.90	-0.39	-0.23	PP
Summa	ry Stat	istics		Sample GT95	<u>.</u>	Sample GT90	<u>b</u>	
Gran	nd Mea	ns		64.68 Gloss Uni	its	65.29 Gloss Un	its	

Grand Means	64.68 Gloss Units	65.29 Gloss Units
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	2.06 Gloss Units	1.67 Gloss Units
		Statistics based on 15 of 15 reporting participants.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants							
GA	BYK-Gardner (model not specified)	GM	BYK-Gardner micro-gloss					
LA	L & W Gloss - Autoline 300	LB	L & W Gloss Tester Code 224					
LF	L & W Autoline 400	LG	L & W Autoline 600					
PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus	TH	Technidyne T480A					
VM	Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani/Robotest)	XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab					





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 396 Specular Gloss at 75 Degrees - Low Range TAPPI Official Test Method T480

			Sample GU9	<u>5</u>		<u>Sample GU96</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
3WU8C4		47.62	-4.62	-1.63	53.12	3.07	0.96	ТН
7UBK4Z		54.64	2.40	0.85	49.70	-0.35	-0.11	PP
AZCT6D		52.08	-0.16	-0.06	52.44	2.39	0.74	PP
GGYJ7Y		56.75	4.51	1.59	50.51	0.46	0.14	TH
JBXYAT		48.67	-3.57	-1.26	44.64	-5.41	-1.69	GM
JZFHGL		54.22	1.98	0.70	53.78	3.73	1.16	LA
K42GF3		51.94	-0.30	-0.11	52.30	2.25	0.70	TH
Y2KBQE		52.14	-0.10	-0.04	46.88	-3.17	-0.99	GS
YM49FH		52.14	-0.11	-0.04	47.12	-2.94	-0.92	тн
Summo	ary Stat	tistics		Sample GU95		Sample GU96	<u>5</u>	
Grai	nd Mec	ans	:	52.24 Gloss Units	5	0.05 Gloss Uni	ts	
Stnd	l Dev B	twn Labs		2.83 Gloss Units	3	3.21 Gloss Unit	s	
					Stati	istics based on 9 of	f 9 reporting p	articipants.
		Kev	to Instrume	ent Codes Report	ed by Partic	ipants		

GMBYK-Gardner micro-glossLAL & W Gloss - Autoline 300

GSBYK-Gardner Glossgard IIPPTechnidyne Profile/Plus

TH Technidyne T480A





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 398 Grammage (Mass per Unit Area) TAPPI Official Test Method T410

		5	Sample GW95	<u>5</u>		Sample GW96	<u>)</u>	
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
3NLQLJ		90.04	-0.16	-0.28	103.9	0.4	0.63	ZZ
3U8N7Z		89.52	-0.67	-1.20	102.7	-0.8	-1.27	ZZ
3WU8C4	X	8.89	-81.30	-145.18	10.3	-93.3	-148.90	ZZ
3WWT8K		90.11	-0.08	-0.15	102.9	-0.6	-1.01	ZZ
4KYDE2		89.28	-0.92	-1.64	102.9	-0.6	-0.98	ZZ
4PTA27		91.32	1.12	2.00	103.9	0.4	0.61	ZZ
8E2VQZ		89.79	-0.40	-0.72	103.0	-0.6	-0.89	ZZ
989BX4		89.61	-0.59	-1.05	102.8	-0.8	-1.22	ZZ
DKL2PT		90.62	0.42	0.76	103.5	-0.1	-0.09	ZZ
E3KCG3		89.97	-0.23	-0.41	103.5	0.0	-0.05	ZZ
E6QZU8		90.11	-0.09	-0.16	103.4	-0.2	-0.24	ZZ
EEYET3		89.56	-0.64	-1.14	103.3	-0.2	-0.31	ZZ
FXMGNU		90.40	0.21	0.37	103.7	0.2	0.32	ZZ
GGYJ7Y	X	81.99	-8.20	-14.65	94.8	-8.7	-13.95	ZZ
H6EEN2		89.90	-0.30	-0.53	102.8	-0.8	-1.20	ZZ
JK3C42	X	95.89	5.69	10.16	108.9	5.4	8.59	ZZ
JL28W7	*	90.22	0.02	0.04	105.0	1.4	2.31	ZZ
JZFHGL		90.37	0.18	0.32	103.3	-0.2	-0.32	ZZ
K42GF3		90.52	0.32	0.57	103.8	0.3	0.42	ZZ
K4YWKM		89.99	-0.21	-0.37	103.3	-0.2	-0.39	ZZ
KEXRHH		89.79	-0.40	-0.72	103.2	-0.3	-0.56	ZZ
KUNQQ6		90.10	-0.10	-0.17	104.1	0.6	0.90	ZZ
MB9XZ8		91.32	1.13	2.01	104.7	1.1	1.82	ZZ
TQF82A		90.74	0.54	0.97	104.6	1.1	1.76	ZZ
VA76EL		91.24	1.04	1.86	103.2	-0.3	-0.49	ZZ
YM49FH		90.00	-0.20	-0.35	103.7	0.2	0.26	ZZ
Summa	ry Sta	tistics		Sample GW95		Sample GW9	<u>6</u>	
Gran	d Mec	ans		90.20 g/sq m		103.52 g/sq n	n	
Stnd	Dev B	Itwn Labs		0.56 g/sq m		0.63 g/sq m		
					Statisti	cs based on 23 of	26 reporting	participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #398

GGYJ7Y (X) - Extreme Data.

3WU8C4 (X) - Extreme Data.

JK3C42 (X) - Extreme Data.

Analysis Notes:

3NLQLJ - One determination removed from the Lab Mean of Sample GW96 per Grubb's Test at 1% risk (TAPPI 1205).

3WU8C4 - Data possibly off by a factor of 10.



Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ZZ Instruments No Longer Tracked







Report #3142G, October 2021

Analysis 399 Sizing Test (Hercules Type) TAPPI Official Test Method T530

			Sample GX95	<u>-</u>		<u>Sample GX96</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2CP8ND		8.60	-2.38	-0.92	7.94	-2.68	-1.07	HE
38R7Y4		11.82	0.84	0.33	10.74	0.12	0.05	HE
3NLQLJ		11.31	0.33	0.13	11.70	1.08	0.43	HE
7JCP76		10.31	-0.67	-0.26	10.21	-0.41	-0.16	HE
A24WRT		9.82	-1.16	-0.45	8.29	-2.33	-0.93	HE
ANHCFC		9.83	-1.15	-0.44	8.34	-2.28	-0.91	HE
AZCT6D	*	18.84	7.86	3.04	17.05	6.43	2.57	HE
CKVE37		9.91	-1.07	-0.41	9.62	-1.00	-0.40	HE
EEYET3		15.41	4.43	1.72	15.27	4.65	1.86	XX
EHFU72		10.96	-0.02	-0.01	10.82	0.20	0.08	HE
F7J8DB		11.03	0.05	0.02	11.94	1.32	0.53	HE
GGYJ7Y	*	10.41	-0.57	-0.22	12.23	1.61	0.64	HE
HN9A2P		9.04	-1.94	-0.75	8.65	-1.97	-0.79	HE
JBXYAT		10.93	-0.05	-0.02	10.30	-0.32	-0.13	HE
JFTT8U		9.17	-1.81	-0.70	7.63	-2.99	-1.19	HE
JL28W7		10.20	-0.78	-0.30	10.20	-0.42	-0.17	HE
JWJRG3		17.17	6.19	2.40	16.59	5.97	2.39	HE
KC7NV3		8.21	-2.77	-1.07	8.22	-2.40	-0.96	HE
KHWY7Z		9.87	-1.11	-0.43	10.44	-0.18	-0.07	HE
LFKQAL		11.52	0.54	0.21	10.75	0.13	0.05	HE
NCWXBN		10.28	-0.70	-0.27	8.69	-1.93	-0.77	HE
PNM3ZZ		8.77	-2.21	-0.85	8.43	-2.19	-0.87	HE
QFLEFD		10.95	-0.03	-0.01	11.67	1.05	0.42	HE
RF6DEW		8.23	-2.75	-1.06	7.94	-2.68	-1.07	HE
VFB4MP		10.00	-0.98	-0.38	10.10	-0.52	-0.21	HE
WM6LMJ		9.63	-1.35	-0.52	9.87	-0.75	-0.30	HE
WVHK9H		9.49	-1.49	-0.58	9.41	-1.21	-0.48	HE
X7WW27		13.57	2.59	1.00	12.31	1.69	0.68	HE
XPACPT		15.10	4.12	1.60	14.37	3.75	1.50	HE
ZRU3PN		8.90	-2.08	-0.80	8.78	-1.84	-0.73	HE
Summa	ry Stat	istics		Sample GX95		Sample GX96	<u>,</u>	

Summary Statistics	Sample GX95	Sample GX96
Grand Means	10.98 Seconds	10.62 Seconds
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	2.58 Seconds	2.50 Seconds
		Statistics based on 30 of 30 reporting participants.



Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

HE Hercules Sizing Tester

XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab



